

Yoneda Lemma

Brian Chan

November 1, 2022

0.1 Statement and proof of the Yoneda lemma

We begin by setting the scene for the Yoneda lemma. The main reference for this document is [Mur16].

Definition 0.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. We say that \mathcal{C} is **locally small** if for any pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the class of morphisms $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is a set.

Definition 0.1.2. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories. The **functor category** $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is the category whose objects are functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and morphism are natural transformations between functors.

The isomorphisms in the functor category $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ are the natural isomorphisms between functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . For two functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $F' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, we write $Nat(F, F')$ to denote the set of natural transformations from F to F' .

If \mathcal{C} is a locally small category and $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object then we have the functor

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) : \quad \mathcal{C}^{op} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ Y &\mapsto Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \\ f : Y \rightarrow Y' &\mapsto Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, X) \end{aligned}$$

In turn, the function $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, X)$ of sets is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, X) : \quad Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y', X) &\rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \\ g &\mapsto g \circ f. \end{aligned}$$

This functor is important in the definition of the Yoneda functor.

Definition 0.1.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category. The **Yoneda functor** is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y : \quad \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}) \\ X &\mapsto Y(X) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \\ f : X \rightarrow X' &\mapsto Y(f) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} then $Y(f)$ is a natural transformation defined by the family of maps

$$\{Y(f)_A : Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X') \mid A \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

where we have for each object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ the morphism of sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(f)_A : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X') \\ g & \mapsto & f \circ g. \end{array}$$

In order for the proof of the Yoneda lemma to go through smoothly, we will first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 0.1.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category and $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a functor. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. Define the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_{C,F} : \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \rightarrow & F(C) \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \alpha_C(id_C) \end{array}$$

Explicitly, Y is the functor from equation (1), α_C is a morphism of sets from $Y(C)(C) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C)$ to $F(C)$ and id_C is the identity map on the object C . Then, $\Phi_{C,F}$ is a bijection, which satisfies the following two properties:

1. *If $f : C \rightarrow C'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} then the following square in \mathbf{Set} commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\ (-) \circ Y(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow F(f) \\ \text{Nat}(Y(C'), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C',F}} & F(C') \end{array}$$

2. *If $\beta : F \rightarrow F'$ is a natural transformation then the following diagram in \mathbf{Set} commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\ \beta \circ (-) \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_C \\ \text{Nat}(Y(C), F') & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F'}} & F'(C) \end{array}$$

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a locally small category and $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object. Assume that $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a functor.

To show: (a) The map $\Phi_{C,F}$ is surjective.

(b) The map $\Phi_{C,F}$ is injective.

(c) The first property in the statement of the lemma is satisfied.

(d) The second property in the statement of the lemma is satisfied.

(a) Assume that $X \in F(C)$ and D is an object in \mathcal{C}^{op} . Define the map $N(X)_D$ by

$$N(X)_D : Y(C)(D) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C) \xrightarrow{g} F(D) \mapsto F(g)(X)$$

Recall that F is a contravariant functor by assumption so that $F(g)$ is a morphism in **Set** from $F(C)$ to $F(D)$.

To show: (aa) $N(X) \in Nat(Y(C), F)$.

(aa) We will show that if $h : D \rightarrow D'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C}^{op} then the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(C)(D') & \xrightarrow{Y(C)(h)} & Y(C)(D) \\ N(X)_{D'} \downarrow & & \downarrow N(X)_D \\ F(D') & \xrightarrow{F(h)} & F(D) \end{array}$$

Assume that $\xi \in Y(C)(D') = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(D', C)$. We compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} (N(X)_D \circ Y(C)(h))(\xi) &= (N(X)_D \circ Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(h, C))(\xi) \\ &= N(X)_D(\xi \circ h) \\ &= F(\xi \circ h)(X) \\ &= (F(h) \circ F(\xi))(X) \\ &= (F(h) \circ N(X)_{D'}) (\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the above diagram in **Set** commutes and $N(X) \in Nat(Y(C), F)$.

(a) We claim that $\Phi_{C,F}(N(X)) = X$. Using the definitions of $\Phi_{C,F}$ and $N(X)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{C,F}(N(X)) &= N(X)_C(id_C) \\ &= F(id_C)(X) = id_{F(C)}(X) = X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map $\Phi_{C,F}$ is surjective.

(b) Assume that $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Nat}(Y(C), F)$ such that $\Phi_{C,F}(\alpha) = \Phi_{C,F}(\beta)$. Assume that $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C)$ for some object $D \in \mathcal{C}$. By naturality of α , the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(C)(C) & \xrightarrow{Y(C)(f)} & Y(C)(D) \\ \alpha_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_D \\ F(C) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(D) \end{array}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} (F(f) \circ \Phi_{C,F})(\alpha) &= F(f)(\alpha_C(id_C)) \\ &= (\alpha_D \circ Y(C)(f))(id_C) \\ &= \alpha_D(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C)(id_C)) \\ &= \alpha_D(id_C \circ f) = \alpha_D(f). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi_{C,F}(\alpha) = \Phi_{C,F}(\beta)$ by assumption, $\alpha_C(id_C) = \beta_C(id_C)$. But, β is also a natural transformation between the functors $Y(C)$ and F . So, the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(C)(C) & \xrightarrow{Y(C)(f)} & Y(C)(D) \\ \beta_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_D \\ F(C) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(D) \end{array}$$

If $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C) = Y(C)(D)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_D(f) &= \alpha_D(id_C \circ f) \\ &= \alpha_D(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C)(id_C)) \\ &= (\alpha_D \circ Y(C)(f))(id_C) \\ &= F(f)(\alpha_C(id_C)) \\ &= F(f)(\beta_C(id_C)) \quad (\text{since } \alpha_C(id_C) = \beta_C(id_C)) \\ &= (\beta_D \circ Y(C)(f))(id_C) \\ &= \beta_D(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C)(id_C)) = \beta_D(f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\alpha_D = \beta_D$. Since the object $D \in \mathcal{C}$ was arbitrary, we deduce that $\alpha = \beta$ as natural transformations from $Y(C)$ to F . Therefore, $\Phi_{C,F}$ is injective.

Combining parts (a) and (b), we deduce that $\Phi_{C,F}$ is indeed a bijective map. Its inverse is given explicitly by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_{C,F}^{-1} : & F(C) & \rightarrow \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) \\ & X & \mapsto N(X) \end{array}$$

where $N(X)$ is the natural transformation in parts (a) and (aa). Recall that it is defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(X)_D : & Y(C)(D) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C) & \rightarrow F(D) \\ & g & \mapsto F(g)(X) \end{array}$$

(c) Now assume that $f : C \rightarrow C'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} . We want to show that the following square in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\ (-) \circ Y(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow F(f) \\ \text{Nat}(Y(C'), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C',F}} & F(C') \end{array}$$

Assume that $\alpha \in \text{Nat}(Y(C'), F)$. We compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{C,F} \circ (-) \circ Y(f))(\alpha) &= \Phi_{C,F}(\alpha \circ Y(f)) \\ &= (\alpha \circ Y(f))_C(id_C) \\ &= (\alpha_C \circ Y(f)_C)(id_C) \\ &= \alpha_C(Y(f)_C(id_C)) \\ &= \alpha_C(f \circ id_C) = \alpha_C(f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (F(f) \circ \Phi_{C',F})(\alpha) &= F(f)(\alpha_{C'}(id_{C'})) \\ &= (F(f) \circ \alpha_{C'})(id_{C'}) \\ &= (\alpha_C \circ Y(C')(f))(id_{C'}) \quad (\text{Naturality of } \alpha) \\ &= \alpha_C(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C')(id_{C'})) \\ &= \alpha_C(f). \end{aligned}$$

So, the above diagram in **Set** is commutative.

(d) Assume that $\beta \in \text{Nat}(F, F')$. We want to show that the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\
\beta \circ (-) \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_C \\
\text{Nat}(Y(C), F') & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F'}} & F'(C)
\end{array}$$

Assume that $\chi \in \text{Nat}(Y(C), F)$. We compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta_C \circ \Phi_{C,F})(\chi) &= \beta_C(\chi_C(id_C)) \\
&= (\beta_C \circ \chi_C)(id_C) \\
&= (\beta \circ \chi)_C(id_C) \\
&= \Phi_{C,F'}(\beta \circ \chi) \\
&= (\Phi_{C,F'} \circ \beta \circ (-))(\chi)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the above diagram in **Set** commutes. This completes the proof. \square

In Lemma 0.1.1, the property proved in part (c) tells us that $\Phi_{C,F}$ is natural in the object $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Correspondingly, the property proved in part (d) tells us that $\Phi_{C,F}$ is natural with respect to the functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Now, we can state and prove the Yoneda lemma. We state it as a theorem due to it being one of the most important results in category theory.

Theorem 0.1.2 (Yoneda lemma). *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category. The Yoneda functor (see equation (1)), which is the functor*

$$\begin{array}{lll}
Y : & \mathcal{C} & \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}) \\
& X & \mapsto Y(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \\
& f : X \rightarrow X' & \mapsto Y(f)
\end{array}$$

is a fully faithful functor.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a locally small category and that the Yoneda embedding Y is the functor defined as above. Let X, X' be objects in \mathcal{C} . Then, the functor Y induces a mapping

$$Y_{X,X'} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set})}(Y(X), Y(X'))$$

Note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set})}(Y(X), Y(X')) = \text{Nat}(Y(X), Y(X'))$ and $Y_{X,X'}(f) = Y(f)$.

To show: (a) $Y_{X,X'}$ is bijective.

(a) By Lemma 0.1.1, it suffices to show that $Y_{X,X'}$ is the inverse to the bijection $\Phi_{X,Y(X')} : \text{Nat}(Y(X), Y(X')) \rightarrow Y(X')(X)$. Assume that $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X')$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi_{X,Y(X')} \circ Y_{X,X'})(f) &= \Phi_{X,Y(X')}(Y(f)) \\ &= Y(f)_X(id_X) \\ &= f \circ id_X = f.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Y_{X,X'}$ is a bijection as required.

Part (a) shows that the Yoneda functor is a fully faithful functor as required. □

Bibliography

- [Mur16] D. Murfet, *MAST90068 - Lecture 6*, 2016, Available at:
<http://therisingsea.org/notes/mast90068/lecture6.pdf>.