

# 1. DEFORMATION PROBLEMS FROM DG LIE ALGEBRAS

We are ready to connect dg Lie algebras to the version of deformation theory that we met in the first few lectures.

## 1.1. From dg Lie to formal moduli.

**Definition 1.1.** A **commutative dg algebra (cdga)** is a dg vector space  $(V, d)$ , together with a product  $V \times V \rightarrow V$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  of degree zero, such that

- (1) Graded commutative. For any  $x, y \in V$  one has  $xy = (-1)^{|x||y|}yx$ ;
- (2) Derivation.  $d(xy) = (dx)y + (-1)^{|x|}xdy$ .

A morphism of cdgas is a linear map preserving the differential and product. Denote the category of cdgas by  $\mathbf{CAlg}_k^{\text{dg}}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Suppose  $(R, d_R)$  is a cdga and  $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}}, [-, -]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a dg Lie algebra. Define the dg Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k R, d, [-, -])$  where the differential is

$$d = d_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_R$$

and the bracket is defined by

$$[x \otimes a, y \otimes b] = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes ab.$$

This construction defines a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} \otimes (-) : & \mathbf{CAlg}_k^{\text{dg}} & \rightarrow \mathbf{Lie}_k^{\text{dg}} \\ & R & \mapsto \mathfrak{g} \otimes R. \end{array}$$

for any dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Remark 1.3.* Given any two dg vector spaces  $V, W$  we can define there tensor product  $V \otimes_k W \in \mathbf{Vect}_k^{\text{dg}}$ . Its graded pieces are given by

$$(V \otimes_k W)^m = \{V^i \otimes_k W^j\}_{i+j=m}$$

where  $V^i \otimes_k W^j$  is the ordinary tensor product of vector spaces. The differential is

$$d_{V \otimes W}(v \otimes w) = d_V(v) \otimes w + (-1)^{|v|}v \otimes d_W(w).$$

In the definition above, we are simply taking the tensor product of underlying dg vector spaces.

The following lemma is an easy exercise.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a dg Lie algebra and suppose  $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A)$  is an Artinian algebra. Consider the dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes A$ . Then, the sub dg Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A \subset \mathfrak{g} \otimes A$$

is nilpotent.

This lemma implies that when restricted to Artinian algebras, the functor  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes (-) : \mathbf{CAlg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}$  defines a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} \otimes (-) : \quad \mathbf{Art}_k &\rightarrow \mathbf{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}, \mathrm{nil}} \\ (A, \mathfrak{m}) &\mapsto \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A. \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to enhance the construction of the Maurer-Cartan elements of a dg Lie algebra  $\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$  to a functor.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any dg Lie algebra. Define the **Maurer-Cartan** functor  $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  to be the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}} : & \mathbf{Art}_k & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{g} \otimes (-)} & \mathbf{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}, \mathrm{nil}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{MC}(-)} & \mathbf{Set} \\ & (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) & \mapsto & \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A & & \\ & & & \mathfrak{h} & \mapsto & \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{h}). \end{array}$$

Explicitly, this composition can be read off as  $A \mapsto \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A)$ .

The functor  $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  enjoys a lot of nice properties. First of all, it is functorial in the sense that every map of dg Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  induces a natural transformation  $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Also, it is an immediate observation that  $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(k) = \{\star\}$  is the set with one element. Next, it preserves a well-behaved class of pull-backs.

*Exercise 1.6.* Let  $\sigma : B \rightarrow A, \sigma' : C \rightarrow A$  be morphisms of Artinian algebras where  $\sigma$  is surjective. Then, the natural map

$$\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B \times_A C) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B) \times_{\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)} \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(C)$$

is an isomorphism.

Similarly, taking into account gauge transformations, we can make the following definition. To state it, recall that when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra that there is a group action by elements  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^0$  through their exponential  $\exp(X)$  (which is well-defined by nilpotency). This group action preserves the set of Maurer-Cartan elements.

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any dg Lie algebra. Define the associated **deformation** functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \quad \mathbf{Art}_k &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) &\mapsto \frac{\mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A)}{\exp((\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A)^0)}. \end{aligned}$$

This functor assigns to every Artinian algebra  $A$  the quotient of the set of Maurer-Cartan elements of  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A$  by the equivalence relation induced by gauge equivalence from elements in  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A)^0 = \mathfrak{g}^0 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A$ .

Note that the deformation functor is functorial in the sense that every map of dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  induces a natural transformation  $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Note that there is an obvious natural transformation of functors

$$[-] : \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

**Proposition 1.8.** *The pre-deformation functor  $\text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is a deformation functor.*

*Proof.* We need to check that if  $\sigma : B \rightarrow A$ ,  $\sigma' : C \rightarrow A$  are maps of Artinian algebras with  $\sigma$  surjective that the induced map

$$(1) \quad \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B \times_A C) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B) \times_{\text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)} \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(C)$$

is:

- (A) an isomorphism if  $A = k$ ;
- (B) surjective otherwise.

The first case (A) is obvious since  $\text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B \times C) = \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B) \times \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(C)$ .

In general, suppose  $[\beta] \times [\gamma] \in \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B) \times_{\text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)} \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(C)$ . By assumption,  $\sigma([\beta]) = \sigma'([\gamma])$  in  $\text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ .<sup>1</sup> Choose lifts  $\tilde{\beta} \in \text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B)$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(C)$  of the classes  $[\beta], [\gamma]$  respectively. There then exists  $a \in \mathfrak{g}^0 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A$  such that

$$\sigma'(\tilde{\gamma}) = \exp(a) \cdot \sigma(\tilde{\beta}).$$

By surjectivity of  $\sigma$ , there exists  $b \in \mathfrak{g}^0 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B$  such that  $\sigma(b) = a$ .

By construction, the element  $\exp(b) \cdot \tilde{\beta}$  satisfies  $\sigma'(\tilde{\gamma}) = \sigma(\exp(b) \cdot \tilde{\beta})$  in  $\text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ . Thus,  $(\exp(b) \cdot \tilde{\beta}) \times \tilde{\gamma}$  determines an element in  $\text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B) \times_{\text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A)} \text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(C)$ . By Exercise 1.6, there exists a unique  $\alpha \in \text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B \times_A C)$  that lifts  $(\exp(b) \cdot \tilde{\beta}) \times \tilde{\gamma}$ . The element  $[\alpha]$  maps to  $\beta \times \gamma$  under the natural map (1). This shows axiom (B), so we are done.  $\square$

Recall the category of formal moduli problems  $\mathbf{Moduli}_k$ . Its objects are formal moduli problems over  $k$ , that is, functors

$$F : \mathbf{Art}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

and the morphisms are given by natural transformations. It is an immediate consequence of our discussion above that we have constructed a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Def} : \text{Lie}_k^{\text{dg}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Moduli}_k \\ \mathfrak{g} &\mapsto \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2. Tangent and obstruction spaces.

**Proposition 1.9.** *There are natural isomorphisms of tangent spaces*

$$T_{\text{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \cong Z^1(\mathfrak{g})$$

and

$$T_{\text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \cong H^1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>If  $\alpha \in \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$  we denote by  $[\alpha]$  its class in  $\text{Def}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

*Proof.* Recall, the tangent space of a formal moduli problem  $F$  is simply its value on the ring of dual numbers  $F(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ .

For the first claim, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2) &= \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \epsilon k) \\ &= \left\{ \epsilon x \in \epsilon \mathfrak{g}^1 \mid d(\epsilon x) + \frac{1}{2}[\epsilon x, \epsilon x] = 0 \right\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^1 \mid dx = 0\},\end{aligned}$$

since  $\epsilon^2 = 0$ .

For the second claim, we recall from last lecture that the action of  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^0$  on  $\alpha \in \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$  (when it exists) is defined by

$$\exp(X) \cdot \alpha = \alpha + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\mathrm{ad}(X)^n}{(n+1)!} ([X, \alpha] - dX).$$

For the tangent space, we are looking at the quotient

$$T_{\mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}} = \mathrm{MC}(\epsilon \mathfrak{g}) / \{\exp(\epsilon X) \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}^0\}$$

Since  $\epsilon^2 = 0$  the only term that survives is  $\exp(\epsilon X) \cdot (\epsilon \alpha) = \alpha - dX$ . Thus, we see that  $T_{\mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}} = T_{\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}} / d\mathfrak{g}^0 = Z^1 \mathfrak{g} / B^1 \mathfrak{g} = H^1(\mathfrak{g})$ .  $\square$

**1.3. Aside on obstruction space.** The following subsection is an aside from the main goal of this lecture. We don't recall the full theory of obstruction, but refer to Chapter 2 of [Man99] or Chapter 4 of [Man09] for a nice treatment.

Suppose we have a small exact sequence of Artinian algebras

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\sigma} A \rightarrow 0.$$

We want to construct a map

$$\theta_B : \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) \rightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes M.$$

Given  $x \in \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) = \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A)$ , let  $\tilde{x} \in \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(B) = \mathrm{MC}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B)$  be a lift and consider the element

$$\theta'(x) = d\tilde{x} + \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}] \in \mathfrak{g}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B.$$

By assumption  $\sigma(\theta'(x)) = 0$  and so  $\theta'(x)$  determines an element  $\theta(x) \in \mathfrak{g}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{m}_B$ . Moreover

$$d\theta(x) = [d\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}] = [\theta(x), \tilde{x}] - \frac{1}{2}[[\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}], \tilde{x}].$$

The first term on the right-hand side is zero since  $\mathfrak{m}_B \cdot M = 0$ . Moreover, the second term is zero by degree reasons. Thus,  $\theta(x)$  is closed and determines an element  $[\theta(x)] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes M$ . It is an exercise to see that it does not depend on any choices.

**Proposition 1.10.** *The assignment that sends any small exact sequence (2) to the map*

$$\theta_B : \mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A) \rightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes M$$

*defines a complete obstruction theory for  $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .*

**1.4. Not the whole story.** We have just seen a nice explicit story relating the theory of dg Lie algebras to formal moduli problems. This correspondence, however, loses a lot of information. For instance, the formal moduli problem  $\mathrm{MC}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  depends only on the pieces of the dg Lie algebra in degrees 1, 2. The formal moduli problem  $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  depends only on degrees 0, 1, 2 of the dg Lie algebra.

A precise way of stating the failure of this map to be an equivalence will be given in Section 2.2 below. It says that  $\mathrm{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  only depends on the cohomology, or *homotopy type*, of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The main idea is enhance the category of formal moduli problems to be sensitive to this sort of weak equivalence. This will lead us naturally to the world of  $\infty$ -categories and higher algebra. Before embarking on this, we will recount some more machinery for dg Lie algebras.

## 2. FORMAL ASPECTS OF DG LIE ALGEBRAS

It is a good time to remind ourselves of the formal situation that we are in. We have introduced the categories of dg commutative, dg associative, and dg Lie algebras. All were obtained by putting some extra structure on a dg vector space (or cochain complex). Thus, there are forgetful functors

$$\mathrm{oblv}_C, \mathrm{oblv}_A, \mathrm{oblv}_L : \mathrm{CAlg}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}, \mathrm{Ass}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}, \mathrm{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}.$$

Furthermore, we have seen in the last lecture that there is a functor

$$(-)_L : \mathrm{Ass}_k^{\mathrm{dg}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}}$$

which takes a dg associative algebra to the dg Lie algebra whose underlying dg vector space is the same, and Lie bracket is given by the (graded) commutator.

**2.1. A slew of functors.** The forgetful functors above fit in as the straight arrows in the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{Ass}_k^{\mathrm{dg}} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & (-)_L & & \mathrm{CAlg}_k^{\mathrm{dg}} \\
 \mathrm{Lie}_k^{\mathrm{dg}} & & \downarrow \mathrm{oblv}_A & & \\
 & \searrow \mathrm{oblv}_L & \downarrow & \swarrow \mathrm{oblv}_C & \\
 & & \mathrm{Vect}_k^{\mathrm{dg}} & & 
 \end{array}$$

Our goal is to construct the curved arrows in this diagram. It turns out, as we will see, each of the curved arrows are *left adjoints* to the straight forgetful functors in the diagram.

2.1.1. *The free algebras.* The free algebra functor is given by the tensor algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tens}(-) : \text{Vect}_k^{\text{dg}} &\rightarrow \text{Ass}_k^{\text{dg}} \\ V &\mapsto \text{Tens}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}. \end{aligned}$$

One extends the differential by the rule

$$d(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|v_1| \cdots |v_{i-1}|} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (dv_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n.$$

**Fact 1.** The functor  $\text{Tens}(-)$  is *left adjoint* to the forgetful functor  $\text{oblv}_A : \text{Ass}_k^{\text{dg}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k^{\text{dg}}$ .

The free commutative algebra is the symmetric algebra on the dg vector space. This is the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}(-) : \text{Vect}_k^{\text{dg}} &\rightarrow \text{Ass}_k^{\text{dg}} \\ V &\mapsto \text{Sym}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (V^{\otimes n})_{S_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The differential is defined in the same way as the tensor algebra.

**Fact 2.** The functor  $\text{Sym}(-)$  is *left adjoint* to the forgetful functor  $\text{oblv}_C : \text{CAlg}_k^{\text{dg}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k^{\text{dg}}$ .

Finally, there is a free dg Lie algebra functor define as follows. Take the tensor algebra  $\text{Tens}(V)$  and look at the dg subspace  $V = V^{\otimes 1} \subset \text{Tens}(V)$ . One defines  $\text{free}_{\text{Lie}}(V)$  to be the sub dg Lie algebra of  $\text{Tens}(V)_L$  generated by this subspace  $V$ .

**Fact 3.** The functor  $\text{free}_L$  is *left adjoint* to the forgetful functor  $\text{oblv}_L : \text{Lie}_k^{\text{dg}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k^{\text{dg}}$ .

2.1.2. *The enveloping algebra.* The enveloping algebra functor is

$$\begin{aligned} U : \text{Lie}_k^{\text{dg}} &\rightarrow \text{Ass}_k^{\text{dg}} \\ \mathfrak{g} &\mapsto \text{Tens}(\mathfrak{g})/\mathcal{J} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the dg ideal of  $\text{Tens}(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by expressions of the form

$$x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|} (y \otimes x) - [x, y].$$

**Fact 4.** The enveloping algebra functor  $U(-)$  is *left adjoint* to the forgetful functor

$$(-)_L : \text{Ass}_k^{\text{dg}} \rightarrow \text{Lie}_k^{\text{dg}}.$$

*Remark 2.1.* Just like the tensor algebra, the enveloping algebra admits a natural increasing filtration

$$F^{\leq k} U(\mathfrak{g}) = \left( \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq k} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes i} \right) / \mathcal{J}.$$

The associated graded  $\text{Gr } U(\mathfrak{g})$  is naturally a dg algebra. In fact, it is a commutative dg algebra. By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, the natural linear map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow F^{\leq 1} U(\mathfrak{g})$  induces an isomorphism of commutative dg algebras

$$\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}^k(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gr } U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

**2.2. Quasi-isomorphisms.** A map of dg vector spaces (cochain complexes)  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map of grading degree zero that commutes with the differentials. If  $V = \{V^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $W = \{W^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , this is equivalent to the data of linear maps  $f^n : V^n \rightarrow W^n$  for each  $n$  such that  $d_W^n f^n = f^n d_V^n$ . Such a map induces a linear map in cohomology  $H^*f : H^*(V) \rightarrow H^*(W)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A map of dg vector spaces  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a **quasi-isomorphism** if  $H^*(f) : H^*(V) \rightarrow H^*(W)$  is an isomorphism. In this case, we will write  $f : V \xrightarrow{\sim} W$ .

The definition for dg Lie algebras is similar. Note that a map of dg Lie algebra  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is, in particular, compatible with the differentials on both sides. Thus, it induces a map in cohomology  $H^*(f) : H^*(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathfrak{h})$ . This is a map of *graded* Lie algebras (dg Lie algebras with zero differential).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  be a map of dg Lie algebras. We say  $f$  is a **quasi-isomorphism** if the underlying map of dg vector spaces is a quasi-isomorphism.

This definition simply ports the notion of quasi-isomorphism of dg vector spaces to dg Lie algebras using the forgetful functor  $\text{oblv}_L : \text{Lie}_k^{\text{dg}} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k^{\text{dg}}$ . We can do the same trick for commutative and associative dg algebras. Namely, a map of commutative/associative dg algebras is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if it is a quasi-isomorphism of underlying dg vector spaces.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose  $f : \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}$  is a quasi-isomorphism of dg Lie algebras. Then, the corresponding map of deformation functors*

$$\text{Def}(f) : \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Def}_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

In fact, in order to obtain an isomorphism of deformation functors, all one needs to assume is certain conditions on the map of dg Lie algebras at the level of  $H^0$ ,  $H^1$ , and  $H^2$ . This is reasonable since  $\text{Def}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  only cares about the dg Lie algebra in those degrees. The proof of this claim will lead us too far astray from our present goal, but can be found in Chapter 3 of [Man99].

## REFERENCES

- [Man99] Marco Manetti. Deformation theory via differential graded Lie algebras. In *Algebraic Geometry Seminars, 1998–1999 (Italian) (Pisa)*, pages 21–48. Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 1999.
- [Man09] Marco Manetti. Differential graded Lie algebras and formal deformation theory. In *Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2*, volume 80 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 785–810. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.