DATA 5610

Time Series Notes II

Tyler J. Brough

Department of Data Analytics & Information Systems



Beginning Time Series Topics II

Time Series Continued

Summary of allowed lag polynomial manipulations

1. We can multiply them

$$a(L)b(L) = (a_0 + a_1L + \cdots)(b_0 + b_1L + \cdots) = a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + b_0a_1)L + \cdots$$

2. They commute

$$a(L)b(L) = b(L)a(L)$$

3. We can raise them to positive integer powers

$$a(L)^2 = a(L)a(L)$$

4. We can invert them, by factoring them and inverting each term

$$a(L) = (1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L) \cdots$$

$$a(L)^{-1} = (1 - \lambda_1 L)^{-1}(1 - \lambda_2 L)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_1^j L^j \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_2^j L^j$$

$$= c_1 (1 - \lambda_1 L)^{-1} + c_2 (1 - \lambda_2 L)^{-1} \cdots$$

We'll look at roots greater than and/or equal to one, fractional powers, and non-polynomial functions of lag operators later.

Multivariate ARMA Models

The multivariate case is similar, reinterpreting our variables as vectors and matrices:

$$x_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix}$$

The building block is the multivariate white noise process, $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{iid } N(0, \Sigma)$, which we write as follows

$$\varepsilon_t = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_t \\ \nu_t \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$$

$$E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t') = \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\delta}^2 & \sigma_{\delta \nu}^2 \\ \sigma_{\nu \delta}^2 & \sigma_{\nu}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon'_{t-j}) = 0$$

The AR(1) is $x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{yy} & \phi_{yz} \\ \phi_{zy} & \phi_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{t-1} \\ z_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \delta_t \\ \nu_t \end{bmatrix}$$

Or

$$y_{t} = \phi_{yy}y_{t-1} + \phi_{yz}z_{t-1} + \delta_{t}$$

$$z_{t} = \phi_{zy}y_{t-1} + \phi_{zz}z_{t-1} + \nu_{t}$$

NB: this is a Vector autoregressive model of order 1, or a VAR(1) model.

Note: both lagged y and lagged z appear in each equation.

Thus, the VAR(1) captures cross-variable dynamics.

Ex: It could capture the fact that if volume is higher in one trading period, volatility tends to be higher the following trading period; as well as the fact that if volatility is high one period volume tends to be high the next period.

We can write the VAR(1) in lag operator notation:

$$(I - \Phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t$$

or

$$A(L)x_t = B(L)\varepsilon_t$$

where:

•
$$A(L) = I - \Phi_1 L - \Phi_2 L^2 - \cdots$$

•
$$B(L) = I + \Theta_1 L + \Theta_2 L^2 + \cdots$$

$$\textbf{NB:} \ \Phi_j = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{j,yy} & \phi_{j,yz} \\ \phi_{j,zy} & \phi_{j,zz} \end{bmatrix} \ \text{and similarly for } \Theta_j.$$

We can invert multivariate ARMA models.

For example, the $\mathsf{MA}(\infty)$ representation can be obtained from the $\mathsf{VAR}(1)$ as

$$(I - \Phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (I - \Phi L)^{-1}\varepsilon_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Phi^i \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

Autocorrelation and Autocovariance Functions

Autocovariance of a series x_t is

$$\gamma_j = Cov(x_t, x_{t-j})$$

Hence, h

$$\gamma_j = E(x_t x_{t-j})$$

NB: $\gamma_0 = Var(x_t)$

NB: Recall $Cov(x_t, x_{t-j}) = E[(x_t - E(x_t))(x_{t-j} - E(x_{t-j}))]$ but $E(x_t) = 0$ for our purposes.

Autocorrelation is:

$$\rho_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{Var(x_t)} = \frac{\gamma_j}{\gamma_0}$$

Autocovariance and Autocorrelation of ARMA Processes

White noise: since we assume $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{ iid } N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$, it's clear that

$$\gamma_0 = \sigma_{arepsilon_t}^2, \quad \gamma_j = 0 \quad ext{for all} \quad j
eq 0$$

$$ho_0=1,\quad
ho_j=0\quad ext{for all}\quad j
eq 0$$

MA(1)

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}$$

Autocovariance:

$$\gamma_{0} = Var(x_{t}) = Var(\varepsilon_{t} + \theta\varepsilon_{t-1})
= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \theta^{2}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}
= (1 + \theta^{2})\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}
\gamma_{1} = E(x_{t}x_{t-1}) = E[(\varepsilon_{t} + \theta\varepsilon_{t-1})(\varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta\varepsilon_{t-2})]
= E[\theta\varepsilon_{t-1}^{2}]
= \theta\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}$$

$$\gamma_2 = E(x_t x_{t-2}) = E[(\varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1})(\varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta \varepsilon_{t-3})] = 0$$

$$\gamma_3 = 0$$

Autocorrelation

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta^2}$$

$$\rho_2 = 0$$

MA(2)

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2}$$

Autocovariance:

$$\gamma_0 = E[(\varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2})(\varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2})]$$

$$= (1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$$

$$\gamma_1 = E[(\varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2})(\varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-3})]$$

$$= (\theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2)\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$$

$$\gamma_3, \gamma_4, \dots = 0$$

Autocorrelation:

$$ho_0 = 1$$

$$ho_1 = rac{ heta_1 + heta_1 heta_2}{(1 + heta_1^2 + heta_2^2)}$$

$$ho_2 = rac{ heta_2}{(1 + heta_1^2 + heta_2^2)}$$
 $ho_3,
ho_4, \ldots = 0$

MA(q), $MA(\infty)$

By now the pattern is clear: $\mathsf{MA}(q)$ processes have q autocorrelations different from zero. Also, if

$$x_t = \theta(L)\varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\theta_j L^j)\varepsilon_t$$

then

$$\gamma_0 = Var(x_t) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j^2\right) \sigma_{arepsilon}^2$$
 $\gamma_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j \theta_{j+k} \sigma_{arepsilon}^2$

NB: $\theta_0 = 1$

NB: The lesson is that calculation of 2nd moments for MA processes is easy. Because covariance terms $E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_k)$ drop out!

AR(1)

Two ways to proceed:

1. Invert the $MA(\infty)$ and use the above

$$(1 - \phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t \Rightarrow x_t = (1 - \phi L)^{-1}\varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

$$\gamma_0 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{2j}\right) \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \phi^2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2; \quad \rho_0 = 1$$

$$\gamma_1 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \phi^{j+1}\right) \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 = \phi \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{2j}\right) \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 = \frac{\phi}{1 - \phi^2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2; \quad \rho_1 = \phi$$

and continuing

$$\gamma_k = \frac{\phi^k}{1 - \phi^2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2; \quad \rho_k = \phi^k$$

2. Another way useful in it's own right

$$\gamma_{1} = E(x_{t}x_{t-1}) = E[(\phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t})(x_{t-1})] = \phi \sigma_{x}^{2}; \quad \rho = \phi$$

$$\gamma_{2} = E(x_{t}x_{t-2}) = E[(\phi^{2}x_{t-2} + \phi \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t})(x_{t-2})] = \phi^{k} \sigma_{x}^{2}; \quad \rho_{k} = \phi^{k}$$

AR(p) Yule-Walker Equations

This latter method is the easiest way to proceed for AR(p)'s.

Let's look at an AR(3), then you can generalize.

Multiplying both sides by x_t, x_{t-1}, \cdots , taking expectations, then dividing by γ_0 we obtain

$$1 = \phi \rho_1 + \phi_2 \rho_2 + \phi_3 \rho_3 + \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2}{\gamma_0}$$

$$\rho_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \rho_1 + \phi_3 \rho_2$$

$$\rho_2 = \phi_1 \rho_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 \rho_1$$

$$\rho_3 = \phi_1 \rho_2 + \phi_2 \rho_1 + \phi_3$$

$$\rho_k = \phi_1 \rho_{k-1} + \phi_2 \rho_{k-2} + \phi_3 \rho_{k-3}$$

The 2nd, 3rd, and 4th equations can be solved for ρ_1 , ρ_2 , ρ_3 .

Then each remaining equation gives ρ_k in terms of ρ_{k-1} and ρ_{k-2} , so we can solve for all of the ρ 's.

Note: The ρ 's follow the same difference equation as the original x's

The first equation can be solved for the variance

$$\sigma_{x}^{2} = \gamma_{0} = \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{1 - [\phi_{1}\rho_{1} + \phi_{2}\rho_{2} + \phi_{3}\rho_{3}]}$$

Stationarity

In calculating the moments of ARMA processes, the moments did not depend on calendar time

$$E(x_t) = E(x_s)$$
 for all t and s $E(x_t x_{t-j}) = E(x_s x_{s-j})$ for all t and s

These properties are true for the invertible ARMA models, but they reflect a deeper property.

A process $\{x_t\}$ is **strongly stationary** or **strictly stationary** if the joint probability distribution function of $\{x_{t-s}, \cdots, x_t, \cdots, x_{t+s}\}$ is independent of t for all s.

A process $\{x_t\}$ is **weakly stationary** or **covariance stationary** if $E(x_t)$, $E(x_t^2)$ are finite and $E(x_t x_{t-j})$ depends only on j and not on t.

Note That:

- 1. Strong stationary does not imply weak stationarity. $E(x_t^2)$ must be finite.
 - Ex: on iid Cauchy process is strongly, but not covariance stationary.
- 2. Strong stationarity plus $E(x_t), E(x_t^2) < \infty \Rightarrow$ weak stationarity
- 3. Weak stationarity does not \Rightarrow strong stationarity. If the process is not normal, other moments $(E(x_tx_{t-j}x_{t-k}))$ might depend on t, so the process might not be strongly stationary.
- 4. Weak stationarity plus normality ⇒ strong stationarity