

Callback function	Callbacks are just the name of a convention for using JavaScript functions. There isn't a special thing called a 'callback' in the JavaScript language, it's just a convention. Instead of immediately returning some result like most functions, functions that use callbacks take some time to produce a result. The word 'asynchronous', aka 'async' just means 'takes some time' or 'happens in the future, not right now'. Usually callbacks are only used when doing I/O	
Call	A different this object can be assigned when calling an existing function. this refers to the current object, the calling object. With call, you can write a method once and then inherit it in another object, without having to rewrite the method for the new object.	
Java Script best practices	<b>Call things by their name — easy, short and readable variable and function names</b>	isOverEighteen
	<b>Avoid globals</b>	<pre>var myNameSpace = {   current:null,   init:function(){...},   change:function(){...},   verify:function(){...} }</pre>
	<b>Stick to a strict coding style</b>	'use strict'
	<b>Comment as much as needed but not more</b>	
	<b>Avoid mixing with other technologies</b>	<p>Do not add .CSS in java script functions Ex. color, background ,try to add a class name and pass the class name which is written in .CSS file.</p> <p>Ex. eliement.className = 'error'</p>
	<b>Use shortcut notation when it makes sense</b>	<p>Bad code</p> <pre>var awesomeBands = new Array();</pre>

		<pre>awesomeBands[0] = 'Bad Religion'; awesomeBands[1] = 'Dropkick Murphys'; awesomeBands[2] = 'Flogging Molly'</pre> <p>Good Code:</p> <pre>var awesomeBands = [   'Bad Religion',   'Dropkick Murphys', 'Flogging Molly', ];</pre>
	<b>Modularized – One function one task, should not have two or multiple things in one function,</b>	It helps to other developers for debug and doing development
	<b>Enhance progressively</b>	<p>Progressive Enhancement as a development practice is discussed in detail in the <a href="#">Graceful degradation versus progressive enhancement</a>. In essence what you should do is write code that works regardless of available technology. In the case of JavaScript, this means that when scripting is not available (say on a BlackBerry, or because of an over-zealous security policy) your web products should still allow users to reach a certain goal, not block them because of the lack of JavaScript which they can't turn on, or don't want to.</p>
	<b>Allow for configuration and translation</b>	<pre>config = {  CSS:{          /*          IDs used in the document. The script will get access to          the different elements of the player with these IDs, so if you change them in the HTML below, make sure to also          change the name here!          */          IDs:{ container:'eytp-maincontainer', canvas:'eytp-playercanvas',</pre>

		<pre>player:'eytp-player', controls:'eytp-controls',</pre>
	<b>Avoid heavy nesting</b>	If you multiple loop in one function, then break it two or multiple functions.
	<b>Optimize loops</b>	<pre>var names = ['George','Ringo','Paul','John']; var all = names.length; for(var i=0;i&lt;all;i++){ doSomethingWith(names[i]); }</pre> <p>here do not use names.length in loop, otherwise every time JavaScript needs to read length of array.</p>
	<b>Keep DOM access to a minimum</b>	
	<b>Don't yield to browser whims</b>	<p>Writing code specific to a certain browser is a sure-fire way to keep your code hard to maintain and make it get dated really quickly. If you look around the web you'll find a lot of scripts that expect a certain browser and stop working as soon as a new version or another browser comes around.</p> <p>This is wasted time and effort — we should build code based on agreed standards as outlined in this course of articles, not for one browser. The web is for everybody, not an elite group of users with a state-of-the-art configuration. As the browser market moves quickly you will have to go back to your code and keep fixing it. This is neither effective nor fun.</p>
	<b>Don't trust any data</b>	Check of object and null or blank
Name of Module patterns	Definition	Code example
The module pattern	This pattern is used to mimic classes in conventional software engineering and focuses on public and private access to methods & variables. The module pattern	<pre>( function( window, undefined ) { function myModule{     this.myMethod = function(){         console.log('Hello my func');     } } } window.MyModule = myModule;</pre>

	<p>strives to improve the reduction of globally scoped variables, thus decreasing the chances of collision with other code throughout an application.</p>	<pre>})(window)</pre>
The Revealing Module Pattern	<p>Advantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cleaner approach for developers</li> <li>• Supports private data</li> <li>• Less clutter in the global namespace</li> <li>• Localization of functions and variables through closures</li> </ul>	<pre>var MyModule = ( function( window, undefined ) {     function myMethod() {         alert( 'my method' );     }     function myOtherMethod() {         alert( 'my other method' );     }     return {         someMethod : myMethod,         someOtherMethod : myOtherMethod     }; } )( window );  MyModule.someMethod(); // alerts "my method" MyModule.someOtherMethod(); // alerts "my other method"</pre>
The Singleton Pattern	<p>Advantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduced memory footprint</li> <li>• Single point of access</li> <li>• Delayed initialization that prevents instantiation until required</li> </ul> <p>Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Once instantiated, they're hardly ever "reset"</li> <li>• Harder to unit test and sometimes introduces hidden dependencies</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	<pre>function getInstance() {     if( ! instance ) {         instance = new initializeNewModule();     }     return instance; }  return {     getInstance : getInstance };</pre>
The Observer Pattern	<p>This pattern implements a single object (the subject) that maintains a reference to a collection of objects (known as "observers") and broadcasts notifications when a change to state occurs</p>	<pre>Subject.prototype.observe = function observeObject( obj ) {      this._list.push( obj );      Subject.prototype.unobserve = function unobserveObject( obj ) {this._list.splice( i, 1 );      Subject.prototype.<b>notify</b> = function notifyObservers() {</pre>

		<pre>var args = Array.prototype.slice.call( arguments, 0 );  this._list[ i ].update.apply( null, args</pre>
The Mediator Pattern	<p>Observer : Enables notification of a event in one object to different set of objects ( instances of different classes)</p> <p>Mediator: Centralize the communication between set of objects, created from a particular class.</p>	<pre>Mediator.prototype.subscribe  Push use and add into collection  Mediator.prototype.unsubscribe  Mediator.prototype.publish  Use apply function with new object</pre>
Prototype pattern	The prototype pattern focuses on creating an object that can be used as a blueprint for other objects through prototypal inheritance.	
The Facade Pattern	The purpose of the facade pattern is to conceal the underlying complexity of the code by using an anonymous function as an extra layer. Internal subroutines are never exposed but rather invoked through a <u>facade</u> which makes this pattern secure in that it never exposes anything to the developers working with it. The facade pattern is both extremely interesting and very useful for adding an extra layer of security to your already minified code. This pattern is extremely useful when coupled with the <u>revealing module pattern</u> .	
Factory		<pre>function CarDoor function CarSeat  function CarPartFactory() {} CarPartFactory.prototype.createPart = function createCarPart( options ) {   var parentClass = null;</pre>

		<pre> if( options.partType === 'door' ) {     parentClass = CarDoor; } else if( options.partType === 'seat' ) {     parentClass = CarSeat; } if( parentClass === null ) {     return false; } return new parentClass( options ); }  // example usage var myPartFactory = new CarPartFactory(); var seat = myPartFactory.createPart( {     partType : 'seat',     material : 'leather',     color : 'blue',     isReclinable : false  } ); </pre>
<b>Hoisting</b> Note : C++ do need to have manually header file because c++ compiler don't do automatic hosting, putting manually header file is called hoisting, java script engine do automatic hoisting in compile time.	After running the code <pre> a; b; var a = b; var b = 2; b; a; </pre>	Compiled code <pre> Var a; Var b; A=b; B=2; B; A; </pre> <b>Moving var a,b is called hoisting</b>
<b>This</b>	<b>Every function, while executing, has a reference to, it's current execution context, called <b>this</b>. JavaScript's version of 'dynamic scope' is this.</b>	

Implicit and default binding	<pre>1 function foo() { 2     console.log(this.bar); 3 } 4 5 var bar = "bar1"; 6 var o2 = { bar: "bar2", foo: foo }; 7 var o3 = { bar: "bar3", foo: foo }; 8 9 foo();           // "bar1" 10 o2.foo();       // "bar2" 11 o3.foo();       // "bar3"</pre> <p>this: implicit &amp; default binding</p>	
Explicit binding	<pre>1 function foo() { 2     console.log(this.bar); 3 } 4 5 var bar = "bar1"; 6 var obj = { bar: "bar2" }; 7 8 foo();           // "bar1" 9 foo.call(obj);   // "bar2"</pre> <p>this: explicit binding</p>	
Hard binding	<pre>1 function foo() { 2     console.log(this.bar); 3 } 4 5 var obj = { bar: "bar" }; 6 var obj2 = { bar: "bar2" }; 7 8 var orig = foo; 9 foo = function(){ orig.call(obj); }; 10 11 foo();           // "bar" 12 foo.call(obj2); // ???</pre> <p>this: hard binding</p>	
NEW keyword	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. We can compare new keyword with C++ or java, JavaScript new keyword is having different mechanism here.</li><li>2. You can use new keyword any function which will become constructor call.</li></ol> <p>Four things will occur when new key word will evoke</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Brand new empty object is created</li><li>2. <b>[A constructor makes objects linked to its own prototype]</b></li><li>3. Brand new poof object get bound as this keyword that purposes of the function call.</li><li>4. Brand new poof object will implicitly return for us the purposes of the call.</li></ol> <p>Note : if you add new keyword (new foo()), it will same as before but it will four above things addition and work line</p>	

	constructor when it will excite by new keyword.
Closer	<div> <p>Closure is when a function "remembers" its lexical scope even when the function is executed outside that lexical scope.</p> <p>Closure</p> </div>
datatypes are supported in Javascript	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Undefined</li> <li>• Number</li> <li>• String</li> <li>• Boolean</li> <li>• Object</li> <li>• Function</li> <li>• Null</li> </ul>
OOO	<div> <pre> 1 function Foo(who) { 2   this.me = who; 3 } 4 Foo.prototype.identify = function() { 5   return "I am " + this.me; 6 }; 7 8 var a1 = new Foo("a1"); 9 var a2 = new Foo("a2"); 10 11 a2.speak = function() { 12   alert("Hello, " + this.identify() + "."); 13 }; 14 15 a1.constructor === Foo; 16 a1.constructor === a2.constructor; 17 a1.__proto__ === Foo.prototype; 18 a1.__proto__ === a2.__proto__; </pre> <p>prototype</p> </div> <p>[[prototype]] : its internal linkage  __proto__ : this is publically linkage to prototype chain and called dunder proto. It is not slandered until ES6, I-11 supports it but older version doesn't, all other browser adapted.</p>



