

Decision Trees 2

Lecture 7


STA 371G


• Suppose you are planning to drill for oil in a newly-discovered field.


- Suppose you are planning to drill for oil in a newly-discovered field.
- Setting up the drilling equipment costs \$1M.

- Suppose you are planning to drill for oil in a newly-discovered field.
- Setting up the drilling equipment costs \$1M.
- If you strike oil, you will generate \$10M.

- Suppose you are planning to drill for oil in a newly-discovered field.
- Setting up the drilling equipment costs \$1M.
- If you strike oil, you will generate \$10M.
- But there's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.








Without any information, we expect to make \$0.5M by deciding to drill.

How much is perfect information worth?

• Suppose we have a psychic that gives us *perfect information* about whether the field has oil or not.

How much is perfect information worth?

- Suppose we have a psychic that gives us perfect information about whether the field has oil or not.
- The psychic is always right!




• Suppose we commission a geological survey of the field; this is imperfect information.

- Suppose we commission a geological survey of the field; this is imperfect information.
- When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.

- Suppose we commission a geological survey of the field; this is imperfect information.
- When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.
- When a field is dry, the survey will indicate this 80% of the time.

- Suppose we commission a geological survey of the field; this is imperfect information.
- When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.
- When a field is dry, the survey will indicate this 80% of the time.
- How much should we be willing to pay for the survey?



There's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.

$$P(O) = 0.15, P(O^{c}) = 0.85$$

There's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.

$$P(O) = 0.15, P(O^c) = 0.85$$

When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.

There's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.

$$P(O) = 0.15, P(O^c) = 0.85$$

When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.

$$P(S|O) = 0.6,$$

There's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.

$$P(O) = 0.15, P(O^c) = 0.85$$

When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.

$$P(S|O) = 0.6, P(S^c|O) = 0.4$$

There's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.

$$P(O) = 0.15, P(O^c) = 0.85$$

When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.

$$P(S|O) = 0.6, P(S^{c}|O) = 0.4$$

When a field is dry, the survey will indicate this 80% of the time.

There's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.

$$P(O) = 0.15, P(O^c) = 0.85$$

When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.

$$P(S|O) = 0.6, P(S^c|O) = 0.4$$

When a field is dry, the survey will indicate this 80% of the time.

$$P(S|O^c) = 0.2,$$

There's only a 15% chance that a random oil field has oil.


$$P(O) = 0.15, P(O^{c}) = 0.85$$

When a field is oil-rich, the survey will indicate this 60% of the time.

$$P(S|O) = 0.6, P(S^c|O) = 0.4$$

When a field is dry, the survey will indicate this 80% of the time.

$$P(S|O^c) = 0.2, P(S^c|O^c) = 0.8$$



Let's calculate the required probabilities using Bayes' rule:

$$P(O|S) = \frac{P(S|O)P(O)}{P(S|O)P(O) + P(S|O^{c})P(O^{c})}$$
$$= \frac{(0.6)(0.15)}{(0.6)(0.15) + (0.2)(0.85)}$$
$$= 0.35$$

$$P(O^{c}|S) = \frac{P(S|O^{c})P(O^{c})}{P(S|O^{c})P(O^{c}) + P(S|O)P(O)}$$
$$= \frac{(0.2)(0.85)}{(0.2)(0.85) + (0.6)(0.15)}$$
$$= 0.65$$


We also need to know the probability that the survey will indicate oil:

$$P(S) = P(S \text{ and } O) + P(S \text{ and } O^{c})$$

$$= P(S|O)P(O) + P(S|O^{c})P(O^{c})$$

$$= (0.6)(0.15) + (0.2)(0.85)$$


$$= 0.26$$



 The information from the survey is worth the difference between these two trees (0.64 - 0.5 = \$0.14M); this is EVSI (Expected Value of Sample Information)

• The information from the survey is worth the difference between these two trees (0.64 - 0.5 = \$0.14M); this is EVSI (Expected Value of Sample Information)

 Suppose we had a better survey, which correctly identifies oil-rich fields 95% of the time, and correctly identifies dry fields 90% of the time.



• With no information, the expected value of the tree was \$0.5M.

- With no information, the expected value of the tree was \$0.5M.
- With perfect information (the psychic), the expected value of the tree was \$1.35M. The EVPI (expected value of perfect information) is 1.35 — 0.5 = \$0.85M.

- With no information, the expected value of the tree was \$0.5M.
- With perfect information (the psychic), the expected value of the tree was \$1.35M. The EVPI (expected value of perfect information) is 1.35 — 0.5 = \$0.85M.
 - No survey (or any other kind of information about this oil field)
 could ever be worth more than \$0.85M.

- With no information, the expected value of the tree was \$0.5M.
- With perfect information (the psychic), the expected value of the tree was \$1.35M. The EVPI (expected value of perfect information) is 1.35 — 0.5 = \$0.85M.
 - No survey (or any other kind of information about this oil field)
 could ever be worth more than \$0.85M.
- With imperfect information (the better survey), the expected value of the tree was \$1.2M. The EVSI (expected value of sample information) is 1.2 — 0.5 = \$0.7M.

- With no information, the expected value of the tree was \$0.5M.
- With perfect information (the psychic), the expected value of the tree was \$1.35M. The EVPI (expected value of perfect information) is 1.35 — 0.5 = \$0.85M.
 - No survey (or any other kind of information about this oil field)
 could ever be worth more than \$0.85M.
- With imperfect information (the better survey), the expected value of the tree was \$1.2M. The EVSI (expected value of sample information) is 1.2 — 0.5 = \$0.7M.
 - It's worth paying up to \$0.7M for this particular survey.