

## Experiment -1

### Open Source License Tables

**License Summary Table**

License	Short Description
No License	Code is private; others cannot legally use, modify, or distribute it.
MIT License	Very permissive; allows almost anything with minimal conditions.
Apache License 2.0	Permissive license with explicit patent protection.
BSD 2-Clause	Minimal restrictions; reuse allowed with attribution.
BSD 3-Clause	BSD-2 plus restriction on using author's name.
Boost Software License 1.0	Simple permissive license often used for C+ + libraries.
CC0 1.0	Public domain dedication with no restrictions.
MPL 2.0	File-level copyleft; modified files must be open.
Eclipse Public License 2.0	Weak copyleft, suitable for enterprise projects.
LGPL v2.1	Weak copyleft for libraries; allows proprietary linking.
GPL v2.0	Strong copyleft; derivative works must be open.
GPL v3.0	GPLv2 plus patent and anti-tivoization protections.
AGPL v3.0	Strong copyleft including network/SaaS usage.
The Unlicense	Public-domain-like license with no restrictions.

## Experiment -1

**License Comparison Table**

License	Type	Commercial Use	Modification	Source Disclosure	Copyleft Strength
MIT	Permissive	Yes	Yes	No	None
Apache 2.0	Permissive	Yes	Yes	No	None
BSD 2-Clause	Permissive	Yes	Yes	No	None
BSD 3-Clause	Permissive	Yes	Yes	No	None
Boost	Permissive	Yes	Yes	No	None
CC0	Public Domain	Yes	Yes	No	None
MPL 2.0	Weak Copyleft	Yes	Yes	Partial	Weak
EPL 2.0	Weak Copyleft	Yes	Yes	Partial	Weak
LGPL 2.1	Weak Copyleft	Yes	Yes	Library only	Weak
GPL 2.0	Strong Copyleft	Yes	Yes	Yes	Strong
GPL 3.0	Strong Copyleft	Yes	Yes	Yes	Strong
AGPL 3.0	Strong Copyleft	Yes	Yes	Yes (Network)	Strongest