JUDGEMENTS

Alex Kavvos

Reading: PFPL, §2.1-2.3

1 Judgements and evidence

A judgement is a statement (proposition, utterance, enunciation).

An evident judgement is a statement for which I have evidence (proof).

For example, I can assert that 2 is a natural number:

 $2 \, \mathsf{nat}$

This is a judgement. It is not evident yet, as we do not know how to prove it.

2 Rules

To prove a judgement we have to first elaborate what we accept as evidence for it.

For example, we can write down the following rules for proving that something is a natural number:

Judgements above the line are called **premises**; below the line, they are called **conclusions**.

Rules with no premises are called axioms.

Such rules can be assembled into derivations that prove judgements. For example, we can define

$$2 \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{def}}{=} \mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{zero}))$$

and then prove that it is a natural number:

$$\frac{\overline{\mathsf{zero \, nat}}}{\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{zero})\,\,\mathsf{nat}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{zero}))\,\,\mathsf{nat}}{\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{zero}))\,\,\mathsf{nat}}$$

Listing such rules tactily implies that anything they do not prove is not an evident judgement.

For example, the rules for natural numbers state that

- 0 is a natural number
- if n is a natural number then so is n+1
- nothing else is a natural number

This circumscribes the notion of natural number and what constitutes evidence for its construction.

3 Simultaneous generation of judgements

When stating proof rules, judgements may be mixed and matched to generate more complicated evidence. For example, the following rules generate judgements on the parity of natural numbers.

| EvenZ | Odd | Even |
|-----------|--------------------------|--|
| | n even | n odd |
| zero even | $\overline{succ(n) odd}$ | $\overline{\operatorname{succ}(n)\operatorname{even}}$ |

4 Parallels with functional programming

Notice that the above sets of rules have a flavour akin to the following data type definitions in Haskell.

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat

data Even = Zero | Succ Odd
data Odd = Succ Even
```

This correspondence is rather deep, and leads to modern **proof assistants**.