

# Research Note: Gaps in Existing E-Signature & Document Platforms and Proposed Solutions

## Introduction

This research was conducted to analyze the limitations of existing e-signature and document management platforms, including DocuSign, PandaDoc, Adobe Sign, Dropbox Sign, SignNow, Signeasy, and Jotform Sign. The objective is to identify recurring technical, pricing, and usability gaps and define practical solutions for building an independent, all-in-one document and contract platform.

### 1. Lack of Native Document Creation

**Problem:** Most platforms do not allow users to create documents natively, forcing them to rely on external tools before uploading files.

**Intended Solution:** Build a native document editor that supports contracts, proposals, rich text, tables, images, and reusable content blocks.

### 2. Limited Document Editing Capabilities

**Problem:** Uploaded documents cannot be meaningfully edited, often requiring full re-uploads for minor changes.

**Intended Solution:** Enable full in-platform editing, including page replacement, reordering, and inline text modifications.

### 3. Expensive and Fragmented Pricing Models

**Problem:** Core features such as API access, bulk sending, and analytics are locked behind expensive tiers or add-ons.

**Intended Solution:** Adopt transparent flat pricing with all essential features included and no hidden fees.

### 4. Template Restrictions

**Problem:** Template usage is artificially limited unless users upgrade to higher-cost plans.

**Intended Solution:** Provide unlimited templates across all plans to support scaling without penalties.

### 5. No Real-Time Collaboration During Drafting

**Problem:** Most platforms lack live collaboration and rely on sequential reviews.

**Intended Solution:** Implement real-time multi-user editing, comments, and role-based permissions.

## 6. Poor Offline Functionality

**Problem:** Platforms require constant internet access, limiting usability in low-connectivity environments.

**Intended Solution:** Design the system as offline-first, allowing drafting and signing without internet and syncing later.

## 7. Weak or Restricted Bulk Sending

**Problem:** Bulk sending is limited to simple workflows or restricted to premium tiers.

**Intended Solution:** Support multi-party bulk sending with attachments, signing order, and dynamic fields.

## 8. Poor Email Deliverability

**Problem:** Documents often land in spam folders, reducing trust and deal completion.

**Intended Solution:** Integrate domain verification, email authentication, and delivery monitoring.

## 9. Limited Analytics for Non-Enterprise Users

**Problem:** Advanced analytics are restricted to enterprise plans.

**Intended Solution:** Offer full analytics for all users, including signing time and bottleneck insights.

## 10. No Conditional Logic or Dynamic Workflows

**Problem:** Conditional fields and branching logic are missing or costly.

**Intended Solution:** Include dynamic workflows and conditional logic as standard functionality.

## 11. Strict File Size, Page, and Signer Limits

**Problem:** Artificial limits restrict real-world legal and enterprise use cases.

**Intended Solution:** Support large files, unlimited pages, and scalable signer counts.

## 12. Missing Payment Collection and Contract Lifecycle Management

**Problem:** Most platforms stop at signatures without lifecycle support.

**Intended Solution:** Integrate payments, renewals, amendments, and contract tracking.

## 13. Weak Mobile Experience

**Problem:** Mobile apps are limited and lack offline capability.

**Intended Solution:** Develop fully featured mobile apps with offline access and biometric security.

## 14. Hidden Per-Signature Charges

**Problem:** Unexpected per-signature fees create cost traps.

**Intended Solution:** Offer true unlimited signatures without hidden thresholds.

## 15. Poor Document Version Control

**Problem:** Version tracking and rollback are weak or missing.

**Intended Solution:** Implement full version history with rollback and change tracking.

## Conclusion

This research reveals consistent gaps across leading e-signature platforms, particularly around pricing transparency, offline functionality, collaboration, and scalability. The proposed platform aims to address these gaps by focusing on simplicity, reliability, and true all-in-one capability, especially for individuals, SMBs, and growing teams.