

# R-MATRICES FROM 4-DIMENSIONAL FACTORIZATION ALGEBRAS

BRIAN R. WILLIAMS

## 1. A RECOLLECTION OF R-MATRICES

**1.1. Warmup: braided monoidal categories.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\mathcal{E}_2$ , or braided, monoidal category. We have seen that we can view an  $\mathcal{E}_2$  category as an  $\mathcal{E}_1$  object in  $\mathcal{E}_1$  monoidal (or just monoidal), categories

$$\mathcal{C} \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_2}(\text{Cat}) = \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\text{Cat})).$$

Concretely, this means that on  $\mathcal{C}$  we have two *compatible* tensor products  $\otimes, \boxtimes$ . Actually,  $\otimes, \boxtimes$  are naturally isomorphic

$$\begin{aligned} V \boxtimes W &\cong (V \otimes 1) \boxtimes (1 \otimes W) \\ &\cong (V \boxtimes 1) \otimes (1 \boxtimes W) \\ &\cong V \otimes W. \end{aligned}$$

All of the juice, therefore, is in the requirement that  $\otimes$  and  $\boxtimes$  are compatible. The most efficient way to encode this is to require that the bifunctor

$$\boxtimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

determined by  $\boxtimes$  is *monoidal*, where we view  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}$  as monoidal categories via  $\otimes$ . Spelling out what this means boils down to requiring that there is a natural isomorphism

$$(V \otimes W) \otimes (V' \otimes W') \cong (V \otimes V') \otimes (W \otimes W')$$

for all objects  $V, V', W, W'$ . Setting  $V, W' = 1$ , we see that this isomorphism determines an isomorphism  $\sigma_{W, V'} : W \otimes V' \rightarrow V' \otimes W$ . The resulting structure  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \sigma_{V, W})$  defines a *braided* monoidal category, where  $\sigma_{V, W}$  are the braiding morphisms.

Conversely, given a braided monoidal category we can define

$$(V \otimes W) \otimes (V' \otimes W') \cong V \otimes (W \otimes V') \otimes W' \stackrel{\sigma_{W, V'}}{\cong} V \otimes (V' \otimes W) \otimes W' \cong (V \otimes V') \otimes (W \otimes W').$$

In other words, a braided monoidal category determines a monoidal structure on the bifunctor  $(V, W) \mapsto V \otimes W$ , and vice-versa.

**1.2. Drinfeld's rational  $R$ -matrix.** We have been working with topological algebras / coalgebras, and modules / comodules over them that have an additional topological structure. We arrived at this topological structure through the data of a filtration.

In Week ??, the category of filtered, bounded, free, dg  $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules  $\mathcal{C}^b$  was introduced. An object  $V \in \mathcal{C}^b$  is a cochain complex of the form

$$V_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$$

where  $V_0$  is a cochain complex equipped with a decreasing filtration

$$V = F^0 V \supset F^1 V \supset \dots$$

and satisfies  $V \cong \lim V / F^i V$ . The category  $\mathcal{C}^b$  is symmetric monoidal. The tensor product of two objects  $V, W \in \mathcal{C}^b$  is the completed one, where we take into account the filtrations in the following way

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} W = \lim_{i,j} \left( (V / F^i V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} W / F^j W \right) \in \mathcal{C}^b.$$

Introduce the formal Laurent series ring  $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ . We will need to consider a Hopf algebra built from  $Y(\mathfrak{g})$  by adjoining the parameter  $\lambda$ . To do things properly, we need to do this in the following completed way. For  $V$  an object in  $\mathcal{C}^b$ , define the completed tensor product

$$V \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\lambda)) = \lim_i \operatorname{colim}_k \lim_j \lambda^{-k} (V / F^i V)[\lambda] / \lambda^j.$$

We will often simply denote this by  $V((\lambda))$ .

*Remark 1.1.* This formula for the completed tensor product arises from viewing  $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$  as an ind-pro object

$$\mathbb{C}((\lambda)) = \operatorname{colim}_k \lambda^{-k} \mathbb{C}[[\lambda]] = \operatorname{colim}_k \lim_j \lambda^{-k} \mathbb{C}[\lambda] / \lambda^j.$$

*Remark 1.2.* Throughout, we let  $\otimes_{\text{alg}}$  denote the algebraic tensor product. Note that  $Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$  and  $Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathbb{C}((\lambda))$  are *different* Hopf algebras, but we have an inclusion of Hopf algebras

$$Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathbb{C}((\lambda)) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda)).$$

The category of  $Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$ -modules is defined similarly as in the plain  $Y(\mathfrak{g})$  case. In particular, we focus on modules that are quasi-free, which means they admit a filtration whose associated graded pieces are of the form  $V \otimes_{\text{alg}} (A((\lambda)))$ .

Recall that  $Y(\mathfrak{g})$  is a topological Hopf algebra over the ring  $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ . Moreover, there is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $Y(\mathfrak{g})$  inducing a grading where  $\hbar$  has weight 1. We define  $\lambda$  to have  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -weight 1.

**Theorem 1.3** ([?]). *There is a unique element*

$$R(\lambda) \in Y(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} Y(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} ((\lambda))$$

*satisfying:*

- (1) ( $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -invariance)  $R(\lambda)$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -invariant.
- (2) (Translation equivariance) For all  $a \in Y(\mathfrak{g})$ 

$$(T_\lambda \otimes T_0) \sigma \Delta(a) = R(\lambda) ((T_\lambda \otimes T_0) \Delta(a)) R(\lambda)^{-1} \in R(\lambda) \in Y(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} Y(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} ((\lambda)).$$
- (3) (??)  $(\Delta \otimes 1) R(\lambda) = R_{13}(\lambda) R_{23}(\lambda)$  and  $(1 \otimes \Delta) R(\lambda)$ .

*Remark 1.4.* The  $R$ -matrix  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameter. This equation follows from the three listed properties in the theorem.

**1.3. Interpreting the spectral  $R$ -matrix.** Our goal in this section is to interpret the  $R$ -matrix conditions in Theorem 1.3 in a more categorical way. The running example we should keep in mind from Section ?? is that to give a braided monoidal structure is equivalent to prescribing monoidality of the tensor product bifunctor. We will see something similar in the case of the  $R$ -matrix: the data of a rational  $R$ -matrix is equivalent to the monoidality of a certain functor. See Theorems 1.10 and 1.11.

For the remainder of this section, the category  $\text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{fin}$  denotes the category of (non dg)  $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules that are free and finite dimensional as  $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules.

**Definition 1.5.** If  $V \in \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{fin}$ , define the  $Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$ -module

$$T_\lambda V = V \otimes_{Y(\mathfrak{g})} Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda)).$$

In the definition, we use the Hopf algebra homomorphism  $T_\lambda : Y(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda)) = Y(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} ((\lambda))$ . Similarly, define the  $Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$ -module

$$T_0 V = V \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} ((\lambda)) = V((\lambda))$$

as the induction of  $V$  along the embedding of Hopf algebras  $T_0 : Y(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$ ,  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ .

With this notation in place, we can define the following functor that will be relevant for the remainder of the section. Define

$$(1) \quad F_\lambda : \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{fin} \times \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{fin} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}((\lambda))$$

on objects by  $(V, W) \mapsto T_0 V \otimes T_\lambda W$ . Similarly, we have the functor

$$F'_\lambda : \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{fin} \times \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{fin} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}((\lambda))$$

defined on objects by  $(V, W) \mapsto T_\lambda V \otimes T_0 W$ .

*Construction 1.6.* Suppose  $S(\lambda) \in Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$  is any element, and fix  $V, W \in \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{\text{fin}}$ . Note that  $V \otimes W = V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} W$  is a module for the Hopf algebra  $Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{g})$ . Multiplication by  $S(\lambda)$  on  $V \otimes W((\lambda))$  defines an endomorphism

$$S_{V,W} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda))}(V \otimes W((\lambda))).$$

Note that for this endomorphism to be well-defined, we needed  $V, W$  to be finite rank as  $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -modules.

**Lemma 1.7.** Suppose  $S(\lambda) \in Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$  satisfies condition (3) of Theorem 1.3. Then,  $S(\lambda)$  defines a natural transformation of functors

$$\eta_S : F_\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} F'_\lambda \circ \sigma$$

via the formula

$$\eta_S(V, W) = \sigma \circ S_{V,W} : T_0 V \otimes T_\lambda W \rightarrow T_\lambda W \otimes T_0 V.$$

**Lemma 1.8.** Suppose  $S(\lambda) \in Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$  satisfies condition (2) of Theorem 1.3. Then, for all  $V_1, V_2, V_3 \in \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{\text{fin}}$  the following diagrams commute

We need one last technical lemma before proceeding. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  are monoidal categories. We view the tensor products  $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, \otimes_{\mathcal{D}}$  as bifunctors

$$\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}.$$

Suppose that  $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  a functor. A natural transformation making  $G$  a monoidal functor is the data of a natural transformation of functors  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$

$$\eta : G \circ \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \circ (G \times G).$$

In other words, we have isomorphisms  $G(c \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} c') \cong G(c) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} G(c')$  that are natural in  $c, c' \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 1.9.** Let  $F_\lambda$  be the functor from Equation (1). Then, the data of a natural transformation making  $F$  a monoidal functor is equivalent to the data of a natural transformation

$$\eta : F_\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} F'_\lambda \circ \sigma$$

such that the diagrams (??) and (??) commute.

We can summarize a consequence of the above lemmas in the following way.

**Theorem 1.10.** Drinfeld's  $R$ -matrix  $R(\lambda)$  gives rise to a natural transformation  $\eta_R$ , as in Lemma 1.7, making the functor

$$F_\lambda : \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{\text{fin}} \times \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{Y(\mathfrak{g})}((\lambda)).$$

monoidal.

#### 1.4. A variation for comodules.

1.4.1. *An asymmetry between modules and comodules.* Thus far, we have used the fact that any  $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ -module induces a  $Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda)) = Y(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ -module. In the comodule case, this does not work. The reason for this is the following.

Suppose  $A$  is any algebra in  $\mathcal{C}^b$ . Then, we obtain a  $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$  algebra  $A((\lambda)) = A \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\lambda))$  as the following composition

$$A((\lambda)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda)), \text{alg}} A((\lambda)) \rightarrow A((\lambda)) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda))} A((\lambda)) = (A \otimes A) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\lambda)) \xrightarrow{m_A} A \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\lambda)) = A((\lambda)).$$

The first arrow is the embedding of the algebraic tensor product inside of the completed tensor product. This says that every algebra  $A$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ -linear algebra  $A((\lambda))$ .

The same is not true for coalgebras. Suppose  $C$  is a coalgebra in  $\mathcal{C}^b$ . The coproduct extends  $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ -linearly to a map

$$C((\lambda)) \rightarrow (C \otimes C)((\lambda)) = (C \otimes C) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\lambda)).$$

Note that the right hand side *differs* from the  $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ -algebraic tensor product  $C((\lambda)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}((\lambda)), \text{alg}} C((\lambda))$ . There is only a map from this algebraic tensor product into the right hand side above. Thus,  $C$  does not induce a coalgebra structure on  $C((\lambda))$ . Moreover, this shows that the notion of cofree comodules does not exist for  $C((\lambda))$ .

1.4.2. Define

$$F_\lambda^{\text{comod}} : \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})} \times \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})} \rightarrow \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})}((\lambda))$$

by  $(V, W) \mapsto T_\lambda V \otimes T_0 W$ .

**Theorem 1.11.** *The following pieces of data are equivalent:*

(i) *An  $R$ -matrix with spectral parameter*

$$R(\lambda) \in F^0(Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{g}))((\lambda))$$

*satisfying (1), (2), and (3) of Theorem 1.3.*

(ii) *The data of a natural transformation making  $F_\lambda$  a monoidal functor.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.9, the data (ii) of making  $F_\lambda$  monoidal is equivalent to a natural isomorphism

$$\eta_{V,W} : T_0(V) \otimes T_\lambda(W) \cong T_\lambda(W) \otimes T_0(V),$$

satisfying conditions [BW: from R matrix lemmas](#). Thus, we must show that an  $R$ -matrix as in (i) is equivalent to this data.

Let  $V, W$  be  $Y^*(\mathfrak{g})$  comodules [BW: what does this mean](#), and let  $\Delta_V, \Delta_W$  denote the coaction maps. Any element  $\alpha \in Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{g})$  defines a composition

$$V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\Delta_V \otimes \Delta_W} (Y^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V) \otimes (Y^*(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes W) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{13}} V \otimes W.$$

Since Drinfeld's  $R(\lambda) \in Y(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{g})((\lambda))$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -weight zero, it determines a filtration preserving map

$$R_{V,W}(\lambda) : V \otimes W \rightarrow V$$

□

When such an  $R(\lambda)$  is given, we will denote by  $F_R$  or  $F_{R(\lambda)}$  the associated monoidal functor.

## 2. THE OPE

**Proposition 2.1.** *The map of  $\mathcal{E}_2$  algebras arising from the factorization product of disks in the  $z$ -plane*

$$m : \text{Obs}_0 \otimes \text{Obs}_0 \rightarrow \text{Obs}_{D(0,s)} \otimes \Omega^{0,*}(A)$$

determines a map

$$m_{\text{OPE}} : \text{Obs}_0 \otimes \text{Obs}_0 \rightarrow \text{Obs}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}((z))$$

that fits into the commuting square of  $\mathcal{E}_2$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Obs}_0 \otimes \text{Obs}_0 & \xrightarrow{m_{\text{OPE}}} & \text{Obs}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}((z)) \\ \downarrow m & & \downarrow \\ \text{Obs}_{D(0,s)} \otimes \Omega^{0,*}(D(0,r))[z^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \text{Obs}_{D(0,s)} \otimes \mathbb{C}((z)). \end{array}$$

### 2.1. The main result.

**Theorem 2.2** ([?]). *There is a natural equivalence of monoidal functors*

$$F_{\text{OPE}} \cong F_{R(\lambda)} : \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})} \times \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})} \rightarrow \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})}((\lambda))$$

where  $F(R(\lambda))$  is the monoidal functor corresponding to Drinfeld's  $R$ -matrix as in Theorem [1.11](#).

*Proof.* First, we need to show that there is an equivalence of functors (forgetting monoidality).

Since  $F_{\text{OPE}}$  is monoidal, there is an isomorphism

$$F_{\text{OPE}}(V \times W) = F_{\text{OPE}}((V \times 1) \otimes (1 \otimes W)) = F_{\text{OPE}}(V \times 1) \otimes F_{\text{OPE}}(1 \times W).$$

Here,  $1 = \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$  is the trivial  $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -linear  $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

It suffices to show that there is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$F_{\text{OPE}}(V \times 1) \otimes F_{\text{OPE}}(1 \times W) \cong T_0(V) \otimes T_\lambda(W).$$

Recall,  $F_{\text{OPE}}$  was induced from the map of  $E_2$  algebras

$$m_{\text{OPE}}(\lambda) : \text{Obs}_0 \otimes \text{Obs}_0 \rightarrow \text{Obs}_0((\lambda)).$$

When restricted to  $\text{Obs}_0 \otimes \{1\}$ , this map is simply the  $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ -linear extension of the identity map. Thus,  $F_{\text{OPE}}(V \times 1) = T_0(V)$ .

We thus need to show that  $F_{\text{OPE}}(1 \times W) = T_\lambda(W)$ . For this, consider the derivation  $\partial_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  acting on  $\text{Obs}_0$ . Define

$$\tilde{T}_\lambda : \text{Obs}_0 \rightarrow \text{Obs}_0[[\lambda]]$$

via  $\tilde{T}_\lambda(O) = e^{\lambda \partial_z} O \in \text{Obs}_0[[\lambda]]$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *The restriction of  $m'_{\text{OPE}}$*

$$m'_{\text{OPE}}(1 \otimes -) : \text{Obs}_0 \rightarrow \text{Obs}_0((\lambda))$$

*agrees with  $\tilde{T}_{-\lambda}$ .*

*Proof.* This is almost by construction. By Proposition ??, this map factors as

$$\text{Obs}_0 \rightarrow \Omega^{0,*}(D(0,r))[z^{-1}] \rightarrow \Omega^{0,*}(\hat{D}) \simeq \mathbb{C}((z))$$

for any  $r > 0$ . The middle map is power series expansion, which lands in the Dolbeault complex of the formal disk. Since we are identifying  $z \leftrightarrow -\lambda$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

The lemma implies that the functor

$$F(1 \times -) : \text{Mod}_{\text{Obs}_0} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{Obs}_0((\lambda))}$$

sends

$$V \mapsto \tilde{T}_{-\lambda}(V) = V \otimes_{\text{Obs}_0} {}_1(\text{Obs}_0)_{-\lambda}$$

Here,  ${}_1(\text{Obs}_0)_{-\lambda}$  denotes the  $\text{Obs}_0 - \text{Obs}_0$  bimodule for which an element  $O \in \text{Obs}_0$  acts by itself on the left and by  $\tilde{T}_{-\lambda}(O)$  on the right.

The main result of this seminar is that the Koszul dual of  $\text{Obs}_0$  is the dual Yangian  $Y^*(\mathfrak{g})$ . This Koszul duality trades modules over  $\text{Obs}_0$  for comodules over  $Y^*(\mathfrak{g})$ . The endofunctor  $\tilde{T}_{-\lambda}$  on modules for  $\text{Obs}_0$  induces an endofunctor on the category of comodules for  $Y^*(\mathfrak{g})$ .

In terms of  $\lambda$ , there is a sign flip under this duality. That is, if  $W$  is a  $Y^*(\mathfrak{g})$ -comodule, define  $\tilde{T}_\lambda(W)$  to be the  $Y^*(\mathfrak{g})$ -comodule  $W \otimes_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})} {}_1Y^*(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda$ .

The claim is that the functor  $\tilde{T}_{-\lambda}$  on  $\text{Obs}_0$ -modules intertwines with the functor  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  on  $Y^*(\mathfrak{g})$ -comodules under Koszul duality.

What we have just seen is that when we view  $F_{OPE}$  as a bifunctor

$$F_{OPE} : \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})} \times \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})} \rightarrow \text{Comod}_{Y^*(\mathfrak{g})}((\lambda))$$

that  $F_{OPE}(1 \times W) \simeq \tilde{T}_\lambda(W)$ . The last thing we need to show is that  $\tilde{T}_\lambda$  and  $T_\lambda$  agree. The result follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** *There is a unique homomorphism of Hopf algebras  $T : Y(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{g})[[\lambda]]$  such that*

(1) *Classically,  $T|_{\hbar=0} : U(\mathfrak{g}[[z]]) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}[[z]])[[\lambda]]$  arises from the map of Lie algebras*

$$\mathfrak{g}[[z]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[[z, \lambda]] \quad , \quad z \mapsto z + \lambda.$$

(2)  *$T$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant.*

(3)  *$T \bmod \lambda = \text{id}$ .*

From this lemma, the result is immediate. We have just shown that  $F_{OPE}(V \times W) \cong T_0(V) \otimes T_\lambda(W)$ . Thus,  $F_{OPE}$  agrees with  $F_{R(\lambda)}$ .

□