

Classical Field Theory in Batalin-Vilkovisky Formalism

Kai Xu

October 9, 2018

In these notes we develop the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism for the (perturbative) classical field theory.

"Perturbative" means that we will only consider those solutions which are infinitesimally close to a given solution, i.e. the formal completion at this point.

"Classical" means we are interested in the solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equations for an action functional. (We will consider the quantum effects in the next time.)

1 Formal Moduli Problem

The naive moduli space of solutions does NOT work, we need to consider the derived version. This gives a formal moduli problem.

Denote the category of Artinian dg algebra over k by \mathbf{Art}_k , it is simplicially enriched in a natural way.

Definition 1. A (pointed) formal moduli problem over k is a functor (of simplicially enriched categories)

$$F : \mathbf{Art}_k \longrightarrow sSets$$

from \mathbf{Art}_k to the category of simplicial sets, with the following additional properties:

1. $F(k)$ is contractible.
2. F takes surjections to Kan fibrations.
3. Suppose A, B, C are dg Artinian algebras with maps $B \longrightarrow A$, $C \longrightarrow A$, one of them is surjective, then we can form the fiber product $B \times_A C$. We require the natural map

$$F(B \times_A C) \longrightarrow F(B) \times_{F(A)} F(C)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

The category of formal moduli problems is naturally simplicially enriched.

One important way in which formal moduli problem arise is as the solutions to the Maurer-Cartan equations in an L_∞ algebra.

Given an L_∞ algebra \mathfrak{g} and a dg Artinian algebra (R, \mathfrak{m}) , let

$$MC(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m})$$

denote the simplicial set of solutions to the Maurer-Cartan equation in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$, i.e. an n -simplex is an element

$$\alpha \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes \Omega^*(\Delta^n)$$

of cohomological degree 1 satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\sigma_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} l_n(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) = 0$$

Sending R to $MC(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m})$ defines a formal moduli problem which we denote by $B\mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 1. *B defines an equivalence between the $(\infty, 1)$ categories of L_∞ algebras and formal moduli problems.*

In finite dimension, $B\mathfrak{g}(R) \cong \text{Map}(C^*(\mathfrak{g}), R)$, hence we may formally think of $B\mathfrak{g}$ as $\text{Spec} C^*(\mathfrak{g})$. Then \mathfrak{g} modules corresponds to vector bundles over $B\mathfrak{g}$, with $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ corresponding to the tangent bundle.

Definition 2. *Let M be a smooth manifold, a local L_∞ algebra on M consists of the following data:*

1. *A graded vector bundle L on M , whose space of smooth sections denoted by \mathcal{L}*
2. *A collection of poly-differential operators*

$$l_n : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}$$

of degree $2 - n$ for $n \geq 1$, endowing \mathcal{L} with the structure of L_∞ algebra.

Applying the functor B to \mathcal{L} we get a presheaf of formal moduli problems. Because \mathcal{L} is a fine sheaf, $B\mathcal{L}$ is a homotopy sheaf.

Definition 3. *An elliptic L_∞ algebra is a local L_∞ algebra as above with the property that $(\mathcal{L}, d = l_1)$ is an elliptic complex.*

Example 1. ϕ^4 theory.

Given a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) the ϕ^4 theory is a scalar field theory defined by the action functional

$$S(\phi) = \int_M \frac{1}{2!} (|\nabla \phi|^2 - m^2 \phi^2) - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 d\text{Vol}_g$$

where ϕ is a smooth function on M .

The Euler-Lagrange equation for this action functional is

$$(-\Delta + m^2)\phi + \frac{1}{3!}\phi^3 = 0$$

The actual space of solutions to this nonlinear PDE is complicated, we will describe the formal moduli problem of solutions to this equation where ϕ is infinitesimally close to 0.

This formal moduli problem of solutions to this equation can be described as the solutions to the Maurer-Cartan equation in a certain L_∞ algebra which continue we call lc . As a cochain complex, \mathcal{L} is

$$C^\infty(M)[-1] \xrightarrow{-\Delta+m^2} C^\infty(M)[-2]$$

All higher brackets are 0 except for l_3 :

$$l_3 : C^\infty(M)[-1]^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)[-2]$$

$$\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3 \mapsto -\lambda \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3$$

2 Classical BV Formalism

Finite dimensional toy model:

M a smooth manifold, $S \in C^\infty(M)$ an action functional, then the critical locus $Crit(S)$ is the intersection of two sections 0 and dS in T^*M .

In derived world we should take the derived intersection:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(Crit(S)) &= \mathcal{O}(\Gamma(dS)) \underset{\mathcal{O}(T^*M)}{\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}(M) \\ &= (\dots \xrightarrow{\vee dS} \Gamma(M, \wedge^2 TM) \xrightarrow{\vee dS} \Gamma(M, TM) \xrightarrow{\vee dS} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{O}(M))) \\ &\quad (Koszul \text{ complex}) \\ &= (\mathcal{O}(T^*[-1]M), \{S, -\}) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line $T^*[-1]M$ denotes the shifted cotangent bundle with the natural symplectic structure of degree -1 .

We can also consider the G -equivariant version of this construction (for simplicity assume $M = E$ is linear): in this case we are interested in the quotient $Crit(S)/G$, which is (at least on the infinitesimal level) encoded by $C^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{O}(E))$. We can view this as the functions on a supermanifold denoted by $E \oplus \mathfrak{g}[1]$. Then we take the derived intersection as above, get a super manifold $E \oplus \mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus E^*[-1] \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*[-2]$ with an odd vector field.

The four summands are called fields, ghosts, anti-fields and anti-ghosts respectively.

Motivated by the toy model above, we are now ready to present the general definition of a classical field theory:

Definition 4. Suppose E is an elliptic L_∞ algebra on M , an invariant pairing of degree k is a symmetric map:

$$\langle -, - \rangle_E : E \otimes E \longrightarrow \text{Den}(M)[k]$$

such that

1. This pairing is nondegenerate, i.e. the induced map

$$E \longrightarrow E^* \otimes \text{Den}(M)[k]$$

is an isomorphism.

2. $\int_M \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_E$ is an invariant pairing on \mathcal{E}_c , i.e.

$\langle l_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_{n+1} \rangle_E$ is symmetric.

Definition 5. A formal pointed elliptic moduli problem with a symplectic form of cohomological degree k on a manifold M is an elliptic L_∞ algebra on M with an invariant pairing of degree $k - 2$.

Definition 6. A (perturbative) classical field theory on M is a formal pointed elliptic moduli problem on M with a symplectic form of cohomological degree -1 .

Given a local L_∞ algebra \mathcal{L} over M and an action functional $S \in \mathcal{O}_{loc}(B\mathcal{L})$ (local functionals), we carry out the same procedure as in the toy model:

given an L_∞ algebra \mathfrak{g} , we have a universal derivation:

$$d : C_{red}^*(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*[-1])$$

, which restricts to local functionals:

$$\mathcal{O}_{loc}(B\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow C_{loc}^*(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^![-1])$$

The derived critical locus $\text{Crit}(S)$ is $(\mathcal{L} \rtimes \mathcal{L}^![-3])$ twisted by dS . If the differential is elliptic, this defines a classical field theory.

More concisely, a classical field theory on M consists of the following data:

1. a graded vector bundle E over M with a symplectic pairing with degree -1 ,
2. an action functional $S \in \mathcal{O}_{loc}^{\geq 2}(\mathcal{E}(M))$ of cohomological degree 0, satisfying:
 - $\{S, S\} = 0$,
 - the quadratic part of S is elliptic.

3 Cotangent Field Theories

Definition 7. Let \mathcal{L} be an elliptic L_∞ algebra on a manifold X , and let $B\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}$ be the associated elliptic moduli problem. Then the cotangent field theory associated to \mathcal{M} is the -1 -symplectic elliptic moduli problem $T^*[-1]\mathcal{M}$, whose elliptic L_∞ algebra is $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^1[-3]$.

Example 2. Anti-selfdual Yang-Mills theory:

Let X be a oriented 4-manifold with a conformal class of a metric, G a compact Lie group and $\mathcal{M}(X, G)$ be the elliptic moduli problem parameterizing principal G -bundles on X with a connection whose curvature is anti-selfdual. Its completion near a point $(P, \nabla) \rightarrow X$ corresponds to the L_∞ algebra

$$\Omega^0(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \xrightarrow{d_\nabla} \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \xrightarrow{d_-} \Omega_+^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)$$

Thus, the elliptic L_∞ algebra describing $T^*[-1]\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$\Omega^0(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \xrightarrow{d_\nabla} \Omega^1(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \oplus \Omega_+^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \quad (1)$$

$$\xrightarrow{d_+ \oplus d_\nabla} \Omega_+^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \oplus \Omega^3(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \xrightarrow{d_\nabla} \Omega^4(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) \quad (2)$$

The usual Yang-Mills theory is a deformation of this anti-selfdual theory by $id : \Omega_+^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)[-1] \rightarrow \Omega_+^2(X, \mathfrak{g}_P)[-2]$.

4 Observables of a Classical Field Theory

Definition 8. The observables with support in the open set U is the commutative dg algebra

$$Obs^{cl}(U) = C^*(\mathcal{L}(U))$$

This defines a factorization algebra on M .

We want to equip it with a shifted Poisson structure, but this is somewhat subtle due to the complications that arise when working with infinite-dimensional vector spaces. We will exhibit a sub-factorization algebra \widetilde{Obs}^{cl} which is equipped with a commutative product and Poisson bracket and such that the inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism.

Observation: for the free theory we can take the subalgebra generated by smooth sections in the distributional section. By Atiyah-Bott lemma, the inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism.

However, for interaction theory this subalgebra is not preserved by higher brackets.

Instead, we need the subcomplex of functionals that have smooth first derivatives. This forms a subalgebra and is preserved by the differential. The non-trivial part is to construct the Poisson bracket. We can use the symplectic form to identify tangent bundle and cotangent bundle and pull back the Lie bracket of vector fields.(c.f. [2][1])

5 Supersymmetric Gauge Theory

In this section we consider the supersymmetric gauge theory whose quantization will lead to Yangian.

Recall that $Spin(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$, let S_{\pm} be the defining complex representations of the two copies of $SU(2)$, thus complex 2 dimensional, equipped with representation of $Spin(4)$. Let $V \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ be the fundamental representation of $Spin(4)$, there is an isomorphism of complex $Spin(4)$ representations:

$$\Gamma : S_+ \otimes S_- \cong V_{\mathbb{C}} \cong V \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Definition 9. *The $N = 1$ supertranslation Lie algebra T is the complex super Lie algebra*

$$T = V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \Pi(S_+ \oplus S_-)$$

with bracket defined as follows: if $Q_{\pm} \in S_{\pm}$, then

$$[Q_+, Q_-] = \Gamma(Q_+ \otimes Q_-)$$

and all other brackets are zero.

T has an evident action of $Spin(4)$ as well as an action of \mathbb{C}^* where the weights of $V_{\mathbb{C}}, S_+, S_-$ are $0, 1, -1$ respectively. \mathbb{C}^* is called the R -symmetry group.

Definition 10. *The $N = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theory in the first order formalism has space of fields*

$$\Omega^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Omega_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi(S_+ \oplus S_-) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

Denote the fields in those four summands by A, B, Ψ_+, Ψ_- , the action functional is:

$$S(A, B, \Psi_+, \Psi_-) = \int \langle F(A)_+, B \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + c \int \langle B, B \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \int \langle \Psi_+, \not{D}_A \Psi_- \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

Here c is the coupling constant, and we are using the canonical symplectic pairing

$$\mathcal{S}_+ \otimes \mathcal{S}_+ \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$$

to define the action functional on the spinor.

The gauge Lie algebra is $\Omega^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, the infinitesimal action of $X \in \Omega^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$(A, B, \Psi_+, \Psi_-) \mapsto (dX + [X, A], [X, B], [X, \Psi_+], [X, \Psi_-])$$

For supersymmetry we need to define an action of \mathcal{S}_+ on the space of fields. This is given by

$$Q \otimes (A, B, \Psi_+, \Psi_-) \mapsto (\Gamma(Q \otimes \Psi_-), 0, Y(Q \otimes B), 0)$$

where Γ and Y are the natural maps.

Lemma 1. *This action commutes with the action of gauge Lie algebra and preserves the action functional on the space of fields.*

We can write this theory in BV formalism. This is a super version of the Yang-Mills theory.

Twisting: consider the theory defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^Q = (\mathcal{L}((t)), d + tQ)^{\mathbb{C}_R}$$

where t has cohomological degree 1, odd super degree and weight -1 under the R symmetry group.

This is a holomorphic twist (i.e. this theory has a holomorphic reformulation BF theory.)

Definition 11. *BF theory on \mathbb{C}^2 :*

$$\text{Fields: } A \in \Omega^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, B \in \Omega^{2,0} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\text{Action: } S = \int \langle F(A), B \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

$$\text{Gauge: } \Omega^{0,0} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \text{ with action}$$

$$(A, B) \mapsto (\bar{\partial}_A X, [X, B])$$

In BV theory, this is described by

$$\mathcal{L} = \Omega^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Omega^{2,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}[-1]$$

This is the cotangent theory to the derived moduli space of G -bundles on \mathbb{C}^2
Deformation of the theory:

$$S' = S + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int dz \langle A, \partial A \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

$$X(A, B) = (\bar{\partial}_A X, \lambda dz \wedge \partial X + [X, B])$$

In BV theory, this is described by

$$\mathcal{L} = \Omega^{0,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{dz\partial} \Omega^{2,*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}[-1]$$

Explicit computation shows that locally the observables take the form

$$Obs^{cl} \cong C^*(\mathfrak{g}[[z]])$$

References

- [1] K. Costello. *Renormalization and effective field theory*, volume 170 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [2] K. Costello and O. Gwilliam. *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory. Vol. 1,2*, volume 31 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.