# Tug of war

May 4, 2017

### Contents

1	Research question	2						
2	Experiment 1: How strong?	2						
	2.1 Methods	4						
	2.1.1 Design	4						
	2.1.2 Procedure	4						
	2.2 Results (N = 39)	5						
3	B Experiment 2: Asking many questions							
4	Experiment 3: The omniscient commentator							
5	Experiment 4: How much effort?	6						

### 1 Research question

- ullet exploring the probabilistic language of thought hypothesis as a model for human inference in tug of war world
- demonstrate compositionality and productivity of thought

### 2 Experiment 1: How strong?

Table 1: Games used in Experiment 1. Participants were always asked about the strength of player 1. *Note*: > indicates that team1 won against team2; < indicates that team1 lost against team2.

id	team1	winner	team2
1	1	>	2
1	1	>	2
1	1	>	2
2	1	>	2
2	2	>	3
2	2	>	4
3	1	>	2
3	2	<	3
3	2	<	4
4	1	>	2
4	1	>	3
4	1	>	4
5	1,2	>	3,4
5	$^{1,2}$	>	5,6
5	1,2	>	7,8
6	1,2	>	5,6
6	1,3	>	5,7
6	1,4	>	5,8
7	$^{1,2}$	>	5,6
7	$^{2,3}$	<	5,6
7	$^{2,4}$	<	5,6
8	1,2	>	5,6
8	$^{2,3}$	>	5,6
8	2,4	>	5,6
9	1,2		5,6
9	$^{1,3}$	>	7,8
9	1,4	>	9,10
10	1,2	>	3,4
10	1,3	>	$^{2,4}$
10	1,4	>	2,3
11	1		2
11	1	<	2

$\overline{\mathrm{id}}$	team1	winner	team2
11	1	<	2
12	1	<	2
12	2	<	3
12	2	<	4
13	1	<	2
13	2	>	3
13	2	>	4
14	1	<	2
14	1	<	3
14	1	< <	4
15	1,2	<	3,4
15	$^{1,2}$	<	5,6
15	1,2	<	7,8
16	1,2	< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	5,6
16	$^{1,3}$	<	5,7
16	1,4	<	5,8
17	$^{1,2}$	<	5,6
17	$^{2,3}$	>	5,6
17	$^{2,4}$	>	5,6
18	1,2	<	5,6
18	$^{2,3}$	<	5,6
18	2,4	< < <	5,6
19	1,2	<	5,6
19	1,3	<	7,8
19	1,4	<	9,10
20	1,2	<	3,4
20	1,3	<	$^{2,4}$
20	1,4	<	2,3
21	1,2	>	3
22	1	<	$^{2,3}$
23	1,2	>	4,5,6
23	2	< > >	4,5
24	1,4	>	5,2,3
24	1,5	>	2,3,4
25	1,2,3	>	4,5,6
25	$^{2,3}$	> > >	$4,\!5,\!6$
26	1,2	<	3
27	1	>	2,3
28	1,2	<	4,5,6

id	team1	winner	team2
28	2	<	4,5
29	1,4	< <	5,2,3
29	1,5		2,3,4
30	1,2,3	< <	4,5,6
30	2,3		4,5,6

#### 2.1 Methods

#### 2.1.1 Design

#### 2.1.2 Procedure




Figure 1: Instructions.



Figure 2: Test question.




Figure 3: Trial.

#### 2.2 Results (N = 39)




Figure 4: Mean weakness/strength judgments across the 30 tournaments with model predictions. Note: Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

- 3 Experiment 2: Asking many questions
- 4 Experiment 3: The omniscient commentator
- 5 Experiment 4: How much effort?

## References