# Exercise Sheet 3: Answers COMS10017 Algorithms 2022/2023

Reminder:  $\log n$  denotes the binary logarithm, i.e.,  $\log n = \log_2 n$ .

## **Example Question: Loop Invariants**

**Question.** Prove that the stated invariant holds throughout the execution of the loop (using the Initialization, Maintenance, Termination approach discussed in the lectures):

### Algorithm 1

**Require:** Array A of length  $n \ (n \ge 2)$ 

1:  $S \leftarrow A[0] - A[1]$ 

2: for  $i \leftarrow 1 \dots n-2$  do

3:  $S \leftarrow S + A[i] - A[i+1]$ 

4: end for

5: return S

#### **Invariant:**

At the beginning of iteration i, the statement S = A[0] - A[i] holds.

Which value is returned by the algorithm (use the Terminiation property for this)?

**Solution.** Let  $S_i$  be the value of S at the beginning of iteration i.

- 1. Initialization (i=1): We need to show that the statement of the loop invariant holds for i=1, i.e., the statement  $S_1 = A[0] A[1]$  holds before iteration i=1. Observe that, in Line 1,  $S_1$  is initialized as  $S_1 \leftarrow A[0] A[1]$ . The loop invariant thus holds for i=1.
- 2. Maintenance: Assume that the loop invariant holds for value i, i.e.,  $S_i = A[0] A[i]$ . We need to show that the loop invariant then also holds for value i + 1, i.e., we need to show that  $S_{i+1} = A[0] A[i+1]$  holds. To this end, observe that in iteration i we execute the operation  $S_{i+1} = S_i + A[i] A[i+1]$ . Since  $S_i = A[0] A[i]$ , we obtain  $S_{i+1} = A[0] A[i] + A[i] A[i+1] = A[0] A[i+1]$ .
- 3. Termination: We have that, after the last iteration (or before the (n-1)th iteration that is never executed),  $S_{n-1} = A[0] A[n-1]$  holds. The algorithm thus returns the value A[0] A[n-1].

**√** 

## 1 Warm up: Proof by Induction

Consider the following sequence:  $s_1 = 1$ ,  $s_2 = 2$ ,  $s_3 = 3$ , and  $s_n = s_{n-1} + s_{n-2} + s_{n-3}$ , for every  $n \ge 4$ . Prove that the following holds:

$$s_n \leq 2^n$$
.

#### Solution.

**Base cases:** We need to verify that the statement holds for  $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , since  $s_n$  depends on  $s_{n-1}, s_{n-2}$ , and  $s_{n-3}$  (in particular,  $s_4$  depends on  $s_3, s_2, s_1$ ). This is easy to verify:  $s_1 = 1 \le 2^1, s_2 = 2 \le 2^2$  and  $s_3 = 3 \le 2^3$ .

**Induction Hypothesis:** We complete the proof using strong induction. The induction hypothesis is therefore as follows: For every  $n' \leq n$  the statement  $s_{n'} \leq 2^{n'}$  holds.

**Induction Step:** We need to show that the statement also holds for n + 1:

$$s_{n+1} = s_n + s_{n-1} + s_{n-2} \le 2^n + 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2} = 2^{n-2}(4+2+1) \le 2^{n-2} \cdot 8 = 2^{n+1}$$
.

✓

# 2 Loop Invariant

Prove that the stated loop invariant holds throughout the execution of the loop (using the Initialization, Maintenance, Termination approach discussed in the lectures):

```
Algorithm 2

Require: Array A of n positive integers

1: B \leftarrow empty array of n integers

2: B[0] \leftarrow A[0]

3: for i = 1 \dots n - 1 do

4: if A[i] > B[i-1] then

5: B[i] \leftarrow A[i]

6: else

7: B[i] \leftarrow B[i-1]

8: end if

9: end for

10: return B[n-1]
```

**Loop Invariant:** At the beginning of iteration i, the following statement holds: For every  $0 \le j < i$ : B[j] is the maximum of the subarray A[0,j], i.e.,  $B[j] = \max\{A[0], \ldots, A[j]\}$ .

Which value is returned by the algorithm (use the Terminiation property for this)?

*Hint:* The Maintenance part requires a case distinction in order to deal with the if-else statement.

#### Solution.

• Initialization: We need to show that the loop invariant holds for i = 1. For i = 1, the loop invariant translates to "At the beginning of iteration i = 1, the following holds: For every  $0 \le j < 1$  (which implies that j only takes on the value 0), B[0] is the maximum

of the subarray A[0]". This is trivially true since, in Line 2 of the algorithm, we have B[0] = A[0] and, hence, B[0] is also the maximum of  $\{A[0]\}$ .

• Maintenance: We now assume that the loop invariant holds for iteration i, i.e., we have  $B[j] = \max\{A[0], A[1], \ldots, A[j]\}$ , for every  $0 \le j < i$ , and we need to deduce that the loop invariant then also holds for iteration i + 1. Observe that in iteration i, only the value of B[i] is updated. Hence, by induction, the statement of the loop-invariant is already trivially true for every  $0 \le j < i$ , and we only need to consider the remaining case j = i. To this end, we conduct a case distinction that reflects the if-else statement in the algorithm.

- First, assume that A[i] > B[i-1] holds. By induction, we know that the statement  $B[i-1] = \max\{A[0], \ldots, A[i-1]\}$  holds, which, together with the assumption A[i] > B[i-1] implies  $A[i] = \max\{A[0], \ldots, A[i]\}$ . In Line 5, we compute  $B[i] \leftarrow A[i]$ , and, thus,  $B[i] = \max\{A[0], \ldots, A[i]\}$  holds, which implies the loop invariant for i+1.
- Next, suppose that  $A[i] \leq B[i-1]$  is true. Again, by induction, we know that the statement  $B[i-1] = \max\{A[0], \ldots, A[i-1]\}$  holds, which, together with the assumption  $A[i] \leq B[i-1]$  implies  $B[i-1] = \max\{A[0], \ldots, A[i-1], A[i]\}$ . In Line 7, we compute  $B[i] \leftarrow B[i-1]$ , and, thus,  $B[i] = \max\{A[0], \ldots, A[i-1], A[i]\}$  holds, which implies the loop invariant for i+1.
- **Termination:** We evaluate the loop-invariant for i = n, which corresponds to the state of the algorithm after iteration i = n 1 (or before a virtual iteration i = n that is never executed). We obtain that B[j] is the maximum of A[0, j], and, in particular, B[n 1] is the maximum of A. The algorithm thus returns the maximum of the elements in A.

 $\checkmark$ 

#### 3 Insertionsort

What is the runtime (in  $\Theta$ -notation) of Insertionsort when executed on the following arrays of lengths n:

1.  $1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots, n-1, n$ 

**Solution.** The runtime is  $\Theta(n)$  since the inner loop of Insertionsort always requires time  $\Theta(1)$  on this instance (no moves are needed).

2.  $n, n-1, n-2, \ldots, 2, 1$ 

**Solution.** The runtime is  $\Theta(n^2)$ . An easy way to see this is as follows: Consider the last n/2 elements of the input array. Each of these elements is moved at least n/2 positions to the left, i.e., the inner loop requires time  $\Theta(n)$  for each of these elements. The total runtime is therefore  $\Omega(\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{n}{2}) = \Omega(n^2)$ . Since the runtime of Insertionsort is  $O(n^2)$  on any instance, the runtime has to be  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

3. The array A such that A[i] = 1 if  $i \in \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, ...\}$  (i.e., when i is a power of two) and A[i] = i otherwise.

**Solution.** Observe that Insertionsort does not move any of the elements (i.e., executes the inner loop) that are outside the positions  $i \in \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, ...\}$ . We thus only need to count the number of iterations of the inner loop for these positions. Observe further that the element at position  $2^j$ , for some integer j, is moved at most  $2^j$  steps to the left. Furthermore, we have that  $2^{\lceil \log n \rceil} \geq 2^{\log n} = n$ . Hence, there are at most  $\lceil \log n \rceil$  positions in A with value 1. The total number of iterations the inner loop of Insertionsort is executed is therefore at most:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log n \rceil} 2^j = 2^{\lceil \log n \rceil + 1} - 1 \le 2^{\log n + 2} - 1 = 4n - 1 = \Theta(n) .$$

Here we used the inequality  $\lceil \log n \rceil \le \log(n) + 1$ , and the formula  $\sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^j = 2^{k+1} - 1$ .

The runtime therefore is O(n). However, since our aim is give the runtime in  $\Theta$  notation, we still need to argue that Insertionsort cannot be faster than  $\Theta(n)$ . This, however, we already know: As discussed in the lectures, the best-case runtime of Insertionsort is  $\Theta(n)$ . Hence, Insertionsort on array A has a runtime of  $\Theta(n)$ .

4. The array B such that B[i] = 1 if  $i \in \{10, 20, 30, 40 \dots\}$  (i.e., when i is a multiple of 10) and B[i] = i otherwise.

**Solution.** Similar as in the previous exercise, only the elements at positions i that are a multiple of 10 are moved, and such an element is moved at most i steps. It is also important to note that each such element is moved at least i/2 steps. Hence, the runtime can be bounded from above by:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=10,20,30,\dots(i\leq n-1)} i &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{n-1}{10}\rfloor} 10j = 10 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor\frac{n-1}{10}\rfloor} = 10 \cdot \frac{(\lfloor\frac{n-1}{10}\rfloor+1)\lfloor\frac{n-1}{10}\rfloor}{2} \\ &\leq 10 \cdot \frac{(\frac{n-1}{10}+1)\frac{n-1}{10}}{2} = \Theta((n-1)^2 + (n-1)) = \Theta(n^2) \;. \end{split}$$

Similarly, the runtime can be bounded from below by:

$$\sum_{i=10,20,30,\dots(i\leq n-1)} i/2 = \dots = \Theta(n^2) ,$$

where the calculation is almost identical to the previous calculation. Since the runtime is bounded from above and from below by  $\Theta(n^2)$ , the runtime therefore is  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

5. The array C such that C[i] = 1 if  $i \in \{n^{\frac{1}{10}}, 2 \cdot n^{\frac{1}{10}}, 3 \cdot n^{\frac{1}{10}}, \dots\}$  (i.e., when i is a multiple of  $n^{\frac{1}{10}}$ ) and C[i] = i otherwise. We assume here that  $n^{\frac{1}{10}}$  is an integer.

**Solution.**  $\Theta(n^{\frac{19}{10}})$ . The approach is identical to the previous exercise, but the maths is slightly different.

## 4 Runtime Analysis

```
Algorithm 3

Require: Integer n \ge 2
x \leftarrow 0
i \leftarrow n
while i \ge 2 do
j \leftarrow \lceil n^{1/4} \rceil \cdot i
while j \ge i do
x \leftarrow x + 1
j \leftarrow j - 10
end while
i \leftarrow \lfloor i/\sqrt{n} \rfloor
end while
return x
```

Determine the runtime of Algorithm 3 in  $\Theta$ -notation.

**Solution.** Let us first determine the number of times x the inner loop is executed. The value of j evolves as follows:

$$\lceil n^{1/4} \rceil \cdot i, \lceil n^{1/4} \rceil \cdot i - 10, \lceil n^{1/4} \rceil \cdot i - 20, \dots$$

until it reaches a value that is smaller than i. We thus have  $\lceil n^{1/4} \rceil \cdot i - x \cdot 10 < i$  which yields  $\frac{(\lceil n^{1/4} \rceil - 1) \cdot i}{10} < x$  and thus implies  $x = \Theta(n^{1/4}i)$ .

Next, concerning the outer loop, we see that the parameter i evolves as follows (disregarding the floor operation):  $n, n/\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{n}, 1$ . In fact, the iteration with i = 1 is never executed. The inner loop is thus executed only twice. The overall runtime therefore is:

$$\Theta(n^{1/4}n) + \Theta(n^{1/4}\sqrt{n}) + = \Theta(n^{5/4})$$

 $\checkmark$ 

i.e., the runtime is dominated by the first iteration of the outer loop.

# 5 Optional and Difficult Questions

Exercises in this section are intentionally more difficult and are there to challenge yourself.

#### 5.1 Proof by Induction

Let n be a positive number that is divisible by 23, i.e.,  $n = k \cdot 23$ , for some integer  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $x = \lfloor n/10 \rfloor$  and let y = n % 10 (the rest of an integer division). Prove by induction on k that 23 divides x + 7y.

**Example:** Consider k = 4. Then n = 92, x = 9 and y = 2. Observe that the quantity  $x + 7y = 9 + 7 \cdot 2 = 23$  is divisible by 23.

**Solution.** We prove the statement by induction over k. To this end, let  $x_i$  be the value of x when  $n = i \cdot 23$ , and similarly, let  $y_i$  be the value of y when  $n = i \cdot 23$ .

Base case: (k = 1)

In this case,  $n = 1 \cdot 23$ ,  $x_1 = 2$  and  $y_1 = 3$ . The quantity  $x_1 + 7y_1 = 23$ , which is divisible by 23

**Induction Hypothesis:** Suppose that  $x_i + 7y_i$  is divisible by 23.

**Induction Step:** We will show that  $x_{i+1} + 7y_{i+1}$  is also divisible by 23. We conduct a case distinction:

• Suppose that  $y_i \leq 6$ . Then  $y_{i+1} = y_i + 3$  and  $x_{i+1} = x_i + 2$ . We obtain:

$$x_{i+1} + 7y_{i+1} = x_i + 2 + 7(y_i + 3) = x_i + 7y_i + 2 + 21 = x_i + 7y_i + 23$$
.

Since  $x_i + 7y_i$  is divisible by 23 and 23 is of course divisible by 23, we have  $x_{i+1} + 7y_{i+1}$  is divisible by 23.

• Suppose that  $y_i > 6$ . Then,  $y_{i+1} = y_i - 7$  and  $x_{i+1} = x_i + 3$ . We obtain:

$$x_{i+1} + 7y_{i+1} = x_i + 3 + 7(y_i - 7) = x_i + 7y_i + 3 - 49 = x_i + 7y_i - 46$$
.

Again, since  $x_i + 7y_i$  is divisible by 23 and 46 is divisible by 23, we have  $x_{i+1} + 7y_{i+1}$  is divisible by 23.

 $\checkmark$