

## HIGHER KAC-MOODY

BW: Everything here is essentially in Kapranov, Hennion, ...

### 1. THE LOCAL LIE ALGEBRA

Let  $X$  be a fixed complex  $d$ -fold and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. (We assume it is an ordinary Lie algebra, but slight modifications will allow one to handle dg Lie or  $L_\infty$  algebras.) For each open set  $U \subset X$  define

$$\mathfrak{g}^X(U) = \Omega^{0,*}(U) \otimes \mathfrak{g}.$$

The  $\bar{\partial}$  differential for  $U$  extended naturally to  $\mathfrak{g}^X(U)$  by  $\bar{\partial} \otimes 1$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{g}^X(U)$  has a natural Lie bracket defined by the rule

$$[\omega \otimes X, \omega' \otimes X'] = \omega \wedge \omega' \otimes [X, X']_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where  $[-, -]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the Lie bracket for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{g}^X(U)$  has the structure of a dg-Lie algebra.

**Lemma 1.1.** *The assignment  $\mathfrak{g}^X : U \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^X(U)$  defines a local Lie algebra.*

1.1. **dg vs  $L_\infty$ .** Suppose  $V$  is a dg vector space. Then, the symmetric algebra

$$\mathrm{Sym}(V) := \prod_k \mathrm{Sym}^k(V)$$

has the natural structure of a dg cocommutative coalgebra.

**Definition 1.2.** An  $L_\infty$  algebra is a dg vector space  $V$  together with a coderivation

$$D : \mathrm{Sym}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}(V).$$

A morphism of  $L_\infty$  algebras  $f : (V, D) \rightarrow (V', D')$  is a morphism of dg cocommutative coalgebras

$$f : (\mathrm{Sym}(V), D) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sym}(V'), D').$$

Denote the category of  $L_\infty$  algebras by  $L_\infty \mathrm{Alg}$ .

We may make a remark about dg Lie algebras and their close relatives,  $L_\infty$  algebras.

**Theorem 1.3.** BW: Kriz and May? Every  $L_\infty$  algebra  $(V, D)$  is quasi-isomorphic (in the category  $L_\infty \mathrm{Alg}$ ) to a dg Lie algebra.

By an  $L_\infty$  algebra model for a dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we mean an  $L_\infty$  algebra  $(L, D)$  together with a quasi-isomorphism  $(L, D) \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .

Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a dg Lie algebra. Let  $\theta \in C_{\mathrm{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g})$  be a cocycle of degree 2, so its cohomology class is an element  $[\theta] \in H_{\mathrm{Lie}}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ . By BW: ref, we know that  $\theta$  determines a central extension in the category of dg Lie algebras:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot K \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$$

that only depends, up to isomorphism, on the cohomology class of  $\theta$ .

The explicit dg Lie algebra structure on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  may be tricky to describe. However, if we are willing to work in the category of  $L_\infty$  algebras, there is an explicit model for  $\mathfrak{g}$  as an  $L_\infty$  algebra. The underlying dg vector space for the  $L_\infty$  algebra is the same as that of the dg Lie algebra,  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$ . To equip this with an  $L_\infty$  structure we need to provide a coderivation  $D = D_1 + D_2 + \dots$  for the cocommutative coalgebra  $\mathrm{Sym}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K) = \prod_k \mathrm{Sym}^k(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K)$ . Indeed, we define

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(X_1) &= d_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_1) + \theta(X_1) \\ D_2(X_1, X_2) &= [X_1, X_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \theta(X_1, X_2) \\ D_k(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= \theta(X_1, \dots, X_k), \text{ for } k \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

One immediately checks that  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K, D)$  is an  $L_\infty$  model for  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

*Example 1.4.* As an example, consider the following  $L_\infty$  model for the dg Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$ . As a dg vector space  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$  is of the form  $A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$ . The only nonzero components of the coderivation determining the  $L_\infty$  structure are  $D_1, D_2$ , and  $D_{d+1}$  and they are determined by  $D_1(aX) = (\bar{\partial}a)X$ ,  $D_2(aX, bY) = (a \wedge b)[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and

$$D_{d+1}(a_0X_0, \dots, a_dX_d) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} (a_0 \wedge \partial a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial a_d) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d) \cdot K.$$

## 2. LOCAL COCYCLES FROM POLYNOMIALS

Being a local Lie algebra we can consider its local Chevalley-Eilenberg complex. It defined as

$$C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X) =$$

Recall, a local  $k$ -cocycle of a local Lie algebra determines a  $(k-2)$ -shifted central extension, by the constant sheaf  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ . We are interested in  $(-1)$ -shifted central extensions, and hence, local 1-cocycles. For  $\mathfrak{g}^X$  we can describe such a family of 1-cocycles.

Let  $P$  be an invariant polynomial of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of homogenous degree  $d+1$ . That is,  $P \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . We can extend  $P$  to a functional on  $\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} P^X : \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\omega_1 \otimes X_1, \dots, \omega_{d+1} \otimes X_{d+1}) &\mapsto (\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{d+1}) P(X_1, \dots, X_{d+1}) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *The assignment*

$$J : \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}}[-1] \rightarrow C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X)$$

*sending and invariant polynomial  $P$ , of homogeneous degree  $d+1$ , to the local functional*

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d+1}) \mapsto \int P^X(\alpha_1, \partial \alpha_2, \dots, \partial \alpha_{d+1})$$

*is a cochain map. Moreover, it is injective at the level of cohomology.*

*Remark 2.2.* We extend the operator  $\partial : \Omega^{k,l} \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1,l}$  to  $\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Omega^{1,*}(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  by the operator  $\partial \otimes 1$ .

## 3. THE FACTORIZATION ALGEBRA

Given any cocycle  $\theta \in C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X)$  of degree one we define a factorization algebra on  $X$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\theta$  be a local cocycle of  $\mathfrak{g}^X$  of cohomological degree one. Define  $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^X$  to be the factorization algebra on  $X$  that assigns to an open set  $U \subset X$  the cochain complex  $C_*^{\operatorname{Lie},\theta}(\mathfrak{g}^X(U))$ . In other words,  $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^X$  is the twisted factorization envelope  $\operatorname{U}_{\theta}^{\operatorname{fact}}(\mathfrak{g}^X)$ .

Explicitly, on an open set  $U \subset X$ , the cochain complex  $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^X(U)$  has as its underlying graded vector space

$$\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}_c^X(U)[1] \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K)$$

and the differential is given by  $\bar{\partial} + d_{\mathfrak{g}} + \theta$  where  $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the extension of the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential for  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the Dolbeault complex, and where  $\theta$  is extended to the full symmetric algebra by the rule that it is a (graded) derivation.

*Example 3.2.* As an example, using the map  $J$  of Proposition ??, we can construct a factorization algebra on  $X$  for any invariant polynomial  $P \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1}(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Since  $j$  is injective, we obtain a unique factorization algebra for every such polynomial, hence it makes sense to denote  $\operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},P}^X := \operatorname{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},j(P)}^X$ .

## 4. HIGHER LOOP ALGEBRAS

In this section we restrict to the complex manifold  $X = \mathbb{C}^d$ . We will extract from the Kac-Moody factorization algebra on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  an associative algebra...

**4.1. A model for the annulus.** Recall, the polydisk centered at  $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$  of radius  $r$  was defined to be the following open subset

$$\text{PD}_r^d(z) = \{(w_1, \dots, w_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid |w_i - z_i| < r\} \subset \mathbb{C}^d.$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , and  $0 < r < R < \infty$  define the following open subset

$$A_{r<R}^d(z) = \text{PD}_R^d(z) \setminus \overline{\text{PD}_r^d(z)}$$

We think of this as a model for the  $d$ -dimensional annulus. When  $z = 0$  we simply denote this by  $A_{r<R}^d$ .

We will need a convenient model for the Dolbeault complex  $\Omega^{0,*}(A_{r<R}^d)$  of this  $d$ -dimensional annulus. For  $d = 1$  the  $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology of  $A_{r<R}^d$  is concentrated in degree zero (in fact, any open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is Stein).

For  $d > 1$ , the  $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology of  $A_{r<R}^d$  is concentrated in degrees 0 and  $d - 1$ . In degree zero, of course,  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^0(A_{r<R}^d)$  is identified with holomorphic functions on  $A_{r<R}^d$ . In degree  $d - 1$  ...

There is a natural action of the  $d$ -dimensional torus  $(S^1)^d = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$  on  $A_{r<R}^d$  given by rotating each coordinate:

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_d) = (\lambda_1 z_1, \dots, \lambda_d z_d).$$

We obtained an induced action of  $S^1$  via the diagonal embedding  $S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$ . This induces an action on the Dolbeault complex of  $A_{r<R}^d$ . Let

$$\left( \Omega^{0,*}(A_{r<R}^d) \right)^{(k)} \subset \Omega^{0,*}(A_{r<R}^d)$$

denote the weight  $k$  subspace.

**BW:** Recall Jouanolou model, denoted  $A_d$ .

There is a map of commutative dg algebras

$$j : A_d \rightarrow \Omega^{0,*}(\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0).$$

If  $a \in A_d$  we will denote the resulting element in the Dolbeault complex of  $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0$  by  $a(z) := j(a)$ .

**4.2.** First we will consider the higher Kac-Moody factorization algebra on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  “at level zero”. That is, the factorization algebra  $\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\mathbb{C}^d}$ . There is a natural way to obtain an associative algebra out of this factorization algebra. Namely, we look at its restriction to  $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0$  and consider the radial projection map

$$\text{rad} : \mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

sending  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$  to  $|z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_d|^2}$ . We obtain a factorization algebra on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  via pushing forward the higher Kac-Moody factorization algebra  $\text{rad}_* \text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0}$ . Explicitly, to an open set  $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  it assigns the dg vector space

$$\mathcal{C}_*^{\text{Lie}} \left( \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I)) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \right).$$

When  $I = (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is an interval, the subset  $\text{rad}^{-1}(I) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  is an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of the  $2d - 1$  sphere. It is homeomorphic to  $S^{2d-1} \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ .

We wish to compare this one-dimensional factorization algebra to the higher loop Lie algebra we described above,  $A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . Consider the associative algebra given by the universal enveloping algebra of this dg Lie algebra  $U(A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ . **BW:** define We construct a map of factorization algebras on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  from the factorization algebra corresponding to the associative algebra  $U(A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  to  $\text{rad}_* \text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0}$ .

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be an open subset. There is the natural map  $\text{rad}^* : \Omega_c^*(I) \rightarrow \Omega_c^*(\text{rad}^{-1}(I))$  sending a form  $\varphi$  to the pull-back  $\text{rad}^* \varphi$ . We can post-compose this with the natural projection  $\text{pr}_{\Omega^{0,*}} : \Omega_c^* \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}$  to obtain a map of commutative algebras  $\text{pr}_{\Omega^{0,*}} \circ \text{rad}^* : \Omega_c^*(I) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I))$ . Now, consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = (\text{pr}_{\Omega^{0,*}} \circ \text{rad}^*) \otimes j : \quad & \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes A_d \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I)) \\ \varphi \otimes a \quad & \mapsto ((\text{pr}_{\Omega^{0,*}} \circ \text{rad}^*) \varphi) \wedge j(a) \end{aligned}$$

Since this is a map of commutative dg algebras it defines a map of dg Lie algebras

$$\Phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} : (\Omega_c^*(I) \otimes A_d) \otimes \mathfrak{g} = \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{0,*}(\text{rad}^{-1}(I)) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

which maps  $\varphi \otimes a \otimes X \mapsto \Phi(\varphi \otimes a) \otimes X$ . **BW: Explicitly...** We will drop the  $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  from the notation and will denote this map simply by  $\Phi$ . Clearly, this map is compatible with inclusions and hence extends to a map of cosheaves of dg Lie algebras

$$\Phi : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{rad}_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0,c}^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}).$$

**BW: factorization Lie algebras**

**Proposition 4.1.** *The map  $\Phi$  is a map of factorization Lie algebras on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Hence, it induces a map of factorization algebras*

$$C_*(\Phi) : (U(A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}))^{fact} \rightarrow \text{rad}_*(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},0}^{\mathbb{C}^d} |_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0}).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *There is a map of factorization algebras on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$*

$$(U\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta})^{fact} \rightarrow \text{rad}_*(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^{\mathbb{C}^d} |_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0}).$$

Moreover, its image is quasi-isomorphic to the subfactorization algebra consisting of the  $S^1$ -eigenspaces

$$\mathcal{A}_{d,\mathfrak{g},\theta} := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{rad}_*(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^{\mathbb{C}^d} |_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0})^{(k)} \subset \text{rad}_*(\text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g},\theta}^{\mathbb{C}^d} |_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0}).$$

We will write down a sequence of maps of factorization Lie algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathfrak{g}_1 & & \mathfrak{g}_2 \\ & \nearrow \simeq & \nwarrow & \nearrow \simeq & \\ \mathfrak{g}_0 & & & & \mathfrak{g}'_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{array}.$$

First, we introduce the factorization Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$ . To an open set  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , it assigns the dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0(I) = \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta}$ . The differential and Lie bracket are determined by the fact that we are tensoring a commutative dg algebra with a dg Lie algebra. This factorization Lie algebra is a central extension of the factorization Lie algebra  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  by the trivial module  $\Omega_c^* \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$ . The cocycle determining the central extension is given by

$$\theta_0(\varphi_0 \alpha_0, \dots, \varphi_d \alpha_d) = (\varphi_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_d) \theta_{A_d}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d).$$

A slight variant of Proposition 3.4.0.1 in [?] shows that there is a quasi-isomorphism of factorization algebras on  $\mathbb{R}$

$$(U\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{d,\theta})^{fact} \xrightarrow{\sim} C_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_0).$$

We define the factorization dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . First, consider the factorization dg Lie algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$  given by  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ . This assigns to an open set  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  the dg Lie algebra  $\Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ . Equivalently, this is the compactly supported sections of the local Lie algebra  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ .

The factorization dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is a central extension of  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot K[-1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow 0$$

determined by the following cocycle. For an open interval  $I$  write  $\varphi_i \in \Omega_c^*(I)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . The cocycle is defined by

$$(1) \quad \theta_1(\varphi_0 \alpha_0, \dots, \varphi_d \alpha_d) = \left( \int_I \varphi_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_d \right) \theta_{A_d}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d)$$

Recall, if we write  $\alpha_i = a_i X_i$  for  $a_i \in A_d$ ,  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  the cocycle  $\theta_{A_d}$  is given by

$$\theta_{A_d}(a_0 X_0, \dots, a_d X_d) = \text{Res}_{z=0} (a_0 \wedge \partial a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial a_d) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

The functional  $\theta_1$  actually determines a local cocycle in  $C_{\text{loc}}^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}))$  of degree one. As above, if

We define a map of factorization Lie algebras  $\Phi_1 : \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ . On an open set  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$\Phi_1(\varphi \alpha, \psi K) = \left( \varphi \alpha, \int \psi \cdot K \right)$$

For a fixed open set  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the map  $\Phi_1$  fits into the commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_0(I) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \cdot K[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_1(I) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

To see that  $\Phi_1$  is a map of dg Lie algebras we simply observe that the cocycles determining the central extensions are related by  $\theta_1 = \int \circ \theta_0$ , where  $\int : \Omega_c^*(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as in the diagram above.

We now define the factorization dg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}'_1$ . Like  $\mathcal{G}_1$ , it is a central extension of  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ . The cocycle determining the central extension is defined by

$$\theta'_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \theta_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) + \tilde{\theta}_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d)$$

where  $\theta_1$  was defined in Equation (??). Before writing down the explicit formula for  $\tilde{\theta}_1$  we introduce some notation. Set

$$E = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

$$d\vartheta = \sum_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}.$$

We view  $E$  as a vector field on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $d\vartheta$  as a  $(1,0)$ -form on  $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0$ . The functional

$$\tilde{\theta}_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_I \varphi_0 (E \cdot \varphi_i) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d \right) \left( \oint (a_0 a_i d\vartheta) \partial a_1 \cdots \widehat{\partial a_i} \cdots \partial a_d \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

The functional  $\tilde{\theta}$  defines a local functional in  $C_{\text{loc}}^* \left( \Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \right)$  of cohomological degree one. One immediately checks that it is a cocycle.

In fact, we will show that  $\tilde{\theta}_1$  is actually an exact cocycle. We will see this by displaying an explicit cobounding functional. Define the local functional

$$\eta(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_I \varphi_0 (\iota_E \varphi_i) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d \right) \left( \oint (a_0 a_i d\vartheta) \partial a_1 \cdots \widehat{\partial a_i} \cdots \partial a_d \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *One has  $d\eta = \tilde{\theta}_1$ , where  $d$  is the differential for the cochain complex  $C_{\text{loc}}^* (\Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}))$ . In particular, the factorization Lie algebras  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}'_1$  are quasi-isomorphic. An explicit quasi-isomorphism is given by BW: ....*

Finally, we define the factorization Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}_2$ . We have already seen that the local cocycle  $J(\theta) \in C_{\text{loc}}^* (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}^d})$  determines a central extension of factorization Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot K[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{J(\theta)} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^d,c}^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0.$$

Of course, we can restrict  $\mathcal{G}_{J(\theta)}$  to a factorization algebra on  $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0$ . The factorization algebra  $\mathcal{G}_2$  is defined as the pushforward of this restriction along the radial projection:  $\mathcal{G}_2 := \text{rad}_* \left( \mathcal{G}_{J(\theta)}|_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0} \right)$ .

Recall the map  $\Phi : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}_{>0},c}^* \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{rad}_* (\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^d \setminus 0,c}^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  defined in BW: ref. On each open set  $I \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  we can extend  $\Phi$  by the identity on the central element to a linear map  $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{G}'_1(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(I)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *The map  $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{G}'_1(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(I)$  is a map of dg Lie algebras. Moreover, it extends to a map of factorization Lie algebras  $\Phi_2 : \mathcal{G}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Modulo the central element  $\Phi_2$  reduces to the map  $\Phi$ , which we have already seen is a map of factorization Lie algebras in Proposition BW: ref. Thus, to show that  $\Phi_2$  is a map of factorization Lie algebras we need to show that it is compatible with the cocycles determining the respective central extensions. That is, we need to show that

$$(2) \quad \theta'_1(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d) = \theta_2(\Phi(\varphi_0 a_0 X_0), \dots, \Phi(\varphi_d a_d X_d))$$

for all  $\varphi_i a_i X_i \in \Omega_c^*(I) \otimes (A_d \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ . The cocycle  $\theta'_1$  is only nonzero if one of the  $\varphi_i$  inputs is a 1-form. We evaluate the left-hand side on the  $(d+1)$ -tuple  $(\varphi_0 \text{dra}_0 X_0, \varphi_1 a_1 X_1, \dots, \varphi_d a_d X_d)$  where  $\varphi_i \in C_c^\infty(I)$ ,  $a_i \in A_d$ ,  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, d$ . The result is

$$(3) \quad \left( \int_I \varphi_0 \cdots \varphi_d \text{dr} \right) \left( \oint a_0 \partial a_1 \cdots \partial a_d \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d)$$

$$(4) \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_I \varphi_0 (E \cdot \varphi_i) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d \text{dr} \right) \left( \oint (a_0 a_i \text{d}\vartheta) \partial a_1 \cdots \widehat{\partial a_i} \cdots \partial a_d \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d)$$

We wish to compare this to the right-hand side of Equation (??). Recall that  $\Phi(\varphi_0 \text{dra}_0 X_0) = \varphi(r) \text{dra}_0(z) X_0$  and  $\Phi(\varphi_i a_i X_i) = \varphi(r) a_i(z) X_i$ . Plugging this into the explicit formula for the cocycle  $\theta_2$  we see the right-hand side of (??) is

$$(5) \quad \left( \int_{\text{rad}^{-1}(I)} \varphi_0(r) \text{dra}_0(z) \partial(\varphi_1(r) a_1(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(r) a_d(z)) \right) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

We pick out the term in (??) in which the  $\partial$  operators only act on the elements  $a_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . This term is of the form

$$\int_{\text{rad}^{-1}(I)} \varphi_0(r) \cdots \varphi_d(r) \text{dra}_0(z) \partial(a_1(z)) \cdots \partial(a_d(z)) \theta(X_0, \dots, X_d).$$

Separating variables we find that this is precisely the first term (??) in the expansion of the left-hand side of (??).

Now, note that we can rewrite the d-operator in terms of the radius  $r$  as

$$\partial = \sum_{i=1}^d \text{dz}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} = \sum_{i=1}^d \text{dz}_i \bar{z}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial(r^2)} = \sum_{i=1}^d \text{dz}_i \frac{r^2}{2z_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

The remaining terms in (??) correspond to the expansion of

$$\partial(\varphi_1(r) a_1(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(r) a_d(z)),$$

using the Leibniz rule, for which the  $\partial$  operators act on at least one of the functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d$ . In fact, only terms in which  $\partial$  acts on precisely one of the functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d$  will be nonzero. For instance, consider the term

$$(6) \quad (\partial \varphi_1) a_1(z) (\partial \varphi_2) a_2(z) \partial(\varphi_3(z) a_3(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(z) a_d(z)).$$

Now,  $\partial \varphi_i(r) = \omega \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}$  where  $\omega$  is the one-form  $\sum_i (r^2/2z_i) \text{dz}_i$ . Thus, (??) is equal to

$$\left( \omega \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial r} \right) a_1(z) \left( \omega \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial r} \right) a_2(z) \partial(\varphi_3(z) a_3(z)) \cdots \partial(\varphi_d(z) a_d(z)),$$

which is clearly zero as  $\omega$  appears twice.

We observe that terms in the expansion of (??) for which  $\partial$  acts on precisely one of the functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d$  can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\text{rad}^{-1}(I)} \varphi_0(r) \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi_i(r) \right) \varphi_1(r) \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i(r)} \cdots \varphi_d(r) \text{dr} \frac{r}{2z_i} \text{dz}_i a_0(z) a_i(z) \partial a_1(z) \cdots \widehat{\partial a_i(z)} \cdots \partial a_d(z).$$

Finally, notice that the function  $z_i/2r$  is independent of the radius  $r$ . Thus, separating variables we find the integral can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \int_I \varphi_0 \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi_i \right) \varphi_1 \cdots \widehat{\varphi_i} \cdots \varphi_d \text{dr} \right) \left( \oint \frac{\text{dz}_i}{z_i} a_0 a_i \partial a_2 \cdots \widehat{\partial a_i} \cdots \partial a_d \right).$$

This is precisely equal to the second term (??) above. Hence, the cocycles are compatible and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5. MODULI SPACES

### 6. THE DETERMINANT LINE

Let  $V$  be a representation of the Lie group  $G$ . There is an associate *determinant line bundle* on  $\text{Bun}_G(X)$  constructed as follows. Consider the universal  $G$ -bundle  $\text{Bun}_G(X)$  on  $X \times \text{Bun}_G(X)$ . We can form the vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}_{\text{Bun}} = \text{Bun}_G(X) \times^G V$  on  $X \times \text{Bun}_G(X)$ . Denote the projection  $\pi : X \times \text{Bun}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(X)$ . The *determinant line bundle* associated to  $V$  is the line bundle on  $\text{Bun}_G(X)$  defined by

$$\theta_V := \det(\mathbb{R}\pi_* \mathcal{V}_{\text{Bun}}).$$

For  $x \in X$ , denote by  $\theta_{V,x}$  the pull-back of this line bundle along the map  $\text{Bun}_G(X, x) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(X)$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *There is an action of the dg Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{ch}_{d+1}(V),x}$  by infinitesimal symmetries on  $\text{Tot}(\theta_{V,x})$ , where  $\text{Tot}(\theta_{V,x})$  is the total space of the determinant line bundle associated to  $V$ . Moreover, this action is compatible with the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  on  $\text{Bun}_G(X, x)$ .*

We will obtain a formal version of this result from the point of view of equivariant BV quantization. It will follow from a local version of Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch that we will obtain in terms of explicit Feynman diagrammatics.

To state the result we need to introduce the following BV theory. As above we fix a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$ . Consider the following elliptic complex  $\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes V$  with differential given by  $\bar{\partial} \otimes 1$ . One readily observes that the assignment  $U \subset X \mapsto \Omega^{0,*}(U) \otimes V$  has the structure of an elliptic moduli problem as defined in [?]. Inasmuch, we can defined the associated *cotangent theory*  $\mathcal{E}_V^X = T^*[-1](\Omega_X^{0,*} \otimes V)$ . This is the classical BV theory; it's action functional can be described explicitly as follows. Label the fields with respect to the obvious decomposition of the shifted cotangent bundle as

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma, \beta) &\in (\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes V) \oplus (\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes V)^![-1] \\ &\cong (\Omega^{0,*}(X) \otimes V) \oplus (\Omega^{d,*}(X) \otimes V^\vee)[d-1]. \end{aligned}$$

The action functional is

$$S^V(\gamma, \beta) = \int \langle \beta, \bar{\partial} \gamma \rangle_V$$

where  $\langle -, - \rangle_V$  is the extension of the dual pairing between  $V$  and  $V^\vee$  to Dolbeault forms.

**Proposition 6.2.** *There is map of factorization algebras on  $X$*

$$\Phi^q : \text{KM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{ch}_{d+1}(V)}^X \rightarrow \text{Obs}_V^q$$

which, when ...

6.0.1. The action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$  extends to an action of the local Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^X$  on the classical theory  $\mathcal{E}_V^X$ . In Chapter 14 of [?] it is shown that such an action is equivalent to a Maurer-Cartan element in the dg Lie algebra  $C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}_V^X)[-1]$ . Here, the dg Lie algebra structure is obtained by tensoring the dg Lie algebra structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}_V^X)[-1]$  given by the BV bracket with the commutative algebra  $C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X)$ . Thus, we have an element

$$I^{\mathfrak{g}} \in C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}_V^X)[-1]$$

that encodes the action of  $\mathfrak{g}^X$  on  $\mathcal{E}_V^X$ . Explicitly, the Maurer-Cartan equation reads

$$\bar{\partial} I^{\mathfrak{g}} + d_{\mathfrak{g}} I^{\mathfrak{g}} + \frac{1}{2} \{I^{\mathfrak{g}}, I^{\mathfrak{g}}\} = 0.$$

The result about factorization algebras above is a consequence of an explicit calculation of the anomaly to having an inner action of  $\mathfrak{g}^X$  at the quantum level.

**Proposition 6.3.** *The anomaly to having a quantum inner action of  $\mathfrak{g}^X$  on  $\mathcal{E}_V^X$  is a local cocycle  $\Theta_V^X \in C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathfrak{g}^X)$  of degree one. Moreover, with respect to the map  $j$  of Proposition ?? we have an identification  $\Theta_V^X = aj(\text{ch}_{d+1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(V))$  for some nonzero complex number  $a$ . [BW: need to nail down a.](#)*

First, we reduce this result to a calculation on  $X = \mathbb{C}^d$ . First, we consider the following general situation.

A result of [?] states that the space of BV-theories on a fixed manifold  $X$  form a sheaf. In particular, a fixed BV theory  $\mathcal{E}^X$  on  $X$  can be enhanced to a sheaf of BV theories  $\mathcal{E}^X : U \subset X \mapsto \mathcal{E}^U$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is a local Lie algebra on  $X$  that acts on  $\mathcal{E}^X$ . In particular, for each open set  $U \subset X$  we have a local Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}^U$  that acts on the BV theory  $\mathcal{E}^U$ .

Now, suppose we have an inclusion of open sets  $i_U^V : U \subset V$  in  $X$ . There is an induced map of complexes

$$(i_U^V)^* : C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}^V) \rightarrow C_{\text{loc}}^*(\mathcal{L}^U).$$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\Theta^U$  be the obstruction to having a quantum inner action of  $\mathcal{L}^U$  on  $\mathcal{E}^U$ . Likewise, define  $\Theta^V$ . The obstructions satisfy  $(i_U^V)^*(\Theta^V) = \Theta^U$ .*

## 7. HIGHER VERTEX ALGEBRAS

can you see this?