

Meeting (no.447) of Broomhill Community Council

11th February 2026 19.00
Broomhill Primary School Library
57 Edgehill Road, G11 7HZ

Minute

1. Welcome

Committee members present were: Barbara McTavish (BMcT, chair), Lorna McWilliams (LMcW, Vice Chair and Treasurer), Elaine Hindle (EH, Victoria Park Area Partnership VPAP rep), Morag McKerrell (MMcK), Roy Hamdy (RH), Isobel Yates (IY, VPAP, Interim Secretary),

2. Introductions

The Chair (BMCTcT) introduced the Council members and welcomed Councillor Lana Reid-McConnell (LRMcC).

3. Sederunt and Apologies

The attendee's sheets were completed prior to the start of the meeting.

Apologies were received from Abigail Harding (AH, Victoria Park Community Trust and Friends of Naseby Park), Cllr Eunis Jassemi and PC Andy McKay

4. Previous Minute

The pervious minute was approved online, via Slack.

There were no "Matters Arising".

5. Police Report

IY informed the meeting that she had been advised that there will no longer be any formal reports provided. Police participants will provide a verbal report if they attend. However, as PC McKay was not in attendance it has been agreed that any raised concerns will be forwarded to him for a response.

6. Councillor Reports

Cllr Lana Reid-McConnell gave a short update before taking questions. She reported that:

- It's been a busy January with items such as bins, maintenance, drainage and underpasses.

- The park swing tyres have need to be replaced
- A day of action at Broomhill Primary School was held with Community Policing seeking to engage positively and with an educational approach to address traffic issues around school drop off and pick ups Another one is being organised soon.
- NR&S cleaning teams have been working in the Broomhill area, with additional gutter and leaf clearing.
- The Council budget is to be set on 24th February.

During the question session the following issues were raised:

- a. Concern was raised about the proposal to introduce Bin Hubs in front of tenements instead of the bins being picked up from the bin stores from the lanes behind the tenements. Apparently it has started to happen in Kennoway Drive. Reasons given were because of rats around current bin stores, efficiency and the new bin lorries are too large for the lanes. It was noted that residents views had not be considered and Broomhill is mostly a conservation area where Bin Hubs would be inappropriate. In addition they would reduce the parking capacity and would cause hardship to the elderly and disabled who would find it difficult to take their bins to the hub. A further concern is that lanes, if not used, could be left to decline. LRMCC advised that funding was available to improve lanes but this was difficult to access. LRMCC further advised that she had no information about what was happening in Broomhill about Bin Hubs or the future intentions for the lanes but will try to find out.
- b. The common bins at the corner of Churchill and Randolph are overflowing again. Complaints have to be made frequently. LRMCC advised that they should be checked regularly and she will remind the department.
- c. The issue of potholes was raised. Randolph Road and Clarence Drive are particularly bad. LRMCC reported that there was an agreed repair and resurfacing programme but these streets are not on it. The NR&S(Neighbourhood, Regeneration and Sustainability) can be asked to do a walk round to get roads onto the programme. It was noted that for the fourth time in two years the major pothole in a part of the pavement in Marlborough Avenue had recurred. It's in a part of the pavement that is not well lit and is therefore a risk to pedestrians.

7. Office Bearer Reports

(a) Chair: BMcT advised that BCC had been very busy with publicity and raising funds in relation to the RPZ plans.

(b) Secretary & Correspondence: IY reported on a number of items:

- The noise and smoke complaints were raised with the councillors and they were directed to SEPA (Scottish Environmental Protection Agency). No new complaints have been raised with BCC.
- It was noted that drug activity in Norby Road has been identified. The police are taking action.

- Residents have been asking for help in getting disabled bay applications progressed. Advice is to keep ringing the Social Work department in Partick to speak with the relevant team. A request has been made for clarification of the process.
- GCC's (Glasgow City Council) Climate Plan consultation is still open. It closes on 15th February.
- The objections to a live music licence for Wee Paree are not required as the application has been removed.

(c) Vice Chair and Treasurer: LMcW reported on a number of items:

- Thanks were given to attendees for the pledges to support legal advice on the RPZ. The £5,000 target had been reached. A new bank account to hold the funds is being finalised and those who have pledged will be contacted in the coming weeks to ask for the money.
- Those who have submitted FOI requests to the council in respect of the RPZ plans are asked to send copies of their responses to the Secretary as the information will be very helpful to the solicitor providing the legal advice. Even if the response is the council did not have the requested information as it is helpful to know what information they don't have.
- Currently BCC has £2,318.89 in its ordinary administrative funds.

8. Vacancies and Interim Election.

BMcT reported that there were 5 vacancies on the BCC and there was a need to get up to full compliment to help share the workload. New enquiries and nominations are welcomed. An Interim Election is scheduled for 11th March. BMcT reminded the meeting that she had information and application forms available for those that were interested.

9. Restricted Parking Zone (RPZ) & Open Public Forum

There was a wide ranging discussion on the status of the RPZ plans which the following points summarise:

- a. Community engagement survey closed on 18th January and a large number of returns were made which are being worked through by the Parking Services in NR&S. These surveys tend to produce lots of information but difficult to break down and read as per previous surveys done. LRMCC noted that the Council held an online session on their climate plan. That could possibly be a way to share outcome of the survey.
- b. A new set of Parking Surveys are being put out to tender. This time to include Saturday and Sundays. . The view was that the content of the tender, i.e. what the supplier is to provide, needs to be published so that comment can be made on suitability. It was recognised this was unlikely and LRMCC noted that normal practice would be a survey on a weekday and a weekend date. It is likely it will be mid March before this survey takes place. Questions were raised if the data from these new surveys would be made accessible to the community in reasonable time before the TRO is applied for.
- c. Other areas are wrestling with same problem as Broomhill and equally upset. It is clear that NR&S -PS is not engaging appropriately with residents in all the areas following a

difficult meeting in Denniston. They are also not communicating well with the local councillors. There is no formal process for NR&S PS to tell residents what they are doing. As a result it is difficult to discuss options which would get resident support, e.g. better use of one way systems. A meeting is being held on Thursday 19th with BCC. If there are questions then email these to BCC or LRMCC. The local councillors and MSPs are equally concerned about lack of communication and that NR&S -PS is not listening to residents. Questions have been raised MSP's.

- d. Whiteinch CC representative reported that they were trying to get in contact councillors about plans for there area. They don't really have an issue in Whiteinch in respect of parking. They would be willing to do something collectively. BMcT advised that Broomhill was working closely with Thornwood as it was adjacent and shared the same issue. BCC very happy to keep contact with Whiteinch. However, it was felt it would be too much work to try to work with more distant areas that might have different problems. It was noted that there is a risk that if surrounding areas went with the restrictions and Broomhill managed to avoid them, then we might become an island and end up with a real parking issue. We need to provide information to help other areas.
- e. Real concerns expressed on why the NR&S -PS decide to introduce these restrictions. It appears to be response to a small number of complaints or for income generation purposes. It is an additional tax for households and a real issue for teachers and carers who cannot afford to pay the parking charges. Broomhill School does not have a car park. It could also impact on care services if companies can no longer afford to provide a service due to the parking charges taking cost over council price. It was also noted that while care worker providers could recoup some of their costs from council that was not the case for NHS staff where the cost fell on individuals who then had to recoup from NHS. LRMCC agreed to take this up at the meeting on 19th. LRMCC also noted that this was about traffic management as view is that there is no right to park. LRMCC also expressed her frustration at lack of engagement and the Green Councillors were meeting with Angus Miller, Convenor of Transport on the 18th to raise these concerns. The hope is a resolution can be agreed and she will push for an online sessions for survey data to be made available for the community.
- f. BCC has now started discussion with an appropriately experienced solicitor to get to the heart of why they are doing it, which is why the FOI responses are so important, and try to get access to the methodology of the new traffic survey. The advice will also help to understand the interpretation of law in this area. The plan is not to take a negative approach, i.e. not to just dismiss the proposals but to get a just resolution. Leaving it until the outcome of the survey requiring a judicial review would be too late. The intention is to get a proper and respectful conversation about the issues in relation to bad parking and get a resolution that is fit for purpose and doesn't penalise residents.
- g. It was noted that the current plans would mean that Marlborough Avenue would lose 40 parking spaces making parking anywhere near their homes very difficult. It was noted that NR&S-PS consider parking within 400m is acceptable. However, that is totally unacceptable for the elderly and disabled.

- h. It was also noted that residents in Westgate are having difficulty entering communications with the council. The RPZ plans include restrictions that require residents to pay for parking on their own land. Legal advice has been sought.

10. A.O.C.B.

The following items of business were raised:

- A request was made to have a positive event by re-introducing, this summer, the Broomhill in Bloom event which had not taken place since Covid. LRMCC agreed to take this back to the Council to ascertain if help was available. It was noted that someone on BCC would need to take on a role for this event.
- It was noted that the Jordanhill Bowling Club had been sold in mid January. The new owner has intimated an intention to open a private bowling club.
- Not all the children's play equipment has been returned to Naseby. The hammock swing and small roundabout are still missing. LRMCC to enquire when they are due to be returned.
- RH asked LRMCC if she could find out if NRS (Neighbourhood Regeneration and Sustainability) could meet with the local businesses, separately to it meeting with BCC. The businesses have some concerns. LRMCC agreed to find out.
- BMcT advised that BCC will complete the Annual Self Assessment RAG Analysis by the required date of end of February

BMcT thanked Councillor Lana Reid McConnell and all the residents for coming.

Date of the next meeting is Wednesday 11th March 2026

The meeting closed at 8.35pm.