## STATICS

Alex Kavvos

Reading: PFPL, §4.1, 4.2

## 1 The phase distinction

The lifetime of a computer program is divided into two phases:

- the **static** phase which comprises everything that occurs *before* running a program; and
- the **dynamic** phase which comprises everything that happens when a program is actually run.

Thus, the **statics** of a program include things such as lexing, parsing, type-checking, static analysis, etc. In contrast, the **dynamics** of a program include its runtime behaviour: final value, side-effects, exceptions, etc.

In this unit both the statics and the dynamics of a PL will be specified in a fairly idealised, mathematical manner. We will use **abstract syntax** as the syntax of our program; this will absolve us from having to deal with lexing, parsing, grammars, and so on. Our only statics will be a **type system** for this abstract syntax.

Correspondingly, our dynamics will be given by specifying the **operational semantics** of our programs. These will also be presented in a mathematical style, by specifying a little **abstract machine** that evaluates a program.

## 2 Typing judgements

In this unit we will concern ourselves with **typing judgments** of the following form:

$$\underbrace{\Gamma}_{\text{context}} \vdash \underbrace{e}_{\text{term}} : \underbrace{\sigma}_{\text{type}}$$

A typing judgement is a ternary relation between three elements:

- the **context**—an unordered list  $\Gamma$  of variable-type bindings
- the **term**—the program e that is being typed
- the **type** of the term—which classifies what the program computes

We read  $\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma$  as "the program e has type  $\sigma$  in context  $\Gamma$ ."

The **context**  $\Gamma$  consists of (variable, type) pairs. E.g. the context  $\Gamma = x : \sigma, y : \tau$  declares two **free variables**:

- x, which stands for a term of type  $\sigma$
- y, which stands for a term of type  $\tau$

These are in no particular order: the context  $x:\sigma,y:\tau$  is the same as the context  $y:\tau,x:\sigma$ .

Thus, we can read the judgement  $x : \tau \vdash e : \sigma$  as follows: "assuming that the free variable x stands for a program that computes a value of type  $\tau$ , the program e computes a value of type  $\sigma$ ."

We will only say that "e is a **term**" if there exist  $\Gamma$  and  $\sigma$  such that the judgement  $\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma$  is evident. However, we will identify a larger class of programs, which we will call **pre-terms**. These will have the same 'shape' as terms, but they will not necessary be well-typed. In short, the well-typed pre-terms will be called terms.

Finally, in this unit we will only consider so-called **simple types**, which will come from an inductively generated syntax (see next section).

## 3 A little language of numbers and strings

To illustrate the aforementioned concepts we will present the statics of a language of numbers and strings.

The abstract syntax, types, and pre-terms of the language are presented by the following syntax chart.

```
types
           \tau ::= Num
                                                     numbers
                    Str
                                                     strings
pre-terms e := x
                                                     variables
                                                     numeral
                    num[n]
                                                     string literals
                    str[s]
                     plus(e_1; e_2) e_1 + e_2
                                                     addition
                     times(e_1; e_2) e_1 * e_2
                                                     multiplication
                     cat(e_1;e_2)
                                   concatenation
                    len(e)
                                                     length
                                    |e|
                    let(e_1; x. e_2) let x \leftarrow e_1 in e_2 let-definition
```

This notation is sometimes called an extended **Backus-Naur form**. It generates **syntax trees**.

The first symbol represents the **syntactic category** (e.g. type  $\tau$ , expression e, etc.).

The second column (immediately to the right of ::=) is the **abstract syntax**: it corresponds closely to the way you would represent the expression in a high-level functional programming language as an abstract syntax tree. Subscripted occurrences (e.g.  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ) are recursive occurrences of the same syntactic element. For example,  $\mathsf{cat}(e_1;e_2)$  is an expression, provided  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are also expressions. We tacitly assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in \Sigma^*$  for some alphabet  $\Sigma$ . We also tacitly assume that variables x come from some predetermined, infinite supply.

The third column is the **concrete syntax**: it is a user-friendly abbreviation for the abstract syntax.

In this language a type  $\tau$  is either a Num or a Str. A pre-term e is given by one of the many forms listed above.

The following rules generate the typing judgements, and hence the well-typed **terms** of the language.

Some points about variables and binding:

- Writing  $\Gamma, x : \sigma$  insinuates that x does not occur elsewhere in  $\Gamma$ .
- x is **bound** within  $e_2$  in let $(e_1; x. e_2)$ . Thus, it is subject to  $\alpha$ -conversion.

An example derivation; for any  $s \in \Sigma^*$ :

In words: if we plug in a program that computes a string for x: Str, this program will append the string  $s \in \Sigma^*$  to it; it will then compute its length, and add 1 to it.