CMSI 370-01
INTERACTION DESIGN
Fall 2012

Assignment 0918 Feedback

Britain Southwick

(you didn't really have an individually distinct report from Haley's, as instructed in the assignment, but I'm willing to let that pass thanks to the overall quality of the work done)

1b — Your writeups demonstrate an understanding of the metrics involved in this assignment quite well. (**+**)

1c — Your guideline audit has excellent coverage and its selected examples are very well-chosen, well-written, and well-illustrated. Great job all around. (**+**)

2a — Your sense of how users approached or modeled the two systems is explored and explained well. (**+**)

2b — Your prioritization of metrics is stated clearly (and at the very beginning no less!), with a clear explanation for why this is so. (**+**)

2c — Your interface decision is clearly stated and supported well. (**+**)

4d — Resources and documentation are demonstrably used well. (**+**)

4e — Just a couple of commits, 9 days apart, going from almost nothing to a final form—this can be improved upon. Further, why, for the LaTeX reports, were only the final PDFs committed? Those are actually the build products; it is the source which should be committed. You can produce the build product from the source, but not vice versa (at least, not reliably). Further, you did not really follow the file structure defined in the assignments, plus you have some files in the top-level folder that belong in the *usability-metrics* or *guideline-survey* folders. (**/**)

4f — Submitted on time. (**+**)