

# *Solutions to selected exercises from §2.1*

## **0.1 Question 14**

(a) Suppose that  $T$  carries linearly independent subsets to linearly independent subsets. Suppose that  $x \in V$  is not equal to zero. Then  $\{v\}$  is linearly independent. By assumption, so is  $\{T(v)\}$ . Therefore,  $T(v) \neq 0$ . This shows that  $\ker T = \{0\}$  and hence  $T$  is injective. On the other hand, suppose that  $T$  is injective and let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  be an arbitrary linearly independent subset. Suppose

$$\sum_i \lambda_i T(u_i) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (1)$$

By linearity, this implies that

$$T\left(\sum_i \lambda_i u_i\right) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2)$$

Thus  $\sum_i \lambda_i u_i = \mathbf{0}$ . But, since  $\{u_i\}$  is linearly independent this implies  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0$ .

(b) Suppose  $S$  is linearly independent and suppose there is a collection of vectors  $\{u_i\} \subset S$  such that  $\sum \lambda_i T(u_i) = 0$ . This means  $T(\sum \lambda_i u_i) = 0$ . But since  $T$  is injective this means that  $\sum \lambda_i u_i = 0$  and hence  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . This shows that  $T(S)$  is linearly independent. Conversely, we can read this backwards.

(c) Part (a) implies  $T(\beta)$  is linearly independent. Since  $T$  is both injective and surjective we know that  $\dim V = \dim W$ . Thus,  $T(\beta)$  is a basis.

## **0.2 Question 17**

The dimension theorem is

$$\dim V = \dim \text{Im } T + \dim \ker T. \quad (3)$$

(a) Suppose  $\dim V < \dim W$ . Then, we can use the dimension theorem to conclude:

$$\dim \text{Im } T = \dim V - \dim \ker T < \dim W - \dim \ker T \leq \dim W. \quad (4)$$

The final inequality uses the fact that  $\dim \ker T \geq 0$ . This shows  $\dim \text{Im } T < \dim W$  hence  $T$  cannot be onto.

(b) Similar.

## **0.3 Question 26**

We will write elements  $x \in W_1 \oplus W_2$  as  $x = x_1 + x_2$ .

(a) Suppose  $T(x) = x$ . The left side is  $T(x_1 + x_2) = x_1$ . The right hand side is  $x_1 + x_2$ . Thus,  $x_2 = 0$ . So  $x = x_1 \in W_1$ . On the other hand if  $x = x_1 \in W_1$  then certainly  $T(x) = x$ .

(b) Suppose  $y \in \text{Im } T$ . Then there is  $x \in V$  with  $T(x) = y$ . But this means that  $x_1 = y_1 + y_2$ . But this implies  $y_2 = 0$  since  $x_1 - y_1 \in W_1$  by the subspace property. Thus  $y \in W_1$ . On the other hand, if  $y \in W_1$  then  $y = T(y)$  by part (a), so it is a value of  $T$ . This shows  $\text{Im } T = W_1$ . Suppose  $x \in \ker T$ . Thus  $x_1 = 0$ . So  $x \in W_2$ . On the other hand, if  $x = x_2 \in W_2$  then  $T(x) = 0$ .

(c)  $T$  is the identity transformation.

(d)  $T$  is the zero transformation.

**0.4 Problem 27**

(a) Let  $\{u_i\}$  be a basis for  $W$ . We know we can extend it  $\{u_i, v_j\}$  to a basis for  $V$ . Let  $W' = \text{span}\{v_j\}$ . We claim that  $V = W \oplus W'$ . First, we show that  $W \cap W' = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $x$  is in this intersection. Then  $x = \sum \lambda_i u_i$  and  $x = \sum \mu_j v_j$  for some coefficients  $\lambda_i, \mu_j$ . But this means that

$$\sum \lambda_i u_i - \sum \mu_j v_j = 0. \quad (5)$$

But, since  $\{u_i, v_j\}$  is a basis we see that  $\mu_i = 0, \lambda_j = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . Thus  $x = 0$  and we showed  $W \cap W' = \{0\}$ .

(b) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $W$  be  $x$ -axis  $\text{span}\{e_1\}$ . Let  $W'$  be  $y$ -axis  $\text{span}\{e_2\}$ . Let  $W''$  be the line  $\text{span}\{e_1 + e_2\}$ . The projections along each of these onto  $W$  have the desired properties.