
## Abstract:

This document argues for a naming convention among the Democratic leadership of the United States of America Now, in the year 2017, in terms of technology, specifically YouTube. (both freely available and widely used) and this naming convention is a method of which implemented by the part will result in cohesion, strength, for by preserving naming conventions on official content to control, access, acknowledge, push the image / mission of the party.

In fact, below argues this 'technology [generally]' and the control of:this "technology [generally]'" is the destiny of liberal democracy party in the sense of realizing a moral and just system over which to do so. Entailing the use of a channel, freely accessible, and primary. Suchas are important the official YouTube Channels of US Senators and Representatives, Democratic Party\*

We will always be fighting for regularity in and against a system that knows disorder, works, disorder, that is - always highly ordered system to low order or disorder (systems;) unlike that an organization, a thinking entity, ordering certain (inner) systems; as a larger system is brought to disorder, that, is brought into chaos, that is a system of energy transferring always down, always in the net direction --> disorder - but among which pockets came into being and exist, chiefly life.

inconsistent channel names sponsored 'official' content Non-sponsored 'friendly' channel i.e free promotion by an individual, or group'



those (naming convention among Democratic leadership) at things that should have been weeded out from the get go.

Friend we can all be bernie sanders and warren's, we can all be stars. I mean you tube channels with thousands of followers, hundreds of thousands of views over their (chiefly by a factor of 10 sanders and warren's) official channels over any other among the democratic leadership. [ and if us senators have <50 views per video I can only imagine local electors have no motivation, and may be embarrased to post a video of a critical action.

I honestly have not and will not even consider looking up the other side to pairwise compare. But I know delegating continent distribution over official channels apprehends the party. Some leaders have great great original content, with 5 -10 views over a span of months or even years. these are official youtube channels with no

uniformity among them such as User name or Title of videos. what i do know is the extreme quality of this work, what I have seen is unified in content, tasteful, I soak it up and love it. And I feel it is a simple discredit to let this resource go untapped and, also, to let others take (creating annoying content, waterdown to the extent that it is, secondary [evidentially], non-authoritative. Honest commentary but we are letting you tube channels get away with what is ours. Often the ratio of content to commentary in a <10 min video is ~ 50:50, tending more on the side of commentary, for it is in the best interest of these procedures and creators to further their own image even 'monetize' the message, not wholly corrupt, but only in the interest of the party which aligns to moral and ethical standards. The most widely consumed content is the most mast produced, yet, unless we are careful whom we trust, we will see, as now, the consistent demotion of our authority as sources. \[ [primary, consistent, and powerful due to easy organization] what is in the best will of the people.

Youtube is my only direct access to [official] sponsored content.

I am a software developer in NY but I try not to even use google, never have had facebook and don't use twitter or instagram or any other social media besides Linkedin.


Establish some uniformity in pattern for naming

User: Senator Patrick Leahy (for example)

this is the naming convention followed or established by Warren and Sanders

don't camel case like JohnMills

Use some convention, Google would likely be happy to help in the special case where something like a username seemingly cannot be altered. What is important is to preserve the record, distribute the record, and to know and own it. In those ordered parameters we have a powerful platform at our disposal. And it is a means of control over our image, message, and destiny. Destiny, only a word, yet I believe liberal democracy has a tremendous and great future as it has, I believe in liberal democracy, and I know how blind I am. I am blind to the reality, I am optimistic about the future chiefly because I am not subject to wrongdoings in the past. In my past, my life I can fight, work, for what I believe. And rather than working against our enemies let us work for ourselves. Let us build the future. I see the Democratic Party becoming a digital construction. One day the protests will end. We will be at peace, and I believe it will be when truly, power rests in the hands of those we trust, when and where everything is open (governed by a sophisticated and yet realized moral philosophy, lived, where exactly harm is only an abstract concept. And people there know only respect and kindness. It is a like cause and unity that brings us together: technology. [and it is a means of our humanity, the like of which we are desperate for, as in (now disparate) human rights, worker's hights, liberties and fraternity. Only us, proprietors of liberal concepts, and only you, our leaders, can ultimately secure us. For in a democracy we are empowered and of free mind and conscious give you, representors, our free powers of will trusting in you like and kind. So we must realize this, a system of true democracy, settling down onto its master: technology, of which we control.



The more authoritative the source the hire and more like it is to be featured in a feed.

A simple analysis among democratic leadership shows most have official accounts spanning the past 5-6 years, most have 3 or more videos, most all of thich have less than 100-200 views on average and that is being generous

Senator leahy I know you are the absolute right person to address this very-open letter to.

As you know vermont is a truly blessed place, and is perhaps the longest intact continuous democracy, being an independent democratic republic prior to the establishment of this nation. You are its leader, you are the ranking member of the senate. Many people, most people do not know, how crucial you were during the previous 'Bush' decades, serving as pro-tempore you, the democratic senator from vermont, were 3rd in line for the presidential succession, there may very soon come a time when you will serve that position again.

And by that I pledge to you that I will work with all I can muster to contribute to the movement which will inevitably see you again as not only ranking member of the senate, but de facto enshrined with constitutional authority as poo tempo leader. So we must prepare. Take back the house, win. Take back the senate, lead. Take back the executive and given.

Note on every shown figure subtract one or more view and one or more like (I have started this document after already enacting a strategy, skewing positively the baseline figures- my estimation is it takes a slight push sometimes to gain momentum.