

Yoneda Lemma

Brian Chan

August 5, 2022

0.1 Statement and proof of the Yoneda lemma

We begin by setting the scene for the Yoneda lemma.

Definition 0.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. We say that \mathcal{C} is **locally small** if for any pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the class of morphisms $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is a set.

Definition 0.1.2. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories. The **functor category** $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is the category whose objects are functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and morphism are natural transformations between functors.

The isomorphisms in the functor category $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ are the natural isomorphisms between functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . For two functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $F' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, we write $Nat(F, F')$ to denote the set of natural transformations from F to F' .

If \mathcal{C} is a locally small category and $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object then we have the functor

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) : \quad \mathcal{C}^{op} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ Y &\mapsto Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \\ f : Y \rightarrow Y' &\mapsto Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, X) \end{aligned}$$

In turn, the function $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, X)$ of sets is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, X) : \quad Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y', X) &\rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \\ g &\mapsto g \circ f. \end{aligned}$$

This functor is important in the definition of the Yoneda functor.

Definition 0.1.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category. The **Yoneda functor** is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y : \quad \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}) \\ X &\mapsto Y(X) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \\ f : X \rightarrow X' &\mapsto Y(f) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} then $Y(f)$ is a natural transformation defined by the family of maps

$$\{Y(f)_A : Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X') \mid A \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

where we have for each object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ the morphism of sets

$$\begin{aligned} Y(f)_A : \quad Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X) &\rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X') \\ g &\mapsto f \circ g. \end{aligned}$$

In order for the proof of the Yoneda lemma to go through smoothly, we will first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 0.1.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category and $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a functor. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. Define the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_{C,F} : \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \rightarrow & F(C) \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \alpha_C(id_C) \end{array}$$

Explicitly, Y is the functor from equation (1), α_C is a morphism of sets from $Y(C)(C) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C)$ to $F(C)$ and id_C is the identity map on the object C . Then, $\Phi_{C,F}$ is a bijection, which satisfies the following two properties:

1. *If $f : C \rightarrow C'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} then the following square in \mathbf{Set} commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\ (-) \circ Y(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow F(f) \\ \text{Nat}(Y(C'), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C',F}} & F(C') \end{array}$$

2. *If $\beta : F \rightarrow F'$ is a natural transformation then the following diagram in \mathbf{Set} commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\ \beta \circ (-) \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_C \\ \text{Nat}(Y(C), F') & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F'}} & F'(C) \end{array}$$

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a locally small category and $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object. Assume that $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a functor.

To show: (a) The map $\Phi_{C,F}$ is surjective.

(b) The map $\Phi_{C,F}$ is injective.

(c) The first property in the statement of the lemma is satisfied.

(d) The second property in the statement of the lemma is satisfied.

(a) Assume that $X \in F(C)$ and D is an object in \mathcal{C}^{op} . Define the map $N(X)_D$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(X)_D : Y(C)(D) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C) & \rightarrow & F(D) \\ & g & \mapsto F(g)(X) \end{array}$$

Recall that F is a contravariant functor by assumption so that $F(g)$ is a morphism in **Set** from $F(C)$ to $F(D)$.

To show: (aa) $N(X) \in Nat(Y(C), F)$.

(aa) We will show that if $h : D \rightarrow D'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C}^{op} then the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y(C)(D') & \xrightarrow{Y(C)(h)} & Y(C)(D) \\ N(X)_{D'} \downarrow & & \downarrow N(X)_D \\ F(D') & \xrightarrow{F(h)} & F(D) \end{array}$$

Assume that $\xi \in Y(C)(D') = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(D', C)$. We compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} (N(X)_D \circ Y(C)(h))(\xi) &= (N(X)_D \circ Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(h, C))(\xi) \\ &= N(X)_D(\xi \circ h) \\ &= F(\xi \circ h)(X) \\ &= (F(h) \circ F(\xi))(X) \\ &= (F(h) \circ N(X)_{D'}) (\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the above diagram in **Set** commutes and $N(X) \in Nat(Y(C), F)$.

(a) We claim that $\Phi_{C,F}(N(X)) = X$. Using the definitions of $\Phi_{C,F}$ and $N(X)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{C,F}(N(X)) &= N(X)_C(id_C) \\ &= F(id_C)(X) = id_{F(C)}(X) = X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map $\Phi_{C,F}$ is surjective.

(b) Assume that $\alpha, \beta \in Nat(Y(C), F)$ such that $\Phi_{C,F}(\alpha) = \Phi_{C,F}(\beta)$. Assume that $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C)$ for some object $D \in \mathcal{C}$. By naturality of α , the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y(C)(C) & \xrightarrow{Y(C)(f)} & Y(C)(D) \\
\alpha_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_D \\
F(C) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(D)
\end{array}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
(F(f) \circ \Phi_{C,F})(\alpha) &= F(f)(\alpha_C(id_C)) \\
&= (\alpha_D \circ Y(C)(f))(id_C) \\
&= \alpha_D(Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C)(id_C)) \\
&= \alpha_D(id_C \circ f) = \alpha_D(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi_{C,F}(\alpha) = \Phi_{C,F}(\beta)$ by assumption, $\alpha_C(id_C) = \beta_C(id_C)$. But, β is also a natural transformation between the functors $Y(C)$ and F . So, the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y(C)(C) & \xrightarrow{Y(C)(f)} & Y(C)(D) \\
\beta_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_D \\
F(C) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(D)
\end{array}$$

If $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C) = Y(C)(D)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_D(f) &= \alpha_D(id_C \circ f) \\
&= \alpha_D(Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C)(id_C)) \\
&= (\alpha_D \circ Y(C)(f))(id_C) \\
&= F(f)(\alpha_C(id_C)) \\
&= F(f)(\beta_C(id_C)) \quad (\text{since } \alpha_C(id_C) = \beta_C(id_C)) \\
&= (\beta_D \circ Y(C)(f))(id_C) \\
&= \beta_D(Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C)(id_C)) = \beta_D(f).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\alpha_D = \beta_D$. Since the object $D \in \mathcal{C}$ was arbitrary, we deduce that $\alpha = \beta$ as natural transformations from $Y(C)$ to F . Therefore, $\Phi_{C,F}$ is injective.

Combining parts (a) and (b), we deduce that $\Phi_{C,F}$ is indeed a bijective map. Its inverse is given explicitly by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_{C,F}^{-1} : F(C) & \rightarrow & Nat(Y(C), F) \\ X & \mapsto & N(X) \end{array}$$

where $N(X)$ is the natural transformation in parts (a) and (aa). Recall that it is defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(X)_D : Y(C)(D) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(D, C) & \rightarrow & F(D) \\ g & \mapsto & F(g)(X) \end{array}$$

(c) Now assume that $f : C \rightarrow C'$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} . We want to show that the following square in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Nat(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\ (-) \circ Y(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow F(f) \\ Nat(Y(C'), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C',F}} & F(C') \end{array}$$

Assume that $\alpha \in Nat(Y(C'), F)$. We compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{C,F} \circ (-) \circ Y(f))(\alpha) &= \Phi_{C,F}(\alpha \circ Y(f)) \\ &= (\alpha \circ Y(f))_C(id_C) \\ &= (\alpha_C \circ Y(f)_C)(id_C) \\ &= \alpha_C(Y(f)_C(id_C)) \\ &= \alpha_C(f \circ id_C) = \alpha_C(f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (F(f) \circ \Phi_{C',F})(\alpha) &= F(f)(\alpha_{C'}(id_{C'})) \\ &= (F(f) \circ \alpha_{C'})(id_{C'}) \\ &= (\alpha_C \circ Y(C')(f))(id_{C'}) \quad (\text{Naturality of } \alpha) \\ &= \alpha_C(Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(f, C')(id_{C'})) \\ &= \alpha_C(f). \end{aligned}$$

So, the above diagram in **Set** is commutative.

(d) Assume that $\beta \in Nat(F, F')$. We want to show that the following diagram in **Set** commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Nat}(Y(C), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F}} & F(C) \\
\beta \circ (-) \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_C \\
\text{Nat}(Y(C), F') & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{C,F'}} & F'(C)
\end{array}$$

Assume that $\chi \in \text{Nat}(Y(C), F)$. We compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta_C \circ \Phi_{C,F})(\chi) &= \beta_C(\chi_C(\text{id}_C)) \\
&= (\beta_C \circ \chi_C)(\text{id}_C) \\
&= (\beta \circ \chi)_C(\text{id}_C) \\
&= \Phi_{C,F'}(\beta \circ \chi) \\
&= (\Phi_{C,F'} \circ \beta \circ (-))(\chi)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the above diagram in **Set** commutes. This completes the proof. \square

In Lemma 0.1.1, the property proved in part (c) tells us that $\Phi_{C,F}$ is natural in the object $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Correspondingly, the property proved in part (d) tells us that $\Phi_{C,F}$ is natural with respect to the functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Now, we can state and prove the Yoneda lemma. We state it as a theorem due to it being one of the most important results in category theory.

Theorem 0.1.2 (Yoneda lemma). *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category. The Yoneda functor (see equation (1)), which is the functor*

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
Y : & \mathcal{C} & \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}) \\
& X & \mapsto Y(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \\
& f : X \rightarrow X' & \mapsto Y(f)
\end{array}$$

is a fully faithful functor.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a locally small category and that the Yoneda embedding Y is the functor defined as above. Let X, X' be objects in \mathcal{C} . Then, the functor Y induces a mapping

$$Y_{X,X'} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set})}(Y(X), Y(X'))$$

Note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set})}(Y(X), Y(X')) = \text{Nat}(Y(X), Y(X'))$ and $Y_{X,X'}(f) = Y(f)$.

To show: (a) $Y_{X,X'}$ is bijective.

(a) By Lemma 0.1.1, it suffices to show that $Y_{X,X'}$ is the inverse to the bijection $\Phi_{X,Y(X')} : \text{Nat}(Y(X), Y(X')) \rightarrow Y(X')(X)$. Assume that $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X')$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi_{X,Y(X')} \circ Y_{X,X'})(f) &= \Phi_{X,Y(X')}(Y(f)) \\ &= Y(f)_X(id_X) \\ &= f \circ id_X = f.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Y_{X,X'}$ is a bijection as required.

Part (a) shows that the Yoneda functor is a fully faithful functor as required. □