

Addendum #2 RFP #35-20, Disaster Debris Removal and Disposal Services June 3, 2020

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the following addendum serves to provide clarification and to answer the questions received on RFP #35-20, Disaster Debris Removal and Disposal Services.

Please note that **Corrections/Additions** have been made to the Fee Schedule.

Remove: RFP35-20 Exhibit A Fee Schedule

REVISED RFP35-20 Exhibit A Fee Schedule

Question 1: Line Item A7 in the pricing schedule seems to be duplicative to items A25, A26, A27 & A28. Would the City please clarify if this is the case?

<u>Answer to Question 1:</u> Line items A25 through A28 have been removed from the Fee Schedule as these items were found to be duplicates. REVISED RFP35-20 Exhibit A Fee Schedule reflects this change and should be used with your submittal. Our intent is to know how much per mile will be charged for transporting waste from our DMS to a final disposal site.

<u>Question 2</u>: Line Item A24 in the pricing schedule has the unit for waterway debris removal by the ton. Typically this item is hauled by the cubic yard. Would the City please consider changing this to cubic yard as the unit of measure?

<u>Answer to Question 2:</u> Yes, this was an error and the unit of measure has been updated to reflect "Cubic Yard" in the revised Fee Schedule.

Question 3: Please provide the names and titles of the evaluation committee members.

<u>Answer to Question 3:</u> Currently, the evaluation committee for this RFP is composed of Earl Gloster, Solid Waste/General Services Director; Bryant Johnson, Solid Waste/General Services Assistant Director; Jevon Graham, Fire Division Chief; David Powers, Division Controller; Kattrina Miller, Solid Waste Manager.

Question 4: Can you please confirm if the debris resulting from cutting Hazardous Trees and Dangerous hanging Limbs are to be placed in the ROW for collection under line item A1/A2 as vegetative debris? If the Scope of work dictates that the debris resulting from the removal of hazardous trees and hanging limbs is to include hauling the resulting debris to DMS or final disposal site then the contractor would need dedicated collection trucks to follow the tree removal crews and ONLY pick up debris from their work, thereby skipping piles of debris on the street to be picked up by another collection truck. This also means that all resulting hazardous tree debris will have to be staged separately at the DMS to insure no comingling of debris. There will be a need for additional equipment to manage the site segregated for hazardous tree work or there will be lost time in moving equipment between the two areas. Limited Debris Management Sites, their size and locations often make this scenario extremely difficult The



typical method is to price hazardous trees and hanging limbs as a "cut only" rate and to place the resulting debris in the ROW for collection as vegetative debris. This method frees up collection trucks and expedites the collection process. Will the City consider clarifying the scope will allow for the debris from removing hazardous leaning trees and hanging limbs is to be placed in the ROW to be collected and paid for as regular vegetative debris?

<u>Answer to Question 4:</u> Yes, the intent is for hanging tree limbs to be cut and placed at the curb for collection as vegetative debris.

Question 5: Fee Schedule, Part A – Line Item A-2 – Will the City consider modifying this line item to remove loading and hauling debris from the DMS to a final disposal site since this work is covered in line items A25 through A28? If the City will not remove the DMS language, will you provide a scope description for this line item detailing the City's intent for how this line item will be utilized for debris hauled to the DMS?

Answer to Question 5: Refer to Answer to Question 1 above.

Question 6: Fee Schedule, Part A – Line Item A-7 – Please clarify the difference in scope between Line Item A7 and A25 through A28

Answer to Question 6: Refer to Answer to Question 1 above.

<u>Question 7</u>: Fee Schedule, Part A – Line Item A-25 - Waterway Debris Removal – Please clarify if the waterway debris removal operations will be land-based or marine-based. If the answer is both will the City consider adding a line item and pricing waterway debris removal as a marine-based operation and a land-based operation.

<u>Answer to Question 7:</u> The intent is for waterway debris removal to be marine and/or land-based depending on the circumstance. REVISED RFP35-20 Exhibit A Fee Schedule now provides lines for pricing both methods.

Question 8: Will Fee Schedule B Hourly Rates for Labor Equipment and Materials be used in the Pricing evaluation?

<u>Answer to Question 8:</u> Yes, Fee Schedule Part B: Hourly Labor, Equipment, and Material Rates (Schedule B) will be used in the pricing evaluation.

Question 9: Does Schedule B hold the same weight as Schedule A Unit Prices?

<u>Answer to Question 9:</u> No, unit prices in Schedule B do not hold the same weight as Fee Schedule Part A: Services Unit Prices.

Question 10: If Schedule B is to be used in the evaluation, will the City add all items up and compare the grand total sum based on your estimated quantities?

<u>Answer to Question 10:</u> No, only the unit price will be taken into consideration from Schedule B hourly rates. Those will be used to make sure that all bid submittals are similar and to identify possible outliers.

Question 11: What DMS locations were used during Irma?

<u>Answer to Question 11:</u> The City used Joe DiMaggio Field parking lot located at 2450 Drew Street in Clearwater as their Debris Management Site (DMS) during Hurricane Irma.



Question 12: P.29 Tab 5 Other Forms; The following required forms are not included in the RFP:

- i. Safe Working Environment Policy
- ii. Safety Plan Policy
- iii. Clean as you go policy
- iv. Subcontractor Olan.

Please confirm that these are not missing forms, but information the bidder is to supply.

<u>Answer to Question 12:</u> Templates are not provided for the policies and plans required under RESPONSE FORMAT, TAB 5 – Other Forms, page. 29. Bidders are responsible for providing this information with submittal.

Please Note: The ten (10) day deadline for submitting questions is now closed and no further questions will be responded to.

End of Questions and Answers

End of Addenda