The ABS construction

In this note we provide an overview of the construction of Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro which provides, in part, a relationship between topological *K*-theory and Clifford modules. After a rapid introduction to *K*-theory we follow parts of the original reference [ABS64]. For a nice textbook review of *K*-theory see [Hat03].

1. A rapid introduction to *K*-theory

In this section the field \mathbf{k} is either \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C} , and we consider \mathbf{k} -vector bundles on a space X. Write $\underline{\mathbf{k}}^n$ for the trivial bundle of rank n.

We introduce two equivalence relations on the set of vector bundles Vect(X).

• Stable isomorphism \simeq_s . Two vector bundles E_1 , E_2 on X are *stably isomorphic*, we write $E_1 \simeq_s E_2$, if there exists an $N \ge 0$ and a bundle isomorphism

$$e_1 \oplus \underline{\mathbf{k}}^N \simeq E_2 \oplus \underline{\mathbf{k}}^N.$$

• Equivalence relation \sim . More generally, we say $E_1 \sim E_2$ if there exists $N, M \ge 0$ such that

$$E_1 \oplus \mathbf{k}^N \simeq E_2 \oplus \mathbf{k}^M$$
.

Proposition 1.1. If X is compact Hausdorff, then the set of \sim -equivalence classes of vector bundles over X forms an abelian group with respect to direct sum \oplus . This group is denoted $\widetilde{K}(X)$.

This group $\widetilde{K}(X)$ is called the *reduced K*-group of X. The unreduced version is defined using the equivalence relation \simeq , except it is slightly more complicated. The issue is that only the class of the zero vector bundle is invertible in the set of \simeq_s -equivalence classes with respect to direct sum. Nevertheless, we can "cancel" bundles in some sense.

Lemma 1.2. Suppose X is compact and E is a vector bundle on X. There exists a vector bundle E' on X such that $E \oplus E'$ is trivializable.

PROOF. Suppose $\{U_i\}$ is a finite trivializing cover for E, so we have trivializations $\phi_i \colon U_i \times \mathbf{k}^n \to E$. There is a partition of unity $\{f_i \colon X \to [0,1]\}$ subordinate to this finite cover. For each i this allows us to define a vector bundle homomorphism

$$f_i \cdot \phi_i^{-1} \colon E \to X \times \mathbf{k}^n$$

Together, thus, we get a vector bundle homomorphism

$$\oplus f_i \cdot \phi_i^{-1} \colon E \to X \times \mathbf{k}^N$$

where N is n times the cardinality of the set parametrizing the cover. This morphism is fiberwise injective since f_i is non-vanishing at at least one point of U_i . So, we have embedded E into a trivial vector bundle. Fix an inner product on the trivial vector bundle (this exists by paracompactness). Then $E \oplus E^{\perp} \simeq \underline{\mathbf{k}}^{\oplus N}$

For example, if M has a framing and $S \subset M$ is a submanifold, then the sum of TS with the normal bundle N_MS is trivializable.

From this lemma, we see that if $E_1 \oplus E_2 \simeq_s E_1 \oplus E_3$ then we can add E_1^{\perp} to both sides to see that $E_2 \simeq_s E_3$. It follows that the set of \simeq_s -equivalence classes forms a semi-group with respect to \oplus . The *K*-group K(X) is the group completion of this semi-group. (Think of the positive rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ as the group completion of the semi-group of positive natural numbers $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ under multiplication.)

1.1. By definition, one represents elements of K(X) as classes of formal differences

$$[E_1 - E_2]$$

where E_1 , E_2 are bundles on X. Then $[E_1 - E_2] = [E_1' - E_2']$ if and only if there is a stable equivalence

$$E_1 \oplus E_2' \simeq_s E_1' \oplus E_2.$$

The group operation is the obvious thing

$$[E_1 - E_2] + [E_2 - E_2'] = [(E_1 \oplus E_2) - (E_1' \oplus E_2')].$$

Note that every element in K(X) can be represented by a formal difference $[E - \underline{\mathbf{k}}^n]$ for some bundle E and some integer n.

There is a natural homomorphism $K(X) \to \widetilde{K}(X)$ defined by $[E - \underline{\mathbf{k}}^n] \to [E]$ whose kernel consists of classes of the form $\underline{\mathbf{k}}^0 - \underline{\mathbf{k}}^n$. Hence, $E \simeq_s \underline{\mathbf{k}}^m$ for some m. Thus, the kernel of this homomorphism is \mathbf{Z} and there is an isomorphism $K(X) \simeq \widetilde{K}(X) \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ coming from a splitting of this homomorphism $K(X) \to K(pt)$ whose kernel is exactly

 $\widetilde{K}(X)$. The subgroup $\widetilde{K}(X)$ of K(X) is an ideal and hence a ring in its own right with respect to tensor product.

A map $f: X \to Y$ determines a ring map on K-theory $f^*: K(Y) \to K(X)$ which sends a vector bundle [E] on Y to the vector bundle $[f^*E]$ on X. Likewise, reduced K-theory is also functorial.

One of the main results about *K*-theory is Bott periodicity. It is easiest to state for complex *K*-theory, so for now we work over **C**.

From now on K(X) and $\widetilde{K}(X)$ will denote complex K-theory and reduced complex K-theory. Real K-theory is denoted KO(X) and its reduced version is $\widetilde{KO}(X)$.

Let $L = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ be the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1 = S^2$ (this is a holomorphic line bundle, but *K*-theory only knows its structure as a complex line bundle).

Lemma 1.3. *There is a bundle isomorphism* $L \otimes L \oplus \underline{\mathbf{C}} \simeq L \oplus L$.

PROOF. On \mathbb{P}^1 the data of a vector bundle is specified the homotopy class of a map

$$(3) S^1 \to GL(2, \mathbf{C})$$

Let E_t be a continuous path in $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ which satisfies

(4)
$$E_0 = \mathbb{1}, \quad E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Such a path exists by connectedness. Consider the path in $Map(S^1, GL(2, \mathbb{C}))$:

(5)
$$f_t(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} E_t.$$

This is a path from the clutching function for $L \oplus L$ to the clutching function for $L \otimes L \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

It follows that there is a ring homomorphism

(6)
$$\mathbf{Z}[L]/(L-1)^2 \to K(S^2).$$

THEOREM 1.4. This is an isomorphism.

If $a \in K(X)$ and $b \in K(Y)$ then define $a \star b = p_1^*(a) \otimes p_2^*(b) \in K(X \times Y)$. This is called the external product. Without much more work, one can show that

(7)
$$L \star (-) \colon \mathbf{Z}[L]/(L-1)^2 \otimes K(X) \to K(S^2 \times X)$$

is an isomorphism of rings.

The reduced version of this homomorphism is of the form

(8)
$$\widetilde{K}(X) \to \widetilde{K}(S^2X)$$

and explicitly sends $x \mapsto (L-1) \star x$.

THEOREM 1.5 (Bott periodicity). This is an isomorphism of rings.

1.2. We now construct a graded version of *K*-theory.

The suspension SX of a space X is defined to be the quotient of the cylinder $X \times [0,1]$ where we identify $X \times \{0\}$ to a single point and $X \times \{1\}$ to a single point. For example S^{n+1} is homeomorphic to $S(S^n)$. Equivalently, S^n can be seen as the nth fold suspension of $S^0 = \{-1, +1\}$.

The graded version of *K*-theory is defined using the suspension. Define, for reduced *K*-theory

(9)
$$\widetilde{K}^{-n}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{K}(S^n X).$$

In total, define the graded abelian group

(10)
$$\widetilde{K}^{-\bullet}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \widetilde{K}^{-n}(X).$$

The negative grading is chosen to match with with cohomological grading. For non-reduced, one defines $K^{-n}(X) = \widetilde{K}^{-n}(X_+)$.

For $A \subset X$ a closed subspace, there is the following exact sequence in reduced K-groups

(11)
$$\cdots \to \widetilde{K}(SX) \to \widetilde{K}(SA) \to \widetilde{K}(X/A) \to \widetilde{K}(X) \to \widetilde{K}(A)$$

The right most map is restriction of vector bundles from X to A, and the second to right most map is pulling back along the quotient map $X \to X/A$.

We use this exact sequence to find a relationship of K-theory with products of spaces. Let $X \wedge Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X \times Y/X \vee Y$, where $X \vee Y$ is defined using specified basepoints of X and Y. Then we can apply the above long exact sequence to the pair $(X \times Y, X \vee Y)$ deduce an isomorphism

(12)
$$\widetilde{K}(X \times Y) \simeq K(X \wedge Y) \oplus \widetilde{K}(X) \oplus \widetilde{K}(Y).$$

We thus get a different sort of external product, defined by the composition

(13)
$$\widetilde{K}(X) \otimes \widetilde{K}(Y) \xrightarrow{\star} \widetilde{K}(X \times Y) \to \widetilde{K}(X \wedge Y)$$

where the last map is projection. Replacing X, Y by $S^{i}X$, $S^{j}Y$ defines a product

(14)
$$\widetilde{K}^{i}(X) \otimes \widetilde{K}^{j}(Y) \to \widetilde{K}^{i+j}(X \wedge Y).$$

Finally, in the case that X = Y we can additionally compose with the restriction along the diagonal map $X \to X \land X$ to get a product

(15)
$$\widetilde{K}^i(X) \otimes \widetilde{K}^j(X) \to K^{i+j}(X).$$

Proposition 1.6. This endows $\widetilde{K}^{\bullet}(X)$ with the structure of a commutative graded ring.

From Bott periodicity, one immediately obtains a graded ring isomorphism

$$(16) K^{\bullet}(\star) \simeq \mathbf{Z}[L]$$

where $L \in \widetilde{K}^{-2}(\star) = \widetilde{K}(S^2)$ is a degree -2 generator and represents the canonical line bundle L.

1.3. Real K-theory is more complicated. To distinguish it from the notation above, use the notation KO(X) (and all of its relative and reduced variants) to denote the real K-theory of X. The same formal properties hold for real K-theory. There is a graded version $KO^{\bullet}(X)$ and it is endowed with the structure of a commutative graded ring.

Let's get an idea of how the real K-theory of a space can differ from its complex K-theory. Recall that for any embedded submanifold $S \subset M$ the normal bundle NS on S is defined by the short exact sequence

$$(17) 0 \to TS \to TM|_S \to NS \to 0$$

In particular, in *K*-theory one has

(18)
$$[TS] + [NS] = [TM|_S].$$

Now, consider the two-sphere S^2 and its natural embedding in \mathbb{R}^3 . The normal bundle to this embedding is the trivial (real) line bundle. In particular, this implies that in K-theory

(19)
$$[TS^2] + [\underline{\mathbf{R}}] = [\underline{\mathbf{R}}^3] \iff [TS^2] = 2.$$

Here is the statement of real Bott periodicity.

THEOREM 1.7 (Real Bott periodicity). There is a graded ring isomorphism

(20)
$$KO^{-\bullet}(\star) \cong \mathbf{Z}[\eta, y, x] / \langle 2\eta, \eta^3, \eta y, y^2 - 4x \rangle$$

where η , y, x are of degrees -1, -4, -8, respectively.

This time, multiplication by the generator $x \in KO^{-8}(\star) = \widetilde{K}O(S^8)$ induces a periodicity.

The generator $\eta \in KO^{-1} = \widetilde{K}O(S^1)$ is represented by the tautological real line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{R}}(-1)$ over $\mathbf{R}\mathbb{P}^1 \simeq S^1$. A real line bundle on any topological space X is classified by the homotopy class of a map $X \to BGL(1,\mathbf{R}) = B(\mathbf{R}^\times)$. On the other hand

(21)
$$B(\mathbf{R}^{\times}) \simeq Gr(1, \infty) = \mathbf{RP}^{\infty} = K(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}, 1).$$

This implies that line bundles on a space X, up to isomorphism, are in one-to-one correspondence with

(22)
$$[X, K(\mathbf{Z}/2, 1)] \simeq H^{1}(X; \mathbf{Z}/2).$$

The generator η of $\widetilde{K}O(S^1)$ corresponds to the generator of $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. From this expression, the relation $2\eta = 0$.

Using fancier homotopy theory one can show the relation $\eta^3 = 0$. It is hard to see this directly.

We will use the construction of Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro [ABS64] to come up with explicit representatives for the generators η , y, x in theorem 1.7.

2. *"L"***-theory**

The construction utilizes an alternative description of K-theory. Suppose Y is a closed subspace of X. Everything in this section holds for real or complex vector bundles, so we will be agnostic about the field. There is a relative version of K-theory $\widetilde{K}(X,Y)$ defined by $\widetilde{K}(X/A)$, and more generally we can define $\widetilde{K}^{-n}(X,A) = \widetilde{K}(S^n(X/A))$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_1(X,Y)$ be the set of tuples

$$(V,W;\sigma)$$

where V, W are vector bundles on X and

(24)
$$\sigma \colon V|_{Y} \xrightarrow{\simeq} W|_{Y}$$

is a bundle isomorphism between the restriction of the vector bundles over Y. Morphisms $(V, W; \sigma) \to (V', W'; \sigma')$ are defined in the obvious way are bundle morphisms (over X) such that the obvious square diagram commutes (over Y). Isomorphism Let $L_1(X, Y)$ be the set of isomorphisms classes $[V, W; \sigma]$.

We can regard $(X,Y) \mapsto L_1(X,Y)$ as a functor from the category of pairs $(X,Y \subset X)$ to the category of vector spaces.

Proposition 2.1. There is a natural isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\chi \colon L_1(X,Y) \to K(X,Y).$$

When $Y = \emptyset$, this isomorphism is

$$\chi([V, W]) = [V - W].$$

PROOF. We sketch the proof. Filling in the gaps is part of the worksheet. The main idea is the so-called difference bundle construction. Define $X_k = X \times \{k\}$ where k = 0, 1 and let Z be the quotient of $X_0 \sqcup X_1$ obtained by identifying points $y \times \{0\} \in Y \times \{0\} \subset X_0$ with $y \times \{1\} \subset X_1$ for all $y \in Y$. The relative K-theory $K(Z, X_1)$ is isomorphic to K(X, Y). We use this description of K(X, Y) to make the following construction.

Given $[V_0, V_1; \sigma]$ define the vector bundle W on Z by setting

$$(25) W|_{X_k} = V_k$$

and extending over Y using the isomorphism σ . Let $\pi\colon Z\to X_1$ be the retraction which collapses all points onto X_1 . Then, $[W-\pi^*V_1]$ is in the kernel of $i^*\colon K(Z)\to K(X_1)$, the map induced from bundle restriction along $i\colon X_1\hookrightarrow Z$. Hence, it determines a class in $K(Z,X_1)\simeq K(X,Y)$. The isomorphism in the proposition is

(26)
$$[V_0, V_1; \sigma] \mapsto [W - \pi^* V_1].$$

From now on, we will use the notation K(X,Y) interchangeably with L(X,Y). In particular, we can represent relative K-theory classes as tuples $[V,W;\sigma]$.

3. Clifford modules

We recall the main algebraic players in this topic. We consider both the real Clifford algebras $C\ell_n = C\ell_{n,0}$ and the complex Clifford algebras $C\ell_n$. Recall that the Clifford algebra associated to any quadratic vector space is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded.

Let \mathfrak{M}_n denote the Grothendieck group of graded $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$ -modules. We have shown how tensor product of modules yields the structure of a commutative graded ring on $\mathfrak{M}_{\bullet} = \oplus \mathfrak{M}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_n^{gr,\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Grothendieck ring of graded $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$ -modules. We also have the corresponding ring $\mathfrak{M}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_n \mathfrak{M}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$.

For each n, there is a natural map $i^*: \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{gr} \to \mathfrak{M}_n^{gr}$ (and the complex version) induced from the inclusion $\mathbf{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ given by the first n coordinates. In other words, we can view $i^*\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}$ as a subgroup of \mathfrak{M}_n . In fact, $i^*\mathfrak{M}_{\bullet+1}$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{M}_{\bullet} , so we obtain the quotient ring

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\bullet} = \bigoplus_{n > 0} \mathfrak{M}_n / i^* \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}.$$

Similarly, the complex version is denoted $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\bullet}$.

In class, we have seen that as abelian groups $KO^{-n}(\star) \simeq \mathfrak{A}_n$ and $K^{-n}(\star) = \mathfrak{A}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $n \geq 0$. In fact, the rings \mathfrak{A}_{\bullet} and $KO^{\bullet}(\star)$ are isomorphic (as are the complex versions $\mathfrak{A}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $K^{\bullet}(\star)$). In the next section, we make this isomorphism explicit.

4. The main construction

We first consider complex *K*-theory. The main construction is a homomorphism

(28)
$$\Phi_n \colon \mathfrak{M}_n^{\mathsf{C}} \to K(D^n, S^{n-1}) \simeq \widetilde{K}(D^n/S^{n-1}) = \widetilde{K}(S^n)$$

where $S^{n-1} = \partial D^n$ and D^n is the unit disk in \mathbf{R}^n .

Let $M = M^{ev} \oplus M^{odd}$ be a graded $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$ -module and let $\underline{M}^{ev,odd}$ denote the trivial bundles over D^n with fiber $M^{ev,odd}$. Define

$$\Phi_n(M) = \left[\underline{M}^{ev}, \underline{M}^{odd}; \sigma\right]$$

where σ is the isomorphism $\underline{M}^{ev}|_{S^{n-1}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \underline{M}^{odd}|_{S^{n-1}}$ given by Clifford multiplication

$$\sigma(x,m) = (x, x \cdot m).$$

Checking that σ is well-defined (only depends on isomorphism classes of representatives) is an easy exercise.

Proposition 4.1. The restriction of Φ_n to $i^*(\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \mathfrak{M}_n^{\mathbb{C}}$ is trivial. Thus, Φ_n defines a homomorphism (with respect to \oplus)

(29)
$$\Phi_n \colon \mathfrak{A}_n^{\mathbf{C}} \to K(D^n, S^{n-1}).$$

The same construction holds for real K-theory to define a homomorphism

(30)
$$\Phi_n O \colon \mathfrak{A}_n \to KO(D^n, S^{n-1}).$$

Ranging over all n, one obtains ring homomorphisms

$$\Phi_{\bullet} \colon \mathfrak{A}^{\mathbf{C}}_{\bullet} \to K^{-\bullet}(\star)$$

$$\Phi_{\bullet}O \colon \mathfrak{A}_{\bullet} \to KO^{-\bullet}(\star)$$

THEOREM 4.2 ([abs]). The graded ring homomorphisms Φ_{\bullet} , $\Phi_{\bullet}O$ are isomorphisms.

4.1. We can now see explicit generators in K-theory. Let's first consider the complex version. Recall that $\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n}$ has a fundamental $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded representation $S = S_+ \oplus S_-$. As an algebra $\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n} = \operatorname{End}(S)$. The two generators of $\mathfrak{M}_{2n}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are [S] and its parity flop $[\widetilde{S}]$ (where we exchange the roles of + and -). The generator of $i^*\mathfrak{M}_{2n+1}$ is $[S] + [\widetilde{S}]$.

Let \underline{S}_{\pm} denote the trivial line bundle over D^{2n} with fiber S_{\pm} . Clifford multiplication determines a map of vector bundles

$$\mu \colon \underline{S}_{+} \to \underline{S}_{-}$$

Explicitly, over a point $x \in D^{2n} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ the map μ_x is multiplication by x. Over S^{n-1} , μ is an isomorphism. Thus

(32)
$$\Phi(S) = [\underline{S}_+, \underline{S}_-; \mu|_{S^{n-1}}] \in \widetilde{K}(S^{2n}) = \mathbf{Z}.$$

is a generator.

Bibliography

[ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. "Clifford modules". *Topology* 3.suppl (1964), pp. 3–38. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(64)90003-5.

[Hat03] A. Hatcher. *Vector Bundles and K-Theory*. http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher. 2003.