

# Exercise Sheet 2

## COMS10018 Algorithms

Reminder:  $\log n$  denotes the binary logarithm, i.e.,  $\log n = \log_2 n$ .

### Example Question: Runtime Analysis

**Question.** What is the runtime of the following algorithm in big- $O$ -notation:

---

**Algorithm 1**

---

**Require:** Integer  $n \geq 1$

```
1:  $x \leftarrow 0$ 
2: for  $i = 1 \dots n$  do
3:   for  $j = i \dots n$  do
4:      $x \leftarrow x + i \cdot j$ 
5:   end for
6: end for
7: return  $x$ 
```

---

**Solution.** We need to sum up the runtimes of all the instructions of Algorithm 1. We account a runtime of  $O(1)$  for each of the instructions in Lines 1,4,7, however, the two nested loops make Line 4 being executed multiple times. The runtime of the two nested loops, which dominates the overall runtime of the algorithm, can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n O(1) &= O\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n 1\right) = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^n n - i + 1\right) = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (n+1) - \sum_{i=1}^n i\right) \\ &= O\left(n(n+1) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) = O\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\right) = O(n^2). \end{aligned}$$

The runtime of Algorithm 1 is therefore  $O(n^2)$ .

*Remark:* In the previous calculation, we used the simplification  $\sum_{j=i}^n 1 = n - i + 1$ . Observe that  $j$  takes on the values  $\{i, i+1, \dots, n\}$ , and, for each value, we have a contribution of 1 to the overall sum. Since  $|\{i, i+1, \dots, n\}| = n - i + 1$ , i.e.,  $j$  takes on  $n - i + 1$  different values, we obtain the result. We also used the identity  $\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ , which is an important identity that you should remember. In the last step, we used a lemma discussed in the lecture that states that a polynomial in  $n$  with constant maximum degree  $k$  is in  $O(n^k)$ . ✓

## 1 $\Theta$ and $\Omega$

1. Prove that the following two statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $f \in \Theta(g)$  .  
 (b)  $f \in O(g)$  and  $g \in O(f)$  .
2. Prove that the following two statements are equivalent:
- (a)  $f \in \Omega(g)$  .  
 (b)  $g \in O(f)$  .
3. Let  $c > 1$  be a constant. Prove or disprove the following statements:
- (a)  $\log_c n \in \Theta(\log n)$ .  
 (b)  $\log(n^c) \in \Theta(\log n)$ .
4. Let  $c > 2$  be a constant. Prove or disprove the following statement:

$$2^n \in \Theta(c^n) .$$

## 2 O-notation

1. Consider the following functions:

$$f_1 = 2^{\sqrt{n}}, f_2 = \log^2(20n), f_3 = n!, f_4 = \frac{1}{2}n^2 / \log(n), f_5 = 4 \log^2(n), f_6 = 2^{\sqrt{\log n}} .$$

Relabel the functions such that  $f_i \in O(f_{i+1})$  (no need to give any proofs here).

2. Give functions  $f, g$  such that  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  and  $2^{f(n)} \notin O(2^{g(n)})$ .

## 3 Runtime Analysis

Algorithm 2	Algorithm 3	Algorithm 4
<b>Require:</b> Int $n \geq 1$	<b>Require:</b> Int $n \geq 1$	<b>Require:</b> Int $n \geq 1$
1: $x \leftarrow 0$	1: $x \leftarrow 0$	1: $x \leftarrow 0$
2: <b>for</b> $i = 1 \dots n$ <b>do</b>	2: $i \leftarrow 1$	2: $i \leftarrow 1$
3: <b>for</b> $j = 1 \dots n$ <b>do</b>	3: <b>while</b> $i \leq n$ <b>do</b>	3: <b>while</b> $i \leq n$ <b>do</b>
4: <b>for</b> $k = 1 \dots n$ <b>do</b>	4: <b>for</b> $j = 1 \dots n$ <b>do</b>	4: <b>for</b> $j = 1 \dots i$ <b>do</b>
5: $x \leftarrow x + i \cdot j$	5: $x \leftarrow x + i \cdot j$	5: $x \leftarrow x + i \cdot j$
6: <b>end for</b>	6: <b>end for</b>	6: <b>end for</b>
7: <b>end for</b>	7: $i \leftarrow 2 \cdot i$	7: $i \leftarrow 2 \cdot i$
8: <b>end for</b>	8: <b>end while</b>	8: <b>end while</b>
9: <b>return</b> $x$	9: <b>return</b> $x$	9: <b>return</b> $x$

Determine the runtimes of Algorithms 2, 3, and 4 using big-O-notation.

## 4 Optional and Difficult Questions

Exercises in this section are intentionally more difficult and are there to challenge yourself.

## 4.1 Peak Finding in 2D (hard!)

Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix of integers, for some integer  $n$ . We say that  $A_{i,j}$  is a *peak* if the adjacent elements  $A_{i-1,j}$ ,  $A_{i+1,j}$ ,  $A_{i,j-1}$ ,  $A_{i,j+1}$  are not larger than  $A_{i,j}$ . The objective is to find a peak in  $A$ . Similar to the peak finding problem discussed in the lecture, reporting any peak is fine, in particular, it is not required that we find the maximum in  $A$  or that we report all the peaks in  $A$ .

Consider the following baseline algorithm: We scan the entire matrix and check whether every element  $A_{i,j}$ , for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , is a peak. This strategy requires a runtime of  $O(n^2)$ . Is there a faster algorithm?

Please send your ideas to `christian.konrad@bristol.ac.uk`. I am keen to hear if you found a solution!