

The ABS construction

In this note we provide an overview of the construction of Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro which provides, in part, a relationship between topological K -theory and Clifford modules. After a rapid introduction to K -theory we follow parts of the original reference [ABS64].

1. A rapid introduction to K -theory

In this section the field \mathbf{k} is either \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C} , and we consider \mathbf{k} -vector bundles on a space X . Write $\underline{\mathbf{k}}^n$ for the trivial bundle of rank n .

We introduce two equivalence relations on the set of vector bundles $\text{Vect}(X)$.

- Stable isomorphism \simeq_s . Two vector bundles E_1, E_2 on X are *stably isomorphic*, we write $E_1 \simeq_s E_2$, if there exists an $N \geq 0$ and a bundle isomorphism

$$E_1 \oplus \underline{\mathbf{k}}^N \simeq E_2 \oplus \underline{\mathbf{k}}^N.$$

- Equivalence relation \sim . More generally, we say $E_1 \sim E_2$ if there exists $N, M \geq 0$ such that

$$E_1 \oplus \underline{\mathbf{k}}^N \simeq E_2 \oplus \underline{\mathbf{k}}^M.$$

Proposition 1.1. *If X is compact Hausdorff, then the set of \sim -equivalence classes of vector bundles over X forms an abelian group with respect to direct sum \oplus . This group is denoted $\tilde{K}(X)$.*

This group $\tilde{K}(X)$ is called the *reduced* K -group of X . The unreduced version is defined using the equivalence relation \simeq , except it is slightly more complicated. The issue is that only the class of the zero vector bundle is invertible in the set of \simeq_s -equivalence classes with respect to direct sum. Nevertheless, we can “cancel” bundles in some sense.

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose X is compact and E is a vector bundle on X . There exists a vector bundle E' on X such that $E \oplus E'$ is trivializable.*

PROOF. Suppose $\{U_i\}$ is a finite trivializing cover for E , so we have trivializations $\phi_i: U_i \times \mathbf{k}^n \rightarrow E$. There is a partition of unity $\{f_i: X \rightarrow [0,1]\}$ subordinate to this finite cover. For each i this allows us to define a vector bundle homomorphism

$$(1) \quad f_i \cdot \phi_i^{-1}: E \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{k}^n$$

Together, thus, we get a vector bundle homomorphism

$$(2) \quad \oplus f_i \cdot \phi_i^{-1}: E \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{k}^N$$

where N is n times the cardinality of the set parametrizing the cover. This morphism is fiberwise injective since f_i is non-vanishing at at least one point of U_i . So, we have embedded E into a trivial vector bundle. Fix an inner product on the trivial vector bundle (this exists by paracompactness). Then $E \oplus E^\perp \simeq \underline{\mathbf{k}}^{\oplus N}$ \square

From this lemma, we see that if $E_1 \oplus E_2 \simeq_s E_1 \oplus E_3$ then we can add E_1^\perp to both sides to see that $E_2 \simeq_s E_3$. It follows that the set of \simeq_s -equivalence classes forms a semi-group with respect to \oplus . The K -group $K(X)$ is the group completion of this semi-group. (Think of the positive rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ as the group completion of the semi-group of positive natural numbers $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ under multiplication.)

By definition, one represents elements of $K(X)$ as classes of formal differences

$$[E_1 - E_2]$$

where E_1, E_2 are bundles on X . Then $[E_1 - E_2] = [E'_1 - E'_2]$ if and only if there is a stable equivalence

$$E_1 \oplus E'_2 \simeq_s E'_1 \oplus E_2.$$

The group operation is the obvious thing

$$[E_1 - E_2] + [E_2 - E'_2] = [(E_1 \oplus E_2) - (E'_1 \oplus E'_2)].$$

Note that every element in $K(X)$ can be represented by a formal difference $[E - \underline{\mathbf{k}}^n]$ for some bundle E and some integer n .

There is a natural homomorphism $K(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(X)$ defined by $[E - \underline{\mathbf{k}}^n] \rightarrow [E]$ whose kernel consists of classes of the form $\underline{\mathbf{k}}^0 - \underline{\mathbf{k}}^n$. Hence, $E \simeq_s \underline{\mathbf{k}}^m$ for some m . Thus, the kernel of this homomorphism is \mathbf{Z} and there is an isomorphism $K(X) \simeq \tilde{K}(X) \oplus \mathbf{Z}$.

Bibliography

- [ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. “Clifford modules”. *Topology* 3.suppl (1964), pp. 3–38.
URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(64\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(64)90003-5).