Tug of war

October 9, 2017

Contents

1	Research question	2
2	Experiment 1: How strong?	2
	2.1 Methods	4
	2.1.1 Design	4
	2.1.2 Procedure	4
	2.2 Results (N = 39)	Ę
3	Experiment 2: How hard did the person try?	6
	3.1 Methods	6
	3.1.1 Design	6
	3.2 Results	6

1 Research question

- exploring the probabilistic language of thought hypothesis as a model for human inference in tug of war world
- demonstrate compositionality and productivity of thought

2 Experiment 1: How strong?

Table 1: Games used in Experiment 1. Participants were always asked about the strength of player 1. *Note*: > indicates that team1 won against team2; < indicates that team1 lost against team2.

id	team1	winner	team2
1	1	>	2
1	1	>	2
1	1	>	2
2	1	>	2
2	2	>	3
2	2	>	4
3	1	>	2
3	2	<	3
3	2	<	4
4	1	<pre>></pre>	2
4	1	>	3
4	1	>	4
5	1,2	>	$3,\!4$
5	1,2	>	5,6
5	1,2	>	7,8
6	1,2	>	5,6
6	1,3	>	5,7
6	1,4	>	5,8
7	1,2	>	5,6
7	2,3	<	5,6
7	2,4	<	5,6
8	1,2	>	5,6
8	2,3	>	5,6
8	2,4	>	5,6
9	1,2	>	5,6
9	1,3	>	7,8
9	1,4	>	9,10
10	1,2	>	$3,\!4$
10	1,3	>	2,4
	1,4	>	2,3
10			
	1	> > > > > < < < < < < < > > < < < < < <	2 2

\overline{id}	team1	winner	team2
11	1	<	2
12	1	<	2
12	2	<	3
12	2	<	4
13	1	<	2
13	2	>	3
13	2	>	4
14	1	<	2
14	1	<	3
14	1	< <	4
15	1,2	<	3,4
15	1,2	<	5,6
15	1,2	<	7,8
16	1,2	< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	5,6
16	1,3	<	5,7
16	1,4	<	5,8
17	1,2	<	5,6
17	2,3	>	5,6
17	2,4	>	5,6
18	1,2	<	5,6
18	2,3	<	5,6
18	2,4	< < <	5,6
19	1,2	<	5,6
19	1,3	<	7,8
19	1,4	<	9,10
20	1,2	<	3,4
20	1,3	<	2,4
20	1,4	<	2,3
21	1,2	>	3
22	1	<	2,3
23	1,2	>	4,5,6
23	2	< > >	4,5
24	1,4	>	5,2,3
24	1,5	>	2,3,4
25	1,2,3	>	4,5,6
25	2,3	> > >	$4,\!5,\!6$
26	1,2	<	3
27	1	>	2,3
28	1,2	<	4,5,6

id	team1	winner	team2
28	2	<	4,5
29	1,4	< <	5,2,3
29	1,5		2,3,4
30	1,2,3	< <	4,5,6
30	2,3		4,5,6

2.1 Methods

2.1.1 Design

2.1.2 Procedure




Figure 1: Instructions.



Figure 2: Test question.




Figure 3: Trial.

2.2 Results (N = 39)




Figure 4: Mean weakness/strength judgments across the 30 tournaments with model predictions. Note: Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

3 Experiment 2: How hard did the person try?

3.1 Methods

3.1.1 Design



3.2 Results




Figure 4: Trials.

```
\operatorname{id}
    1? > 2;
 1
 2 1? < 2;
    1? > 2; 1 > 2; 1 > 2;
    1 > 2?; 1 > 2; 1 > 2;
    1? 2 > 3;
    1 \ 2 > 3?;
 6
 7
    1? 2 < 3;
 8
    1\ 2 < 3?;
    1? < 2; 1 > 2; 1 > 2;
 9
10
    1 < 2?; 1 > 2; 1 > 2;
    1 < 2; 1? > 2; 1 > 2;
11
    1 < 2; 1 > 2?; 1 > 2;
12
    1? 2 < 3; 2 > 3;
13
14
    1\ 2? < 3; 2 > 3;
15
    1\ 2 < 3; 2 > 3?;
    1? < 2; 2 > 3; 2 > 4;
16
    1? < 2; 2 < 3; 2 < 4;
17
18
    1? > 2; 1 > 2;
    1 > 2?; 1 > 2;
19
20
    1? > 2; 1 < 2;
    1? > 2; 1 > 3; 1 > 4;
22
    1 > 2?; 1 > 3; 1 > 4;
23
    1? 2 > 3 4;
24
    1? 2 > 34; 3 > 4;
25
    1? 2 < 3; 2 < 3;
    1\ 2? < 3; 2 < 3;
26
    1? 23 > 45;
27
28
    1? 23 < 45;
    1\ 2\ 3 > 4?\ 5;
29
    1\ 2\ 3 < 4?\ 5;
30
31
    1? 2 > 34; 12 < 34;
32
    1? 23 > 4;
33
    1? 23 < 4;
```




Figure 5: Results.




Figure 6: Scatter plot.