QUANTUM $4d$ GAUGE THEORY AND AN OUTLINE OF THE PROOF

BRIAN R. WILLIAMS

1. AN OVERVIEW OF BV QUANTIZATION

Let $(\mathcal{E}, Q, \omega)$ prescribe the data of a classical free BV theory. That is, $\mathcal{E}$ is the sheaf of sections of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector bundle, $Q : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}[1]$ is a differential operator such that $Q^2 = 0$, and ω is a nondegenerate pairing on $\mathcal{E}$ arising from a linear map of bundles. We require that Q be graded skew adjoint with respect to ω.

In addition, we fix an operator $Q^{GF} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}[-1]$ called the *gauge fixing* operator which satisfies $(Q^{GF})^2 = 0$. Moreover, we require that the operator (of degree zero) $[Q, Q^{GF}]$ be a generalized Laplacian.

To this data, for any $L > 0$ one can define the cutoff heat kernel

$$K_L \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

which is an integral kernel for the operator $e^{-L[Q,Q^{GF}]}$. Similarly, the propagator is defined by $P_{L<L'} = \int_{t=L}^{L'} Q^{GF} K_t \mathrm{d}t$. Let Δ_L be contraction with K_L.

With a propagator and a functional $I \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$ in hand, one can construct the "graph expansion" $W(P_{L<L'}, I)$. This is a sum over labeled stable graphs of corresponding weights. The weight can be though of as a mathematical appearance of a Feynman diagram.

Definition 1.1. A *quantum field theory* is a quadruple $(\mathcal{E}, Q, \omega, \{I[L]\})$ where $\{I[L]\}$ is a collection of functionals in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})[[\hbar]]$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) The functionals satisfy homotopy renormalization group flow: $I[L'] = W(P_{L<L'}, I[L])$.

(2) For each L, $I[L]$ satisfies the scale L quantum master equation (QME)

$$QI[L] + \hbar \Delta_L I[L] + \frac{1}{2}\{I[L], I[L]\}_L = 0.$$

(3) Modulo $\hbar$, the functional $I[L]$ is at least cubic, for each L. Moreover, the collection $\{I[L]\}$ are "local enough" to ensure that $\lim_{\hbar \to 0, L \to 0} I[L]$ exists and is a local functional in $\mathcal{O}_{loc}(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark 1.2. Recall, the local functionals $\mathcal{O}_{loc}(\mathcal{E})$ are those functionals that can be written as a the integral of some polydifferential operators applied to the sections of $\mathcal{E}$.

In practice, we start with a classical theory described by $I \in \mathcal{O}_{loc}(\mathcal{E})$, and attempt to find a quantum field theory that quantizes it, in the sense that it agrees with the classical theory modulo $\hbar$. As is ordinary in deformation theory, we construct this quantization order by order in the formal parameter $\hbar$.

We can always find a family of functionals that solves (1), the RG equation, and agrees modulo $\hbar$ with the classical theory we start with. Sometimes, as we saw, this involves counterterms, but the issue of renormalization *does not* have any obstructions. On the other hand, solutions to the QME may not always exist, and even if they do, they may not be unique.

Theorem 1.3 ([?]). *Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, Q, \omega, I)$ is a classical theory, so that I satisfies the CME $QI + \frac{1}{2}\{I, I\} = 0$. Also, suppose we have constructed a quantization $\{I^{\leq k}[L]\}$ defined modulo $\hbar^{k+1}$. Then, there is an obstruction Θ^{k+1} to lifting $\{\widetilde{I}^{\leq k}[L]\}$ to a QFT defined modulo $\hbar^{k+2}$. The element Θ^{k+1} is a degree $+1$ cocycle of the* deformation *complex*

$$\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{E}} = \left(\mathcal{O}_{loc}(\mathcal{E}), Q + \{I, -\} \right).$$

Moreover, if the cohomology class $[\Theta^{k+1}]$ is trivial, then the set of quantizations defined modulo $\hbar^{k+2}$ that agree with $\{I^{\leq k}[L]\}$ modulo $\hbar^{k+1}$ is a torsor for the abelian group $H^0(\mathrm{Def}_{\mathcal{E}})$.

In the example of the Yangian, we will see that the deformation complex of the 4-dimensional gauge theory is nicely controlled once we restrict ourselves to quantizations that respect certain intrinsic symmetries. This will imply the existence and uniqueness of QFT's quantizing the classical gauge theory.

The explicit form of the quantization will enter in when we compute (to first order) the factorization product. This will be done in the upcoming lectures.

2. EXISTENCE (AND UNIQUENESS) OF THE QUANTUM GAUGE THEORY

We now turn to proving the existence and uniqueness of the quantization of the 4-dimensional gauge theory. The result will hold for the "generalized" Yangian theory on any complex surface X. Part of the data of this generalized theory is a reducible divisor D and a closed one-form. We recall the precise definition below.

In this section we prove two results.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a complex surface equipped with a holomorphic volume form.*

 (1) Holomorphic BF theory admits a unique quantization, compatible with certain symmetries we state below.

(2) If, in addition, we fix a reducible divisor D and closed holomorphic one-form, the associated generalized Yangian theory admits a unique quantization, compatible with certain symmetries.

We will prove existence and uniqueness by a direct cohomological calculation of the deformation complex. As we have seen, the Yangian theory is a deformation of holomorphic BF theory. First we will prove (1), then study how the deformation affects our answer.

Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is any local Lie algebra on X. Then, the *local deformation complex* is, by definition

$$\text{(1)} \qquad \qquad \text{Def}_{\mathcal{L}} = \Omega_X^{top} \otimes_{D_X} \hbar C_{\text{Lie,red}}^*(J\mathcal{L})[[\hbar]].$$

The idea for the proof of each of these claims is to consider the dg D_X-module $C_{\text{Lie,red}}^*(J\mathcal{L})$. We will show, if we look at invariants for certain natural symmetries, that this D_X-module is homotopically trivial in the degrees controlling obstructions and deformations. Thus, existence and uniqueness will follow.

2.0.1. Holomorphic BF theory makes sense for any bundle of Lie algebras. It is simply the cotangent theory to the moduli of holomorphic connections valued in the Lie algebra.

We have seen a slight variant suitable for our purposes. For P a principal G-bundle, let $\text{ad}(P)$ be its adjoint bundle. Its fibers are identified with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. If, in addition, D is a reduced divisor, we can consider the sheaf of Lie algebras $\text{ad}(P)(-D) = \text{ad}(P) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)$. Then, we look at holomorphic BF theory with values in this sheaf of Lie algebras. For ϵ an odd variable of degree $+1$, the local Lie algebra underlying this theory can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{P,D} = \Omega^{0,*}(X, \mathfrak{g}_P(-D))[\epsilon].$$

It is equipped with the $\bar{\partial}$ operator as its differential, and Lie bracket is the obvious one.

If we write the fields as $\alpha + \epsilon\beta$, the action functional is of the form

$$S_{BF}(\alpha + \epsilon\beta) = \int_X \omega \wedge \left(\beta \wedge \bar{\partial}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta \wedge [\alpha, \alpha] \right),$$

where ω is the holomorphic volume form.

The first symmetry we consider is obtained by the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}$. Of course, this operator commutes with $\bar{\partial}$. Moreover, the operator preserves the Lie bracket as well:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}[\alpha + \epsilon\beta, \alpha' + \epsilon\beta'] = \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\left(\epsilon[\alpha, \beta'] + \epsilon[\beta, \alpha']\right) = [\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}(\alpha + \epsilon\beta), \alpha' + \epsilon\beta'] \pm [\alpha + \epsilon\beta, \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}(\alpha' + \epsilon\beta')].$$

Thus, the one-dimensional abelian Lie algebra $\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}$ acts on $\mathcal{L}_{P,D}$.

There is also the action of the group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ that we'd like to take into account. It is fixed by assigning the formal parameter weight $+1$ and everything else weight zero. This type of symmetry is used in "cotangent quantizations" of classical theories, and we refer the reader to Chapter 10 of [?] or for some more examples [?, ?, ?]. For this reason, we will refer to the group as $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\text{cot}}$.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{h}$ is *any* other Lie algebra acting on $\mathcal{L}_{P,D}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{h}$ has the form $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0[\epsilon]$, so that $\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}$. We look at deformations that are invariant with respect to the semi-direct product

$$\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \ltimes \mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_0[\epsilon],$$

with the additional property that the deformations are $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\text{cot}}$-equivariant.

Remark 2.2. Really, we are taking the *derived* invariants. Recall, if M is a $\mathfrak{k}$-module, then the derived invariants are given by the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochains $C^*_{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{k}, M)$.

According to Equation 1, such deformations are equal to the $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\text{cot}}$ invariants of

(2)
$$\text{Def}_{\mathcal{L}} = \Omega^{top}_X \otimes_{D_X} C^*_{\text{Lie}}\left(\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}, C^*_{\text{Lie,red}}(J\mathcal{L})\right).$$

Lemma 2.3. *The $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\text{cot}}$ invariants of the cohomology of (2) vanishes in every degree.*

Proof. Introduce the D_X-module

$$M = C^*_{\text{Lie}}\left(\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}, C^*_{\text{Lie,red}}(J\mathcal{L})\right).$$

It suffices to show that the $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\text{cot}}$-invariants of the cohomology D_X-module of $M \otimes \hbar\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ vanish.

Notice that $\mathcal{L}$ is just the Dolbeault resolution of the holomorphic vector bundle $\text{ad}(P)(-D)$. Thus

$$J\mathcal{L} \simeq J \,(\text{holomorphic sections of } \mathfrak{g}_P(-D)).$$

It follows that the stalk of M at a point x away from the divisor is quasi-isomorphic to

$$M_x \simeq C^*_{\text{Lie}}\left(\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}, C^*_{\text{Lie,red}}(\mathfrak{g}[[z_1, z_2]][\epsilon])\right).$$

Similarly, near the divisor the stalks look like

$$M_x \simeq C^*_{\text{Lie}}\left(\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}, C^*_{\text{Lie,red}}(f\mathfrak{g}[[z_1, z_2]][\epsilon])\right)$$

where f generates the ideal $\mathcal{O}(-D)$.

Since $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0[\epsilon]$, note that in each case, we can write these stalks in the form

$$(3) \qquad\qquad \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}\left(\mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}, \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Lie,red}}(\mathfrak{a}[\epsilon]))\right),$$

for some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ on which $\mathfrak{h}_0$ acts.

Note that $\hbar$ has $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathrm{cot}}$ weight equal to $+1$. Since we really want the $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathrm{cot}}$-invariants of $M \otimes \hbar\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, we only need to consider positive $\mathbb{C}^\times_{\mathrm{cot}}$-weight spaces of (3).

Lemma 2.4. *The cohomology of the positive weight spaces of (3) vanishes in every degree.*

Thus, we have concluded that each stalk is homotopy contractible, so the D_X-module M is also contractible and the result follows. $\qquad\qquad\square$

As a corollary of the calculations of this section, combined with the main result of Costello-Gwilliam in [?], we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a complex surface equipped with a holomorphic volume form. Then:*

(i) *there is a factorization algebra Obs^q_{BF} on X defined over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Obs}^q_{BF}/\hbar \simeq \mathrm{Obs}^{cl}_{BF},$$

where Obs^{cl}_{BF} are the classical observables of holomorphic BF theory on X. ;

(ii) *there is a factorization algebra $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{P,D}$*

3. FROM FACTORIZATION ALGEBRAS TO HOPF ALGEBRAS

We now move towards proving one of the main results of this seminar: the Yangian quantum group is encoded in the factorization algebra associated to the quantization of the 4-dimensional gauge theory. We make this statement precise in Theorem 4.2.

The main idea is to consider the 4-dimensional theory on the manifold $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{R}^2_w$. For each point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_z$ we consider the restriction of the resulting factorization algebra of quantum observables to $\{z_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. This factorization algebra is *locally constant* and hence corresponds, under Lurie's theorem, to an E_2-algebra. We will show that the Koszul dual of this algebra is equivalent to the Yangian, as *Hopf algebras*.

3.1. **The quantum E_2 algebra.** First, we recall the *classical observables* of the 4-dimensional theory on $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{R}^2$. It is easiest to write down once we fix a complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}_w$. The elliptic complex defining the classical theory is

$$\mathcal{L} = \Omega^{0,*}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{w}}} \Omega^{0,*}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathfrak{g})[-1].$$

The Lie bracket for $\mathfrak{g}$ gives $\mathcal{L}$ the structure of a local dg Lie algebra on X. Moreover, the pairing

$$\int \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}w$$

equips $\mathcal{L}$ is non-degenerate and degree (-3). Hence, $\mathcal{L}$ defines a classical theory in the BV formalism. This is precisely the "classical Yangian theory" on $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{R}_w^2$ we've introduced in previous weeks.

The classical observables Obs_{4d}^{cl} is the precosheaf on $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^{cl} : U \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{L}(U)) = \left(\mathrm{Sym} \left(\mathcal{L}(U)^\vee[-1] \right), \mathrm{d}_{CE} \right)$$

where U is any open set. As always, by $\mathcal{L}(U)^\vee$ we mean the topoloigical dual, and all tensor products are completed. By general principles, from Week 2, we know Obs_{4d}^{cl} has the structure of a factorization algebra on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

By Theorem 2.5, the general theory of [?] produces a factorization algebra Obs_{4d}^q linear over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, whose $\hbar \to 0$ limit is precisely Obs_{4d}^{cl}. In the notation of Theorem 2.5, here P is the trivial G-bundle and $D = 0$.

3.1.1. We now discuss how to "restrict" the factorization algebra to the 2-dimensional submanifold $\{z_0\} \subset \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w$. Naively, a factorization algebra is only defined on open subsets, so we must appeal to a refined construction. The idea is to define the factorization algebra associated to a "formal disk" in the z-direction.

First, note that just like sheaves, factorization algebras pushforward. If $f : X \to Y$ is smooth, and $\mathcal{F}$ is a factorization algebra on X then $f_* \mathcal{F}$ assigns the open set $V \subset Y$ the complex $\mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}(V))$.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}_z$ be any open subset. Of course, it makes sense to restrict Obs_{4d}^q to the open set $U \times \mathbb{C}_w$. Consider the smooth map $\pi : U \times \mathbb{C}_w \to \mathbb{C}_w$. Pushing forward along π, we obtain a factorization algebra $\pi_* \left(\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^q|_{U \times \mathbb{R}^2} \right)$ on $\mathbb{C}_w$.

Lemma 3.1. *The factorization algebra $\pi_* \left(\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^q|_{U \times \mathbb{R}^2} \right)$ on $\mathbb{C}_w$ is locally constant.*

Proof. First, we show that this is true at the classical level. To any open set $V \subset \mathbb{C}_w$, the pushforward factorization algebra assigns the complex

$$\pi_* \left(\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^{cl}|_{U \times \mathbb{R}^2} \right)(V) = \mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^{cl}(U \times V) = \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{L}(U \times V)).$$

We must show that if $D \hookrightarrow D'$ is an inclusion of disks in $\mathbb{C}_w$ that the induced factorization structure map is a quasi-isomorphism.

For this, it suffices to show that at the level of sheaves of dg Lie algebras. That is, the inclusion $D \hookrightarrow D'$, the induced map $\mathcal{L}(D') \to \mathcal{L}(D)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of dg Lie algebas.

6

If $D \subset \mathbb{C}_w$ is any disk, note that there is a quasi-isomorphism
$$\mathcal{O}^{hol}(U \times D) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{0,*}(U \times D).$$

Thus, we have a quasi-isomorphism of dg Lie algebras
$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{hol}(U \times D) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial w}} \mathcal{O}^{hol}(U \times D) \otimes \mathfrak{g}[-1] \right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{L}(U \times D).$$

The differential $\partial/\partial w$ simply turns on the full de Rham differential, hence by the real Poincaré lemma for D, there is a quasi-isomorphism
$$\mathcal{O}^{hol}(U) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{L}(U \times D).$$

These quasi-isomorphisms are compatible with inclusions, hence the classical factorization algebra is locally constant. In fact, we have shown something stronger.

Lemma 3.2. *The classical factorization algebra* $\pi_* \left(\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^{cl}|_{U \times \mathbb{R}^2} \right)$ *is equivalent, as an E_2-algebra, to the commutative dg algebra*
$$\mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathrm{Hol}(U) \otimes \mathfrak{g}).$$

Turning to the quantum factorization algebra, note that there is a filtration on Obs_{4d}^q by powers of $\hbar$. This induces a spectral sequence (of factorization algebras) whose E_1 page is equal to $\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^{cl} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. The same is true for the pushforward factorization algebra. Since the classical factorization algebra is locally constant, it follows that the quantum one is as well.

$\square$

Remark 3.3. Instead of fixing an open set in $\mathbb{C}_z$, this makes sense as we vary $U \subset \mathbb{C}_z$. So, we have shown that Obs_{4d}^q determines a factorization algebra on $\mathbb{C}_z$ with values in E_2-algebras:
$$\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^q \in \mathrm{Fact}_{\mathbb{C}_z} \left(\mathrm{Alg}_{E_2} \right).$$
Note that the factorization algebra is *not* locally constant in the z-direction, so this is not equivalent to an E_4-algebra.

We take the open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}_z$ to be a disk $U = D(z_0, r)$. Then, we have just shown that $\pi_* \left(\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^{cl}|_{U \times \mathbb{R}^2} \right) \simeq \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathcal{O}^{hol}(D(z_0, r) \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. Roughly, we consider a subspace of this factorization algebra induced by the power series expansion $\mathcal{O}^{hol}(D(z_0, r)) \to \mathbb{C}[[z - z_0]]$.

Lemma 3.4. *There is an action by the group S^1 on Obs_{4d}^q which lifts the action of S^1 on $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w$ by rotations in the z-plane.*

Proof. The action by S^1 on $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w$ induces an action on the Dolbeualt complex and hence on $\mathcal{L}$ as well. By our calculation of the deformation complex in the proof of Theorem 2.1 this action lifts to the quantum theory. $\square$

Remark 3.5. Note that under this action by S^1 the symplectic pairing defining the classical theory has weight $+1$. Thus, the BV Laplacian defining the quantum theory has weight -1. This implies that $\hbar$ must have weight $+1$ under this action.

Definition 3.6. Let $\pi : D(z_0, r) \times \mathbb{C}_w \to \mathbb{C}_w$ be projection. Define the sub-factorization algebra on $\mathbb{C}_w$:

$$\mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^{q,(k)} \subset \pi_* \left(\mathrm{Obs}_{4d}^q |_{D(z_0,r) \times \mathbb{C}_w} \right)$$

to be the weight k-eigenspace for the S^1 action. Let

$$\mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^q := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Obs}^{q,(k)}.$$

Similarly, there is a classical version $\mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^{cl}$ and a map of E_2 algebras

$$\mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^q \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^{cl}.$$

Moreover, this map is surjective, so that $\mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^q = \mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^{cl}[[\hbar]]$ as a graded (no differential) $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module.

Note that $\mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^q$ is a graded E_2-algebra (valued in cochain complexes) defined over the graded ring $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. There is both the "S^1 grading" and the intrinsic cochain complex grading, when we need to decipher between the two, we refer to the S^1 grading as the "conformal dimension".

As a last check, note that as commutative dg algebras there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Obs}_{z_0}^{cl} \simeq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g}[[z - z_0]]).$$

3.2. **Augmentation.** The crux of the seminar is that the observables of the $4d$ gauge theory are *Koszul dual* to the Yangian. Koszul duality makes sense in a wide variety of contexts, but one underlying theme persists: duality is defined for augmented algebras. Thus, in order to begin studying Koszul duality for the quantum factorization algebra, we must first produce an augmentation. This is the one point in the argument where we will utitlize the formulation of the generalized Yangian theory on manifolds other than $X = \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

By Theorem [**?**] we can define the quantum theory (in a unique way!) on any complex surface X equipped with a holomorphic volume form, principal bundle, and reduced divisor. We consider the theory defined on

$$X = \mathbb{P}_z^1 \times \mathbb{C}_w$$

where the volume form is $\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}w$ and the divisor is $\infty \times \mathbb{C}_w$. Thus, through quantization, we get a factorization algebra $\mathrm{Obs}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_w}^q$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_w$.

We take the projection $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1} : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_w \to \mathbb{C}_w$ and the resulting pushforward factorization algebra $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{\mathbb{P}^1} := \pi_{\mathbb{P}^1*}\mathrm{Obs}^q_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_w}$ on $\mathbb{C}_w$. Similar methods as the previous section show that $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is locally constant, hence defines and E_2 algebra.

Lemma 3.7. *There is a quasi-isomorphism of E_2 algebras $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{\mathbb{P}^1} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$.*

Proof. Again, we first work classically. Note for any disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}_w$ that

$$\mathrm{Obs}^q_{\mathbb{P}^1}(D) = C_{\mathrm{Lie}}\left(\Omega^{0,*}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-\infty)) \otimes \Omega^*(D) \right).$$

Since $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-\infty)) = 0$, the result follows. The usual spectral sequence argument completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Now, consider the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_w \\
& \searrow^{\pi} \quad \swarrow^{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1}} & \\
& \mathbb{C}_w &
\end{array}
$$

where we embed $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ away from ∞.

It is immediate from our definitions that the generalized Yangian theory on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}_w$ restricts to the ordinary Yangian theory on $\mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_w$. Thus, we obtain a map of factorization algebras

$$\mathrm{Obs}^q_{4d} \to \mathrm{Obs}^q_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2}.$$

In particular, we obtain a sequence of E_2 algebras (= locally constant factorization algebras on $\mathbb{C}_w$):

$$\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0} \hookrightarrow \pi_* \left(\mathrm{Obs}^q_{4d}|_{D(z_0, r) \times \mathbb{C}_w} \right) \to \mathrm{Obs}^q_{\mathbb{P}_1} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]].$$

The first map is just the inclusion of the S^1-eigenspaces. The second map follows from functoriality of pushforward. The composition

$$(4) \qquad\qquad\qquad \epsilon_\hbar : \mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0} \to \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$$

is the desired augmentation.

Summarizing:

Corollary 3.8. *BV quantization produces a map of E_2-algebras $\epsilon_\hbar : \mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0} \to \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. Moreover, modulo $\hbar$, this augmentation*

$$\epsilon_\hbar \mod \hbar \quad : \quad \mathrm{Obs}^{cl}_{z_0} \simeq C^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}[[z - z_0]]) \to \mathbb{C}$$

agrees with the standard one for Lie algebra cochains.

3.3. **Filtrations.** We will use a version of Koszul duality that is sensitive to a *filtration* on an algebra.

In the case that $A = \wedge^* V[-1]$, with the filtration by $F^i = \wedge^{\geq i} V[-1]$, the filtered Koszul dual will still be $\mathrm{Sym}^*(V^\vee)$. In the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is any dg Lie algebra and $A = \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ with the filtration $F^i A = \mathrm{Sym}^{\geq i}(\mathfrak{g})$, then $A^! \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})^\vee$.

In general, we will apply this filtered Koszul duality to the E_2 algebra we have just extracted from the factorization algebra of the $4d$ gauge theory.

We introduce the filtration $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0}$ that we will use in order to construct the filtered Koszul dual coalgebra.

Definition 3.9. Recall, for any open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2_w$ the S^1 weight k subspace $\mathrm{Obs}^{q,(k)}_{z_0}(U) \subset \mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0}(U)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Define, for each k, the filtration on $\mathrm{Obs}^{q,(k)}_{z_0}(U)$ via

$$F^j \mathrm{Obs}^{q,(k)}_{z_0}(U) = \oplus_{2m+n \geq j} \mathrm{Sym}^n \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot \hbar^m.$$

The filtration is constructed so that it is compatible with the quantum differential $\mathrm{d}^q F^j \subset F^j$.

Remark 3.10. In the definition of the factorization algebra $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0}$, the direct sum $\oplus_k \mathrm{Obs}^{q,(k)}_{z_0}$ must be taken as a filtered coproduct. In this way, $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0}$ is a factorization algebra with values in the category of filtered cochain complexes. Thus, $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0}$ is an E_2 algebra in filtered cochain complexes.

In particular, the classical limit $\mathrm{Obs}^{cl}_{z_0}$ is also filtered. (The dequantization map is a map of filtered E_2-algebras). It is immediate to see that modulo $\hbar$ this induces the usual filtration on $\mathrm{Obs}^{cl}_{z_0} \simeq \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}[[z - z_0]])$.

4. SKETCH OF THE MAIN RESULT

We assume, for a moment, that the theory of filtered Koszul duality has been set up. We will address this issue in the next lecture. In particular, the filtered augmented E_2-algebra $\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0}$ admits a Koszul dual. To construct it, we forget the E_2-algebra structure to a filtered E_1 algebra structure then take the dual $(\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0})^!$ with respect to the augmentation $\epsilon_\hbar$ from Equation 4.

We will show that the remaining product endows $(\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0})^!$ with the structure of a bialgebra. In fact:

Proposition 4.1. *The bialgebra* $(\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0})^!$ *is a filtered Hopf algebra.*

We will see this from a Tannakian formalism argument and is a general fact about taking the Koszul dual of fitlered E_2 algebras that are E_2 augmented.

The first object we wish to compare to is the Yangian, or really, its dual. We will meet the rigorous definition of the Yangian quantum group $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ soon, but for now we note that it is a topological Hopf algebra defined over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ such that modulo $\hbar$ there is an equivalence

$$Y(\mathfrak{g})/\hbar \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[[z]]).$$

Also relevant to us is the *dual* Yangian. Being a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ we obtain the dual Hopf algebra via

$$Y^*(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]], Y(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since the completed projective tensor product is compatible with topological duals, the Yangian is completely determined by the dual Yangian.

We can finally state the main theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra. Then, there is an isomorphism of S^1-equivariant topological Hopf algebras*

$$Y^*(\mathfrak{g}) \cong H^*(\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0})^!.$$

The steps are as follows:

(1) Verify, modulo $\hbar$, that there is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras

$$H^*(\mathrm{Obs}^q_0)^! \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[[z]])^\vee.$$

(2) Modulo $\hbar^2$ the BV quantization agrees with the modulo $\hbar^2$ behavior of the Yangian.

Actually, (1) is easy. We've already seen that there is a filtered quasi-isomorphism of E_2 algebras

$$\mathrm{Obs}^q_0/\hbar = \mathrm{Obs}^{cl}_0 \simeq C^*_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}[[z]]).$$

Consequently, we can read off the filtered Koszul dual as

$$H^*(\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0})^! \cong U(\mathfrak{g}[[z]])^\vee$$

as desired. The thing to check is that this is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras, which will be the content of next weeks lectures.

Step (2) is where we finally get our hands dirty and use the quantization machinery developed in the previous lectures. To state (2) more precisely, introduce the linear dual of the Koszul dual to the observables

$$Y_{QFT}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left(\mathrm{Obs}^q_{z_0})^!\right)^\vee.$$

This is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ such that modulo $\hbar$

$$Y_{QFT}(\mathfrak{g})/\hbar \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}[[z]])$$

as Hopf algebas. Thus, it is the same as the Yangian $Y\mathfrak{g}$ modulo $\hbar$.

The coalgebra structure on $Y_{QFT}(\mathfrak{g})$ is of the form

$$\Delta_{QFT} = \Delta^0 + \hbar\Delta^1_{QFT} + \cdots.$$

Modulo $\hbar$, of course, δ_0 determines a cocommutative coalgebra. Modulo $\hbar^2$, there is an $\hbar$ dependent *cobracket*

$$\delta^1_{QFT} : \mathfrak{g}[[z]] \to \mathfrak{g}[[z]] \otimes \mathfrak{g}[[z]].$$

We will show, that up to some scaling constant, that δ^1_{QFT} is that of Equation (**??**). By Drinfeld's result, this is enough to completely determine the Hopf algebra structure on $Y'(\mathfrak{g})$.