



INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

BLANCHARDSTOWN

Year	4
Semester	Semester 1
Date of Examination	AUG 2012
Time of Examination	TBA

Prog Code	BN402	Prog Title	Bachelor of Science (Honours) in Computing	Module Code	Comp H4018
------------------	-------	-------------------	--	--------------------	------------

Prog Code	BN104	Prog Title	Bachelor of Science (Honours) in Computing	Module Code	Comp H4018
------------------	-------	-------------------	--	--------------------	------------

Module Title	Derivation of Algorithms
---------------------	--------------------------

Internal Examiner(s): Mr. Stephen Sheridan
External Examiner(s): Dr. Richard Studdert

Instructions to candidates:

- 1) To ensure that you take the correct examination, please check that the module and programme which you are following is listed in the tables above.
- 2) Answer any four questions.
- 3) All questions carry 25 marks.

DO NOT TURN OVER THIS PAGE UNTIL YOU ARE TOLD TO DO SO

(ANSWER ANY FOUR QUESTIONS)

Question 1

Write a specification and derive a solution for the following problem.

Given an interger array $f[0..N)$, $N \geq 0$, determine if the array contains all 0's.

[25 marks]

Question 2

Write down the invariants **P0** and **P1** which describe the program below and hence derive the programs formal proof. An annotated program should be included in your answer.

```
[ con
  N : int; { N ≥ 0 }
  f : array[0..N) of char;
var
  freqlower : int;
  k : int;
  freqlower, k := 0, 0;
do k < N →
  if f.k ≥ 'a' ∧ f.k ≤ 'z' →
    freqlower := freqlower + 1;
  [] f.k < 'a' ∨ f.k > 'z' →
    skip;
  fi;
  k := k + 1;
od
{ freqlower = #j : 0 ≤ j < N : f.j ≥ 'a' ∧ f.j ≤ 'z' }
]|
```

[25 marks]

Question 3

Formally derive a solution to the given specification. Your answer should include a complete solution.

```
[ con
  N : int; { N ≥ 0 }
  f : array[0 .. N ) of int;
var
  b : boolean;
  S
  { b ≡ ∃j : 0 ≤ j < N : f.j mod 2 = 0 }
]
```

[25 marks]

Question 4

Derive a solution for the following specification. Your answer must include a complete solution.

```
[ con
  N : int { N > 0 };
  f : array[0..N) of int;
var
  t : int;
  S
  { 0 ≤ t < N ∧ (∀i : 0 ≤ i < N : f.t ≥ f.i) }
]
```

[25 marks]

Question 5

Use an invariant diagram to derive an $O(N)$ solution to the following specification. Your answer should include a complete solution.

```
[ con N : int { N > 0 };
var f : array[0..N) of int;
  S
  { ( ∃ p : 0 ≤ p ≤ N : ( ∀ i : 0 ≤ i < p : f.i = '1' ) ∧
    ( ∀ i : p ≤ i < N : f.i = '0' ) ) }

]
```

Note: Only swap operations are allowed on f.

[25 marks]

Appendix: Laws of the Calculus

Let P, Q, R be propositions

1. Constants

$$P \vee \text{true} \equiv \text{true}$$

$$P \vee \text{false} \equiv P$$

$$P \wedge \text{true} \equiv P$$

$$P \wedge \text{false} \equiv \text{false}$$

$$\text{true} \Rightarrow P \equiv P$$

$$\text{false} \Rightarrow P \equiv \text{true}$$

$$P \Rightarrow \text{true} \equiv \text{true}$$

$$P \Rightarrow \text{false} \equiv \neg P$$

2. Law of excluded middle : $P \vee \neg P \equiv \text{true}$

3. Law of contradiction: $P \wedge \neg P \equiv \text{false}$

4 Negation : $\neg \neg P \equiv P$

5. Associativity: $P \vee (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \vee R$

$$P \wedge (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \wedge Q) \wedge R$$

6. Commutativity: $P \vee Q \equiv Q \vee P$

$$P \wedge Q \equiv Q \wedge P$$

7. Idempotency: $P \vee P \equiv P$

$$P \wedge P \equiv P$$

8. De Morgan's laws : $\neg (P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$

$$\neg (P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$$

9. Implication $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$

$$P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$$

$$(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow R \equiv P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$$

10. (If and only if) \equiv : $P \equiv Q \equiv (P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$

11. Laws of distribution: $P \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$

$$P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$$

12. Absorption: $[P \wedge (P \vee R) \equiv P]$

$$[P \vee (P \wedge R) \equiv P]$$

13. Predicate Calculus

Negation

$$\forall x \neg P(x) \equiv \neg \exists x P(x)$$

$$\exists x \neg P(x) \equiv \neg \forall x P(x)$$

$$\exists x P(x) \equiv \neg (\forall x \neg P(x))$$

Universal Quantification

$$[(\forall x : P(x)) \wedge (\forall x : Q(x)) \equiv (\forall x : P(x) \wedge Q(x))]$$

$$[(\forall x : P(x)) \vee (\forall x : Q(x)) \Rightarrow (\forall x : P(x) \vee Q(x))]$$

$$[Q \vee (\forall x : P(x)) \equiv (\forall x : Q \vee P(x))], \text{ where } x \text{ not free in } Q$$

$$[Q \wedge (\forall x : P(x)) \equiv (\forall x : Q \wedge P(x))], \text{ where } x \text{ not free in } Q$$

Existential Quantification

$$[(\exists x : P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \Rightarrow (\exists x : P(x)) \wedge (\exists x : Q(x))]$$

$$[(\exists x : P(x)) \vee (\exists x : Q(x)) \equiv (\exists x : P(x) \vee Q(x))]$$

$$[Q \vee (\exists x : P(x)) \equiv (\exists x : Q \vee P(x))], \text{ where } x \text{ not free in } Q$$

$$[Q \wedge (\exists x : P(x)) \equiv (\exists x : Q \wedge P(x))], \text{ where } x \text{ not free in } Q$$

$$[(\exists x : P(x)) \equiv \neg (\forall x : \neg P(x))]$$

$$[(\neg \exists x : P(x)) \equiv (\forall x : \neg P(x))]$$

14. Universal Quantification over Ranges

$$[\forall i : R : P \equiv \forall i : \neg R \vee P] \text{ Trading}$$

$$[\forall i : \text{false} : P \equiv \text{true}]$$

$$[\forall i : i = x : P \equiv P(i := x)] \text{ One-point rule}$$

$$[(\forall i : R : P) \wedge (\forall i : R : Q) \equiv (\forall i : R : P \wedge Q)]$$

$$[(\forall i : R : P) \wedge (\forall i : S : P) \equiv (\forall i : R \vee S : P)]$$

$$[(\forall i : R : P) \vee (\forall i : R : Q) \Rightarrow (\forall i : R : P \vee Q)]$$

$$[Q \vee (\forall i : R : P) \equiv (\forall i : R : Q \vee P)]$$

$$[Q \wedge (\forall i : R : P) \equiv (\forall i : R : Q \wedge P)]$$

15. Existential Quantification over Ranges

$[\exists i : R : P \equiv \exists i : R \wedge P]$ Trading

$[\exists i : \text{false} : P \equiv \text{false}]$

$[\exists i : i = x : P \equiv P(i := x)]$ One-point rule

$[(\exists i : R : P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (\exists i : R : P) \wedge (\exists i : R : Q)]$

$[(\exists i : R : P) \vee (\exists i : R : Q) \equiv (\exists i : R : P \vee Q)]$

$[Q \vee (\exists i : R : P) \equiv (\exists i : R : Q \vee P)]$

$[Q \wedge (\exists i : R : P) \equiv (\exists i : R : Q \wedge P)]$