

Question 1

Here are the rules of the grammar:

- (1) $L \rightarrow Ra$
- (2) $| Qba$
- (3) $R \rightarrow aba$
- (4) $| caba$
- (5) $| Rbc$
- (6) $Q \rightarrow bbc$
- (7) $| bc$

Here we can see that rule 5 has a left recursion. So, it is not suitable for top down predictive parsing such as LL1 grammars. So first, we need to eliminate left recursion.

$R \rightarrow abaR' | cabaR'$

$R' \rightarrow bcR' | \epsilon$

Then we need to perform left factoring on Rule 6-7.

$Q \rightarrow bX$

$X \rightarrow bc | c$

Hence the new set of grammar will be the following and its first and follow sets.

Rules	First Sets	Follow Sets
(1) $L \rightarrow Ra$	a, c	\$
(2) $ Qba$	b	\$
(3) $R \rightarrow abaR'$	a	a
(4) $ cabaR'$	c	a
(5) $R' \rightarrow bcR'$	b	a
(6) $R' \rightarrow \epsilon$	ϵ	a
(7) $Q \rightarrow bX$	b	b
(8) $X \rightarrow bc$	b	b
(9) $ c$	c	b

So, here is the LL1 parsing table

	a	b	c	\$
L	1	2	1	
R	3		4	
R'	6	5		
Q		7		
X		8	9	

Hence, there is no multiple entries in a single cell referring to the fact that, we can determine which production needs to be chosen based on next input. So, the grammar is LL1 compliant.

Question 2

- (1) $A \rightarrow Ba$
- (2) $B \rightarrow dab$
- (3) $\quad \quad \quad | Cb$
- (4) $C \rightarrow cB$
- (5) $\quad \quad \quad \rightarrow Ac$

From this grammar, we can see that,
 $A \rightarrow Ba$ or, $A \rightarrow Cba$ or, $A \rightarrow Acba$
Hence, this grammar contains left recursion.

As it contains left recursion, it is not a LL1 grammar. However, we can also prove it from another perspective.

The *first* set of rule 4 is c

The *first* set of rule 5 is c, d

Hence, we can see $First(\text{Rule 4}) \cap First(\text{Rule 5}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, it is not a LL1 grammar.

So, we need to remove left recursion first.

$A \rightarrow Ba$ or $A \rightarrow daba$ | Cba or $A \rightarrow daba$ | $cBba$ | $Acba$

can be transformed to

$A \rightarrow dabaA' \mid cBbaA'$

$A' \rightarrow cbaA' \mid \epsilon$

So, the final set of grammar will be,

- (1) $A \rightarrow dabaA' \mid cBbaA'$
- (2) $A' \rightarrow cbaA' \mid \epsilon$
- (3) $B \rightarrow dab \mid Cb$
- (4) $C \rightarrow cB \mid Ac$

Question 3

The preliminary intuition for this grammar is very simple. Apart from 0, all binary numbers divisible by 4 ends with 000 or 100. The leading bits can form any binary number.

So, the number is: 0 or *Any Binary Number* having 000 or 100 in the end. The regular expression is:

$([0|1])^+(000|100) | 0 | 00 | 000 | 100$

Hence, the grammar is given below. Non-terminals are highlighted with <>.

$\langle \text{GOAL} \rangle \rightarrow 0 | \langle \text{BINARY-NUMBER} \rangle 000 | \langle \text{BINARY-NUMBER} \rangle 100$

$\langle \text{BINARY-NUMBER} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{BIT} \rangle | \epsilon$

$\langle \text{BIT} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{BIT} \rangle \langle \text{BIT} \rangle$

$\langle \text{BIT} \rangle \rightarrow 0 | 1$