

# Random Indexing

Brian Kunding

# Overview

- ▶ Final piece: Random Indexing

# Review of Indexing

- ▶ In the literature, *indexing* is when we remove certain agreement patterns from consideration for matching after making the comparison vectors
- ▶ Jody indexed on first and last name, meaning that she chose a threshold for name similarity, and then set all record pairs with name agreement below that threshold to be nonmatching
- ▶ Used to reduce comparison space and avoid false matches

# BK's Thoughts on Indexing

- ▶ If your model isn't able to avoid these types of matchings, you probably need a different model
- ▶ In Jody's code, indexing gave modest computational savings. Instead of summing over  $n_A \times n_B$  record pairs to update  $m$  and  $u$ , she only had to sum over record pairs that met the indexing threshold
- ▶ Uses human judgement

## Random Indexing

- ▶ Let  $P$  be the number of unique agreement patterns, and denote a particular agreement pattern by  $h_p$  for  $p \in \{1, \dots, P\}$ . Let  $|h_p|$  be the total number of pairs of  $p^{th}$  agreement pattern in the data, and let  $|h_p|_j$  be the number of record pairs of the  $p^{th}$  agreement pattern between  $j \in B$  and the records in  $A$ .
- ▶ Remember that when sampling  $Z_j$ , all record pairs of agreement pattern  $h_p$  are equally likely. Without knowing anything about the agreement pattern itself, this tells us that any agreement pattern for which  $|h_p|_j$  is large is unlikely to be a match.

# Data Storage

- ▶ Since the overwhelming majority of record pairs are of agreement patterns with mostly 0's, these pairs contribute the most the the data storage burden.
- ▶ All contributions to posterior updates themselves are governed by  $|h_p|_j$ , not the record labels themselves. So instead of storing arbitrarily large number of record labels, lets store only small number  $R$  of them.
- ▶ Even if I remove certain records from consideration, I maintain their probabilities and weights through  $|h_p|_j$ . This is similar to the *u correction* concept from the McVeigh paper (I think!)
- ▶ Choosing  $R$  is up to the modeller, but much less room for error than choosing fields and thresholds to index on. Also, the goal is not avoid false matches (the model takes care of that), but instead data storage.

## Example

- ▶ I simulated comparison vectors for a  $4000 \times 4000$  linkage problem
- ▶ The comparison matrix  $\Gamma$  produced by BRL is 610 MB.
- ▶ After hashing, the object is only 68 MB. Pretty good.
- ▶ After running random indexing, the object was only **9 MB**. I ran `parlr`, and got the exact same results!

## How does this help?

- ▶ I still need to create the comparison vectors in the first place. This is the unavoidable downside of the Fellegi-Sunter methods, and there is no way to avoid this.
- ▶ However, I can create comparison vectors for smaller chunks of the data, reduce the peices through hashing and random indexing, combining results as I go along, without ever needing to store the entire  $n_A \times n_B$  matrix of comparison vectors. In fact, no matter how large  $n_A$  is, we store at most  $n_B \times P \times R$  record pairs.
- ▶ Example in this [HRDAG post](#).
- ▶ Processing these smaller chunks can be done in parallel.
- ▶ Should allow us to conduct **much** larger record linkage tasks.



# Naming

- ▶ Since I'm removing records from consideration, I thought it might be nice to invoke the idea of indexing. Since the records I remove are chosen at random (within each pattern/equivalence class), I thought *random indexing* might be a nice word.
- ▶ Might be clearer to just call this *thinning* or something else though.

## Next Steps

- ▶ This whole concept is ready to go! I will have a (very rough) first draft ready for you by the next meeting.
- ▶ These mechanics will lay the foundation (with slight modifications) for the linkage cluster model I've been developing. This is on hold until the first `parlr` paper is done.
- ▶ Plan on meeting next week (Friday, April 30th), but two weeks of vacation after that.