Finance 5330 - Financial Econometrics

Time Series Notes II

Tyler J. Brough

Department of Finance and Economics

UtahStateUniversity

Beginning Time Series Topics II

Time Series Continued

Summary of allowed lag polynomial manipulations

1. We can multiply them

$$a(L)b(L) = (a_0 + a_1 L + \cdots)(b_0 + b_1 L + \cdots) = a_0 b_0 + (a_0 b_1 + b_0 a_1)L + \cdots$$

2. They commute

$$a(L)b(L) = b(L)a(L)$$

3. We can raise them to positive integer powers

$$a(L)^2 = a(L)a(L)$$

4. We can invert them, by factoring them and inverting each term

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(L) &= (1-\lambda_1 L)(1-\lambda_2 L) \quad \cdots \\
a(L)^{-1} &= (1-\lambda_1 L)^{-1}(1-\lambda_2 L)^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_1^j L^j \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_2^j L^j \\
&= c_1(1-\lambda_1 L)^{-1} + c_2(1-\lambda_2 L)^{-1} \quad \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

We'll look at roots greater than and/or equal to one, fractional powers, and non-polynomial functions of lag operators later.

Multivariate ARMA Models

The multivariate case is similar, reinterpreting our variables as vectors and matrices:

$$x_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix}$$

The building block is the multivariate white noise process, $\varepsilon_t \sim$ iid $N(0, \Sigma)$, which we write as follows

$$\varepsilon_t = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_t \\ \nu_t \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$$

$$E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t') = \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_\delta^2 & \sigma_{\delta\nu}^2 \\ \sigma_{\nu\delta}^2 & \sigma_\nu^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-j}') = 0$$

The AR(1) is $x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{yy} & \phi_{yz} \\ \phi_{zy} & \phi_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{t-1} \\ z_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \delta_t \\ \nu_t \end{bmatrix}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \phi_{yy} y_{t-1} + \phi_{yz} z_{t-1} + \delta_t \\ z_t &= \phi_{zy} y_{t-1} + \phi_{zz} z_{t-1} + \nu_t \end{aligned}$$

NB: this is a Vector autoregressive model of order 1, or a VAR(1) model.

Note: both lagged y and lagged z appear in each equation.

Thus, the VAR(1) captures cross-variable dynamics.

Ex: It could capture the fact that if volume is higher in one trading period, volatility tends to be higher the following trading period; as well as the fact that if volatility is high one period volume tends to be high the next period.

We can write the VAR(1) in lag operator notation:

$$(I - \Phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t$$

or

$$A(L)x_t = B(L)\varepsilon_t$$

where:

- $A(L) = I - \Phi_1 L - \Phi_2 L^2 - \cdots$
- $B(L) = I + \Theta_1 L + \Theta_2 L^2 + \cdots$

NB: $\Phi_j = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{j,yy} & \phi_{j,yz} \\ \phi_{j,zy} & \phi_{j,zz} \end{bmatrix}$ and similarly for Θ_j.

We can invert multivariate ARMA models.

For example, the MA(∞) representation can be obtained from the VAR(1) as

$$(I - \Phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (I - \Phi L)^{-1}\varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

Autocorrelation and Autocovariance Functions

Autocovariance of a series x_t is

$$\gamma_j = Cov(x_t, x_{t-j})$$

Hence, h

$$\gamma_j = E(x_t x_{t-j})$$

NB: $\gamma_0 = Var(x_t)$

NB: Recall $Cov(x_t, x_{t-j}) = E[(x_t - E(x_t))(x_{t-j} - E(x_{t-j}))]$ but $E(x_t) = 0$ for our purposes.

Autocorrelation is:

$$\rho_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{Var(x_t)} = \frac{\gamma_j}{\gamma_0}$$

Autocovariance and Autocorrelation of ARMA Processes

White noise: since we assume $\varepsilon_t \sim$ iid $N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$, it's clear that

$$\gamma_0 = \sigma_{\varepsilon_t}^2, \quad \gamma_j = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \neq 0$$

$$\rho_0 = 1, \quad \rho_j = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \neq 0$$

MA(1)

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}$$

Autocovariance:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_0 &= Var(x_t) = Var(\varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}) \\
&= \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \theta^2 \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \\
&= (1 + \theta^2) \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \\
\gamma_1 &= E(x_t x_{t-1}) = E[(\varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1})(\varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta \varepsilon_{t-2})] \\
&= E[\theta \varepsilon_{t-1}^2] \\
&= \theta \sigma_\varepsilon^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_2 = E(x_t x_{t-2}) = E[(\varepsilon_t + \theta\varepsilon_{t-1})(\varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta\varepsilon_{t-3})] = 0$$
$$\gamma_3 = 0$$

Autocorrelation

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2}$$
$$\rho_2 = 0$$

MA(2)

$$x_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2}$$

Autocovariance:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_0 &= E[(\varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2})(\varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2})] \\
&= (1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)\sigma_\varepsilon^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= E[(\varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2})(\varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-3})] \\
&= (\theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2)\sigma_\varepsilon^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_3, \gamma_4, \ldots = 0$$

Autocorrelation:

$$\rho_0 = 1$$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_1\theta_2}{(1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)}$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{\theta_2}{(1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)}$$

$$\rho_3, \rho_4, \ldots = 0$$

MA(q), MA(∞)

By now the pattern is clear: MA(q) processes have q autocorrelations different from zero. Also, if

$$x_t = \theta(L)\varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\theta_j L^j)\varepsilon_t$$

then

$$\gamma_0 = Var(x_t) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\theta_j^2\right)\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\theta_j\theta_{j+k}\sigma_\varepsilon^2$$

NB: $\theta_0 = 1$

NB: The lesson is that calculation of 2nd moments for MA processes is easy. Because covariance terms $E(\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_k)$ drop out!

AR(1)

Two ways to proceed:

1. Invert the MA(∞) and use the above

$$(1-\phi L)x_t = \varepsilon_t \Rightarrow x_t = (1-\phi L)^{-1}\varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

$$\gamma_0 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{2j}\right)\sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{1-\phi^2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2; \quad \rho_0 = 1$$

$$\gamma_1 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \phi^{j+1}\right)\sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \phi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{2j}\right)\sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{\phi}{1-\phi^2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2; \quad \rho_1 = \phi$$

and continuing

$$\gamma_k = \frac{\phi^k}{1-\phi^2}\sigma_\varepsilon^2; \quad \rho_k = \phi^k$$

2. Another way useful in it's own right

$$\gamma_1 = E(x_t x_{t-1}) = E[(\phi x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t)(x_{t-1})] = \phi\sigma_x^2; \quad \rho = \phi$$

$$\gamma_2 = E(x_t x_{t-2}) = E[(\phi^2 x_{t-2} + \phi\varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t)(x_{t-2})] = \phi^k\sigma_x^2; \quad \rho_k = \phi^k$$

AR(p) Yule-Walker Equations

This latter method is the easiest way to proceed for AR(p)'s.

Let's look at an AR(3), then you can generalize.

Multiplying both sides by $x_t, x_{t-1}, \cdots$, taking expectations, then dividing by γ_0 we obtain

$$1 = \phi\rho_1 + \phi_2\rho_2 + \phi_3\rho_3 + \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{\gamma_0}$$

$$\rho_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_2\rho_1 + \phi_3\rho_2$$

$$\rho_2 = \phi_1\rho_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3\rho_1$$

$$\rho_3 = \phi_1\rho_2 + \phi_2\rho_1 + \phi_3$$

$$\rho_k = \phi_1\rho_{k-1} + \phi_2\rho_{k-2} + \phi_3\rho_{k-3}$$

The 2nd, 3rd, and 4th equations can be solved for ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3.

Then each remaining equation gives ρ_k in terms of ρ_{k-1} and ρ_{k-2}, so we can solve for all of the ρ's.

Note: The ρ's follow the same difference equation as the original x's

The first equation can be solved for the variance

$$\sigma_x^2 = \gamma_0 = \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{1 - [\phi_1\rho_1 + \phi_2\rho_2 + \phi_3\rho_3]}$$

Stationarity

In calculating the moments of ARMA processes, the moments did not depend on calendar time

$$\begin{aligned} E(x_t) &= E(x_s) \qquad \text{for all } t \text{ and } s \\ E(x_t x_{t-j}) &= E(x_s x_{s-j}) \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and } s \end{aligned}$$

These properties are true for the invertible ARMA models, but they reflect a deeper property.

A process $\{x_t\}$ is **strongly stationary** or **strictly stationary** if the joint probability distribution function of $\{x_{t-s}, \cdots, x_t, \cdots, x_{t+s}\}$ is independent of t for all s.

A process $\{x_t\}$ is **weakly stationary** or **covariance stationary** if $E(x_t)$, $E(x_t^2)$ are finite and $E(x_t x_{t-j})$ depends only on j and not on t.

Note That:

1. Strong stationary does not imply weak stationarity. $E(x_t^2)$ must be finite.
   - Ex: on iid Cauchy process is strongly, but not covariance stationary.

2. Strong stationarity plus $E(x_t), E(x_t^2) < \infty \Rightarrow$ weak stationarity

3. Weak stationarity does not $\Rightarrow$ strong stationarity. If the process is not normal, other moments $(E(x_t x_{t-j} x_{t-k}))$ *might* depend on t, so the process might not be strongly stationary.

4. Weak stationarity plus normality $\Rightarrow$ strong stationarity