

HIGHER KAC-MOODY

BW: Add intro comparing to Kapranov-Hennion-Faonte.

1. THE LOCAL LIE ALGEBRA

Let X be a fixed complex d -fold and let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. (We assume it is an ordinary Lie algebra, but slight modifications will allow one to handle dg Lie or L_∞ algebras.) For each open set $U \subset X$ define

$$\mathfrak{g}^X(U) = \Omega^{0,*}(U) \otimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

The $\bar{\partial}$ differential for U extended naturally to $\mathfrak{g}^X(U)$ by $\bar{\partial} \otimes 1$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}^X(U)$ has a natural Lie bracket defined by the rule

$$[\omega \otimes X, \omega' \otimes X'] = \omega \wedge \omega' \otimes [X, X']_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where $[-, -]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Lie bracket for \mathfrak{g} . Thus, $\mathfrak{g}^X(U)$ has the structure of a dg-Lie algebra.

Lemma 1.1. *The assignment $\mathfrak{g}^X : U \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^X(U)$ defines a local Lie algebra.*

1.1. **dg vs L_∞ .** BW: this may be an unnecessary section. Want to stress that KHF do not write down an explicit L_∞ -model but it will often be convenient for us to use one.

Suppose V is a dg vector space. Then, the symmetric algebra

$$\mathrm{Sym}(V) := \prod_k \mathrm{Sym}^k(V)$$

has the natural structure of a dg cocommutative coalgebra.

Definition 1.2. An L_∞ algebra is a dg vector space V together with a coderivation

$$D : \mathrm{Sym}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(V).$$

A morphism of L_∞ algebras $f : (V, D) \rightarrow (V', D')$ is a morphism of dg cocommutative coalgebras

$$f : (\mathrm{Sym}(V), D) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sym}(V'), D').$$

Denote the category of L_∞ algebras by $L_\infty \mathrm{Alg}$.

We may remark about dg Lie algebras and their close relatives, L_∞ algebras.

Theorem 1.3. BW: Kriz and May? Every L_∞ algebra (V, D) is quasi-isomorphic (in the category $L_\infty \mathrm{Alg}$) to a dg Lie algebra.

By an L_∞ algebra model for a dg Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we mean an L_∞ algebra (L, D) together with a quasi-isomorphism $(L, D) \simeq \mathfrak{g}$.

Suppose \mathfrak{g} is a dg Lie algebra. Let $\theta \in C_{\mathrm{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g})$ be a cocycle of degree 2, so its cohomology class is an element $[\theta] \in H_{\mathrm{Lie}}^2(\mathfrak{g})$. By BW: ref, we know that θ determines a central extension in the category of dg Lie algebras:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot K \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$$

that only depends, up to isomorphism, on the cohomology class of θ .

The explicit dg Lie algebra structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ may be tricky to describe. However, if we are willing to work in the category of L_∞ algebras, there is an explicit model for \mathfrak{g} as an L_∞ algebra. The underlying dg vector space for the L_∞ algebra is the same as that of the dg Lie algebra, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$. To equip this with an L_∞ structure we need to provide a coderivation $D = D_1 + D_2 + \dots$ for the cocommutative coalgebra $\mathrm{Sym}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K) = \prod_k \mathrm{Sym}^k(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K)$. Indeed, we define

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(X_1) &= d_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_1) + \theta(X_1) \\ D_2(X_1, X_2) &= [X_1, X_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \theta(X_1, X_2) \\ D_k(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= \theta(X_1, \dots, X_k), \text{ for } k \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

One immediately checks that $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K, D)$ is an L_∞ model for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Example 1.4. As an example, consider the following L_∞ model for the dg Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$. As a dg vector space $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$ is of the form $A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$. The only nonzero components of the coderivation determining the L_∞ structure are D_1, D_2 , and D_{d+1} and they are determined by $D_1(aX) = (\bar{\partial}a)X$, $D_2(aX, bY) = (a \wedge b)[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and

$$D_{d+1}(a_0X_0, \dots, a_dX_d) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} (a_0 \wedge \partial a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial a_d) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d) \cdot K.$$

2. LOCAL COCYCLES FROM POLYNOMIALS

Being a local Lie algebra we can consider its local Chevalley-Eilenberg complex. It defined as

$$C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X) =$$

Recall, a local k -cocycle of a local Lie algebra determines a $(k-2)$ -shifted central extension, by the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$. We are interested in (-1) -shifted central extensions, and hence, local 1-cocycles. For \mathfrak{g}^X we can describe such a family of 1-cocycles.

Let P be an invariant polynomial of \mathfrak{g} of homogenous degree $d+1$. That is, $P \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}}$. We can extend P to a functional on $\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} P^X : \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\omega_1 \otimes X_1, \dots, \omega_{d+1} \otimes X_{d+1}) &\mapsto (\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{d+1}) P(X_1, \dots, X_{d+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. *The assignment*

$$J : \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}}[-1] \rightarrow C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X)$$

sending and invariant polynomial P , of homogeneous degree $d+1$, to the local functional

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d+1}) \mapsto \int P^X(\alpha_1, \partial \alpha_2, \dots, \partial \alpha_{d+1})$$

is a cochain map. Moreover, it is injective at the level of cohomology.

Remark 2.2. We extend the operator $\partial : \Omega^{k,l} \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1,l}$ to $\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Omega^{1,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ by the operator $\partial \otimes 1$.

3. THE FACTORIZATION ALGEBRA

Given any cocycle $\theta \in C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X)$ of degree one we define a factorization algebra on X .

Definition 3.1. Let θ be a local cocycle of \mathfrak{g}^X of cohomological degree one. Define $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^X$ to be the factorization algebra on X that assigns to an open set $U \subset X$ the cochain complex $C_*^{\operatorname{Lie},\theta}(\mathfrak{g}^X(U))$. In other words, $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^X$ is the twisted factorization envelope $\operatorname{U}_{\theta}^{\operatorname{fact}}(\mathfrak{g}^X)$.

Explicitly, on an open set $U \subset X$, the cochain complex $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^X(U)$ has as its underlying graded vector space

$$\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}_c^X(U)[1] \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K)$$

and the differential is given by $\bar{\partial} + d_{\mathfrak{g}} + \theta$ where $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the extension of the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential for \mathfrak{g} to the Dolbeault complex, and where θ is extended to the full symmetric algebra by the rule that it is a (graded) derivation.

Example 3.2. As an example, using the map J of Proposition 2.1, we can construct a factorization algebra on X for any invariant polynomial $P \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since j is injective, we obtain a unique factorization algebra for every such polynomial, hence it makes sense to denote $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},P}^X := \operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},j(P)}^X$.

4. HIGHER LOOP ALGEBRAS

In this section we restrict to the complex manifold $X = \mathbb{C}^d$. We will extract from the Kac-Moody factorization algebra on \mathbb{C}^d an associative algebra...

4.1. A model for the annulus. BW: Facts about the Dolbeault cohomology of the higher annulus. It is not Stein! Recall the Jouanolou model, denoted A_d .

Consider the radial projection map

$$\text{rad} : \mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

sending $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ to $|z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_d|^2}$.

BW: This is essentially in KHF, should we recall it?

Lemma 4.1. *There is a map of commutative dg algebras*

$$j : A_d \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0)$$

that induces a quasi-isomorphism $A_d \simeq \oplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_c^{0,*}(\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0)^{(k)}$.

Note that j induces a map of commutative dg algebras $j : A_d \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I))$ where $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is any interval. If $a \in A_d$ we will denote the resulting element in the Dolbeault complex by $a(z) := j(a)$.

4.2. The case of zero level. BW: only look at annular part

First we will consider the higher Kac-Moody factorization algebra on \mathbb{C}^d “at level zero”. That is, the factorization algebra $\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\mathbb{C}^d}$.

We obtain a factorization algebra on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ via pushing forward the higher Kac-Moody factorization algebra along the radial projection map $\text{rad}_* \left(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0} \right)$. Explicitly, to an open set $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ this factorization algebra assigns the dg vector space

$$\mathcal{C}_*^{\text{Lie}} \left(\Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I)) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \right).$$

When I is an interval, the subset $\text{rad}^{-1}(I) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is a higher dimensional annulus as mentioned above. It is homeomorphic to $S^{2d-1} \times I$.

We wish to compare this one-dimensional factorization algebra to the higher current Lie algebra $A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, or more accurately, its universal enveloping algebra $U(A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. The universal enveloping algebra has the structure of a dg associative algebra and so defines a factorization algebra on any one-manifold. Let $U(A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\text{fact}}$ be the corresponding factorization algebra on the manifold $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be an open subset. There is the natural map $\text{rad}^* : \Omega_c^*(I) \rightarrow \Omega_c^*(\text{rad}^{-1}(I))$ given by pulling back differential forms. We can post-compose this with the natural projection $\text{pr}_{\Omega_c^{0,*}} : \Omega_c^* \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}$ to obtain a map of commutative algebras $\text{pr}_{\Omega_c^{0,*}} \circ \text{rad}^* : \Omega_c^*(I) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I))$. Using the map j defined in Section BW: ref we obtain a map of commutative dg algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(I) = (\text{pr}_{\Omega_c^{0,*}} \circ \text{rad}^*) \otimes j : \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes A_d &\rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I)) \\ \varphi \otimes a &\mapsto ((\text{pr}_{\Omega_c^{0,*}} \circ \text{rad}^*)\varphi) \wedge j(a) \end{aligned}$$

Since this is a map of commutative dg algebras it defines a map of dg Lie algebras

$$\Phi(I) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} : (\Omega_c^*(I) \otimes A_d) \otimes \mathfrak{g} = \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I)) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

which maps $\varphi \otimes a \otimes X \mapsto \Phi(\varphi \otimes a) \otimes X$. BW: Explicitly... . We will drop the $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ from the notation and will denote this map simply by $\Phi(I)$. Note that $\Phi(I)$ is compatible with inclusions of open sets, hence extends to a map of cosheaves of dg Lie algebras that we will call Φ .

Proposition 4.2. *The map Φ extends to a map of factorization Lie algebras*

$$\Phi : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{rad}_* \left(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0,c}^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \right).$$

Hence, it defines a map of factorization algebras

$$\mathcal{C}_*(\Phi) : (U(A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}))^{\text{fact}} \rightarrow \text{rad}_* \left(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0} \right).$$

4.3. The case of non-zero level.

Theorem 4.3. *There is a map of factorization algebras on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$*

$$(U\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta})^{fact} \rightarrow \text{rad}_* \left(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^{\mathbb{C}^d} |_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0} \right).$$

Moreover, its image is quasi-isomorphic to the subfactorization algebra consisting of the S^1 -eigenspaces

$$\mathcal{A}_{d,\mathfrak{g},\theta} := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{rad}_* \left(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^{\mathbb{C}^d} |_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0} \right)^{(k)} \subset \text{rad}_* \left(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^{\mathbb{C}^d} |_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0} \right).$$

We will write down a sequence of maps of factorization Lie algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathfrak{g}_1 & & \mathfrak{g}_2 \\ & \nearrow \simeq & & \nwarrow \simeq & \\ \mathfrak{g}_0 & & \Phi_1 & & \mathfrak{g}'_1 & & \Phi_2 & & \end{array}$$

First, we introduce the factorization Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$. To an open set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, it assigns the dg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0(I) = \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$. The differential and Lie bracket are determined by the fact that we are tensoring a commutative dg algebra with a dg Lie algebra. This factorization Lie algebra is a central extension of the factorization Lie algebra $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ by the trivial module $\Omega_c^* \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$. The cocycle determining the central extension is given by

$$\theta_0(\varphi_0 \alpha_0, \dots, \varphi_d \alpha_d) = (\varphi_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_d) \theta_{A_d}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d).$$

A slight variant of Proposition 3.4.0.1 in [?] shows that there is a quasi-isomorphism of factorization algebras on \mathbb{R}

$$(U\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta})^{fact} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{C}_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_0).$$

We define the factorization dg Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_1 on \mathbb{R} . First, consider the factorization dg Lie algebra on \mathbb{R} given by $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. This assigns to an open set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ the dg Lie algebra $\Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. Equivalently, this is the compactly supported sections of the local Lie algebra $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$.

The factorization dg Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_1 is a central extension of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot K[-1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow 0$$

determined by the following cocycle. For an open interval I write $\varphi_i \in \Omega_c^*(I)$, $\alpha_i \in A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. The cocycle is defined by

$$(1) \quad \theta_1(\varphi_0 \alpha_0, \dots, \varphi_d \alpha_d) = \left(\int_I \varphi_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_d \right) \theta_{A_d}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d)$$

Recall, if we write $\alpha_i = a_i X_i$ for $a_i \in A_d$, $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ the cocycle θ_{A_d} is given by

$$\theta_{A_d}(a_0 X_0, \dots, a_d X_d) = \text{Res}_{z=0} (a_0 \wedge \partial a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial a_d) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

The functional θ_1 actually determines a local cocycle in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}))$ of degree one. As above, if

We define a map of factorization Lie algebras $\Phi_1 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$. On an open set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\Phi_1(\varphi \alpha, \psi K) = \left(\varphi \alpha, \int \psi \cdot K \right)$$

For a fixed open set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, the map Φ_1 fits into the commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot K & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_0(I) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \cdot K[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_1(I) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

To see that Φ_1 is a map of dg Lie algebras we simply observe that the cocycles determining the central extensions are related by $\theta_1 = \int \circ \theta_0$, where $\int : \Omega_c^*(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as in the diagram above.

We now define the factorization dg Lie algebra \mathcal{G}'_1 . Like \mathcal{G}_1 , it is a central extension of $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. The cocycle determining the central extension is defined by

$$\theta'_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \theta_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) + \tilde{\theta}_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d)$$

where θ_1 was defined in Equation (1). Before writing down the explicit formula for $\tilde{\theta}_1$ we introduce some notation. Set

$$E = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

$$d\vartheta = \sum_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}.$$

We view E as a vector field on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $d\vartheta$ as a $(1,0)$ -form on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0$. The functional

$$\tilde{\theta}_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_I \varphi_0(E \cdot \varphi_i) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d \right) \left(\oint (a_0 a_i d\vartheta) \partial_{a_1} \cdots \widehat{\partial_{a_i}} \cdots \partial_{a_d} \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

The functional $\tilde{\theta}$ defines a local functional in $C_{\text{loc}}^* \left(\Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \right)$ of cohomological degree one. One immediately checks that it is a cocycle.

In fact, we will show that $\tilde{\theta}_1$ is actually an exact cocycle. We will see this by displaying an explicit cobounding functional. Define the local functional

$$\eta(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_I \varphi_0(\iota_E \varphi_i) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d \right) \left(\oint (a_0 a_i d\vartheta) \partial_{a_1} \cdots \widehat{\partial_{a_i}} \cdots \partial_{a_d} \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

Lemma 4.4. *One has $d\eta = \tilde{\theta}_1$, where d is the differential for the cochain complex $C_{\text{loc}}^* (\Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}))$. In particular, the factorization Lie algebras \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}'_1 are quasi-isomorphic. An explicit quasi-isomorphism is given by BW:*

Finally, we define the factorization Lie algebra \mathcal{G}_2 . We have already seen that the local cocycle $J(\theta) \in C_{\text{loc}}^* (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}^d})$ determines a central extension of factorization Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot K[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{J(\theta)} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^d, c}^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0.$$

Of course, we can restrict $\mathcal{G}_{J(\theta)}$ to a factorization algebra on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0$. The factorization algebra \mathcal{G}_2 is defined as the pushforward of this restriction along the radial projection: $\mathcal{G}_2 := \text{rad}_* \left(\mathcal{G}_{J(\theta)}|_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0} \right)$.

Recall the map $\Phi : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}, c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{rad}_* (\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0, c}^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ defined in BW: ref. On each open set $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we can extend Φ by the identity on the central element to a linear map $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{G}'_1(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(I)$.

Lemma 4.5. *The map $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{G}'_1(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(I)$ is a map of dg Lie algebras. Moreover, it extends to a map of factorization Lie algebras $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{G}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$.*

Proof. Modulo the central element Φ_2 reduces to the map Φ , which we have already seen is a map of factorization Lie algebras in Proposition BW: ref. Thus, to show that Φ_2 is a map of factorization Lie algebras we need to show that it is compatible with the cocycles determining the respective central extensions. That is, we need to show that

$$(2) \quad \theta'_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \theta_2(\Phi(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0), \dots, \Phi(\varphi_d a_d X_d))$$

for all $\varphi_i a_i X_i \in \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. The cocycle θ'_1 is only nonzero if one of the φ_i inputs is a 1-form. We evaluate the left-hand side on the $(d+1)$ -tuple $(\varphi_0 dr a_0 X_0, \varphi_1 a_1 X_1, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d)$ where $\varphi_i \in C_c^\infty(I)$, $a_i \in A_d$, $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. The result is

$$(3) \quad \left(\int_I \varphi_0 \cdots \varphi_d dr \right) \left(\oint a_0 \partial_{a_1} \cdots \partial_{a_d} \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d)$$

$$(4) \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_I \varphi_0(E \cdot \varphi_i) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d dr \right) \left(\oint (a_0 a_i d\vartheta) \partial_{a_1} \cdots \widehat{\partial_{a_i}} \cdots \partial_{a_d} \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d)$$

We wish to compare this to the right-hand side of Equation (2). Recall that $\Phi(\varphi_0 \mathrm{d}r a_0 X_0) = \varphi(r) \mathrm{d}r a_0(z) X_0$ and $\Phi(\varphi_i a_i X_i) = \varphi(r) a_i(z) X_i$. Plugging this into the explicit formula for the cocycle θ_2 we see the right-hand side of (2) is

$$(5) \quad \left(\int_{\mathrm{rad}^{-1}(I)} \varphi_0(r) \mathrm{d}r a_0(z) \partial(\varphi_1(r) a_1(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(r) a_d(z)) \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

We pick out the term in (5) in which the ∂ operators only act on the elements $a_i(z)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. This term is of the form

$$\int_{\mathrm{rad}^{-1}(I)} \varphi_0(r) \cdots \varphi_d(r) \mathrm{d}r a_0(z) \partial(a_1(z)) \cdots \partial(a_d(z)) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

Separating variables we find that this is precisely the first term (3) in the expansion of the left-hand side of (2).

Now, note that we can rewrite the ∂ -operator in terms of the radius r as

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathrm{d}z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathrm{d}z_i \bar{z}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial(r^2)} = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathrm{d}z_i \frac{r^2}{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

The remaining terms in (5) correspond to the expansion of

$$\partial(\varphi_1(r) a_1(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(r) a_d(z)),$$

using the Leibniz rule, for which the ∂ operators act on at least one of the functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d$. In fact, only terms in which ∂ acts on precisely one of the functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d$ will be nonzero. For instance, consider the term

$$(6) \quad (\partial \varphi_1) a_1(z) (\partial \varphi_2) a_2(z) \partial(\varphi_3(z) a_3(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(z) a_d(z)).$$

Now, $\partial \varphi_i(r) = \omega \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}$ where ω is the one-form $\sum_i (r^2/2z_i) \mathrm{d}z_i$. Thus, (6) is equal to

$$\left(\omega \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial r} \right) a_1(z) \left(\omega \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial r} \right) a_2(z) \partial(\varphi_3(z) a_3(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(z) a_d(z)),$$

which is clearly zero as ω appears twice.

We observe that terms in the expansion of (5) for which ∂ acts on precisely one of the functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d$ can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathrm{rad}^{-1}(I)} \varphi_0(r) \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi_i(r) \right) \varphi_1(r) \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i(r)} \cdots \varphi_d(r) \mathrm{d}r \frac{r}{2z_i} \mathrm{d}z_i a_0(z) a_i(z) \partial a_1(z) \cdots \widehat{\partial a_i(z)} \cdots \partial a_d(z).$$

Finally, notice that the function $z_i/2r$ is independent of the radius r . Thus, separating variables we find the integral can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_I \varphi_0 \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi_i \right) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d \mathrm{d}r \right) \left(\oint \frac{\mathrm{d}z_i}{z_i} a_0 a_i \partial a_2 \cdots \widehat{\partial a_i} \cdots \partial a_d \right).$$

This is precisely equal to the second term (4) above. Hence, the cocycles are compatible and the proof is complete. \square