# Brough Lecture Notes: Beginning Time Series Topics

• Finance 5330: Financial Econometrics

• Tyler J. Brough

• Last Updated: March 23, 2021

### Beginning Time Series Topics

Most data in economics (espcially in macroeconomics and finance) come inthe form of *time series*.

*Time Series:* a set of repeated observations of the same random variable ordered in time.

• Example: GNP or stock returns

• Also: prices, exchange rates, interest rates, inflation (lots of others)

We can write a time series as  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T\}$  or simply as  $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^T$ .

We treat  $x_t$  as a random variable. Really nothing different from the rest of econometrics. Notice the difference is the subscript t rather than i.

If, for example, a random variable  $y_t$  is generated by

$$y_t = x_t \beta + \varepsilon_t$$

in which  $E(y_t|x_t) = 0$ 

Then OLS provides a consistent estimate for  $\beta$  (just as if the subscript were "i" instead of "t").

The phrase "time series" is used to denote

- 1. a sample  $\{x_t\}$  such as IBM stock price from Jan. 1, 2010 to Dec. 31, 2010.
- 2. A probability model for that sample. i.e. a statement about the joint distribution of the random variables  $\{x_t\}$ .

A first model for the joint distribution of a time series  $\{x_t\}$  is:

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$$

i.e.  $x_t$  is normal and independent over time.

Typically, time series are not iid, which is what makes them interesting.

Ex: unusually high inflation today is likely to lead to unusually high inflation tomorrow.

(1) and (2) are the absence of any serial correlation or predictability.

(3) is conditional homoscedasticity or a constant conditional variance.

By itself  $\varepsilon_t$  is pretty boring. If  $\varepsilon_t$  is abnormally high there is no tendency for  $\varepsilon_{t+1}$  to be high.

More realistic models are constructed by taking combinations of  $\varepsilon_t$ .

#### Basic ARMA Models

Most of the time our time series models will be created by taking linear combinations of white noise

- AR(1):  $x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$
- MA(1):  $x_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}$
- AR(p):  $x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t$
- MA(q):  $x_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$
- ARMA(p,q):  $x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$

Notice that each model is a recipe to generate a sequence  $\{x_t\}$  given a sequence of realizations of the white noise process and a starting  $x_0$  value.

All of these models are mean zero, and represent deviations of the series about a mean. For example, if a series has mean  $\bar{x}$  and follows an AR(1)

$$(x_t - \bar{x}) = \phi(x_{t-1} - \bar{x}) + \varepsilon_t$$

is equivalent to

$$x_t = (1 - \phi)\bar{x} + \phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$
$$= \mu + \phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

where  $\mu = (1 - \phi)\bar{x}$ 

**NB:** the constant absorbs the mean

#### Lag Operators and Polynomials

It is easiest to represent ARMA models in *lag operator* notation. The lag operator moves the index back one time unit:

$$L^1 x_t = x_{t-1}$$

More formally, L is an operator that takes an original time series  $\{x_t\}$  and produces another, which is the same as the original only shifted backwards in time.

From the definition we can do other things:

$$L^{2}x_{t} = L(Lx_{t}) = Lx_{t-1} = x_{t-2}$$
$$L^{j}x_{t} = x_{t-j}$$
$$L^{-j}x_{t} = x_{t+j}$$

We can also define lag polynomials, e.g.

$$a(L) = (a_0L + a_1L^1 + a_2L^2)x_t = a_0x_t + a_1x_{t-1} + a_2x_{t-2}$$

Using this notation we can rewrite the ARMA models as

- AR(1):  $(1 \phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t$
- MA(1):  $x_t = (1 + \theta L)\varepsilon_t$
- AR(p):  $(1 \phi_1 L \phi_2 L^2 + \dots + \phi_p L^p) x_t = \varepsilon_t$
- MA(q):  $x_t = (1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \dots + \theta_q L^q) \varepsilon_t$

ARMA models are not unique. A time series with a given joint distribution of  $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_T\}$  can usually be represented with a variety of ARMA models.

It is often convenient to work with different representations:

- 1. The shortest (or only finite length) polynomial representation is usually the easiest to work with
- 2. AR forms are the easiest to estimate (since OLS assumptions still apply)
- 3. MA forms express  $x_t$  in terms of a linear combination of independent right hand side variables. Often finding variances and covariances in this form is easiest.

## AR(1) to $MA(\infty)$ by Recursive Substitution

Start with an AR(1)

$$x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Recursively substituting

$$x_t = \phi(\phi x_{t-2} + \varepsilon_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_t = \phi^2 x_{t-2} + \phi \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$
$$x_t = \phi^k x_{t-k} + \phi^{k-1} \varepsilon_{t-k+1} + \dots + \phi^2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \phi \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Thus an AR(1) can always be expressed as an ARMA(k,k-1).

Also, if  $|\phi| < 1$  so that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \phi^k x_{t-k} = 0$$

then

$$x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

## AR(1) to $MA(\infty)$ with Lag Operators

Starting again with the AR(1) model:

$$(1 - \phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t$$

The way to "invert" the AR(1) is to write

$$x_t = (1 - \phi L)^{-1} \varepsilon_t$$

hat does  $(1-\phi L)^{-1}$  mean? We have only defined polynomials in L so far. We try to use the expression

$$(1-z)^{-1} = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + \cdots$$
 for  $|z| < 1$ 

**NB:** this expression for z can be proven with a Taylor expansion.

Using this expansion and hoping that  $|\phi| < 1$  implies  $|\phi L| < 1$ , suggests

$$x_t = (1 - \phi L)^{-1} \varepsilon_t = (1 + \phi L + \phi^2 L^2 + \dots) \varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

Note: we can't always perform this inversion. We require  $|\phi| < 1$ . Not all ARMA processes are invertible to a representation of  $x_t$  in terms of current and past  $\varepsilon_t$ 

#### AR(p) to $MA(\infty)$

Getting to an  $MA(\infty)$  from an AR(1) is almost as easy either way (recursive substitution or lag operators) but in higher order models lag operators become much easier.

Let's try an AR(2):

$$x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t$$
$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) x_t = \varepsilon_t$$

We need to factor  $(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)$  in order to use the  $(1 - z)^{-1}$  formula. So find  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  such that

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) = (1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)$$

The solution is

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = -\phi_2$$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \phi_1$$

$$(1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L) = 1 - \lambda_2 L - \lambda_1 L + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 L$$

$$= 1 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) L + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 L$$

Now we need to invert

$$(1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)x_t = \varepsilon_t$$

Thus

$$x_t = (1 - \lambda_1 L)^{-1} (1 - \lambda_2)^{-1} x_t = \varepsilon_t$$
$$x_t = \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_1^j L^j \right] \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_2^j L^j \right] \varepsilon_t$$

Multiplying out the polynomials is tedious but straight forward

$$\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_1^j L^j\right] \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_2^j L^j\right] = (1 + \lambda_1 L + \lambda_2 L^2 + \cdots)(1 + \lambda_2 L + \lambda_2 L^2 + \cdots)$$

$$= 1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) L + (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2) L^2 + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} \lambda_1^k \lambda_2^{j-k}\right) L^j$$

A nicer way to express an  $MA(\infty)$  is to use the **partial fractions trick**. Find a and b such that

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)} = \frac{a}{(1 - \lambda_1 L)} + \frac{b}{(1 - \lambda_2 L)}$$
$$= \frac{a(1 - \lambda_2 L) + b(1 - \lambda_1 L)}{(1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)}$$

The right-hand side numerator must equal 1, so

$$a+b=1$$
$$a\lambda_2+b\lambda_1=0$$

The solution is

$$b = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}, \quad a = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$
$$\frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)} = \frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_1 L)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_2 L)}$$

Thus we can express  $x_t$  as

$$x_{t} = \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{1}^{j} \varepsilon_{t-j} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{2}^{j} \varepsilon_{t-j}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}} \lambda_{1}^{j} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}} \lambda_{2}^{j} \right) \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

**Note:** Again not every AR(2) can be inverted. We require that the  $\lambda$ 's satisfy  $|\lambda| < 1$ .

Until explicitly stated we will assume we are working with invertible ARMA models.

## MA(q) to $AR(\infty)$

This is now straight forward

$$x_t = b(L)\varepsilon_t$$

has  $AR(\infty)$  representation

$$b(L)^{-1}x_t = \varepsilon_t$$