



CS 33

Storage Allocation

The Unix Address Space



Finding the Right Free Block



`malloc(24)`

- Search strategies
 - first fit
 - best fit


Some Observations

- **Best fit**
 - perhaps leaves behind chunks that are too small to be of use
 - requires linear time (in size of free list) for malloc
- **First fit**
 - small chunks congregate at beginning of free list
 - upper bound of linear time for malloc, but often much less


Fragmentation

- **Fragmentation refers to the wastage of memory due to our allocation policy**
- **Two sorts**
 - external fragmentation
 - internal fragmentation

External Fragmentation



Internal Fragmentation



Variations

- **Next fit**
 - like first fit, but the next search starts where the previous ended
- **Worst fit**
 - always allocate from largest free block
 - » perhaps reduces the number of “too small” blocks
- **Free-list insertion**
 - LIFO
 - » easy to do
 - » $O(1)$
 - ordered insertion
 - » $O(n)$

Quiz 1

Assume that best-fit results in less external fragmentation than first-fit.


We are running an application with modest memory demands. Which allocation strategy is likely to result in better performance (in terms of time) for the application:

- a) best-fit**
- b) first-fit with LIFO insertion**
- c) first-fit with ordered insertion**


A Problem

- A malloc request is for a block of 32 bytes
- The block found on the free list is 1024 bytes long
- Should malloc return a pointer to the entire 1024-byte block?


Splitting



Another Problem




Coalescing






Data Structure Requirements

- **All blocks**
 - we need to know how big they are
 - » when free is called, it must be known how much to free
 - » when looking at a free block in malloc, we need to know its size
 - we need to know which they are: free or allocated
 - » needed for coalescing
- **Free blocks**
 - they need to be linked into the free list

Solution: Boundary Tags




Splitting a Block




Representing the Free List

- **We need a pointer to the first element**
 - *flist_first*
- **We need to traverse the list from beginning to end**
 - required by malloc
- **We need to merge adjacent blocks**
 - this may require removing a block from the free list, then reinserting it (as part of a coalesced block)
- **Links may be put in the free block's payload area**
 - not needed for allocated blocks!

Free Block Representation



Free List



Quiz 2

Why is the free list doubly linked?

- a) so we can traverse it in both directions**
- b) so that, given a pointer to an arbitrary free block, we can easily remove the block from the list**
- c) to facilitate sorting the free list**
- d) we don't really need it to be doubly linked now, but it may be necessary for some future operations**

Quiz 3

Why is the free list circular?


- a) to facilitate implementing the next-fit search strategy**
- b) so that we don't have to special-case the the handling of the first and last list elements**
- c) both of the above**
- d) none of the above**

Coalescing Revisited


68	?
68	?
40	1
40	1
96	?
96	?

- **We are freeing a block**
 - is the previous block free?
 - is the next block free?
 - are both free?


Coalescing: Previous Free (1)




Coalescing: Previous Free (2)




Coalescing: Previous Free (3)




Coalescing: Previous Free (4)




Coalescing: Next Free (1)




Coalescing: Next Free (2)




Coalescing: Next Free (3)




Coalescing: Next Free (4)




Coalescing: Both Free (1)




Coalescing: Both Free (1)




Coalescing: Both Free ($2n$)



Coalescing: Both Free (3n)



C vs. Storage Allocation



```
typedef struct block {  
    long size;  
    long payload[size/8 - 2];  
    long end_size;  
} block_t;
```


```
typedef struct free_block {  
    long size;  
    struct free_block *flink;  
    struct free_block *blink;  
    long filler[size/8 - 4];  
    long end_size;  
} free_block_t;
```

Overcoming C


- **Think objects**
 - a block is an object
 - » opaque to the outside world
 - define accessor functions to get and set its contents

```
typedef struct block {  
    size_t size;  
    size_t payload[0];  
} block_t;
```

Allocated Block




Free Block



- In general, end size is at *payload[size/8 – 2]*


Overloading Size



```
size_t block_allocated(block_t *b) {  
    return b->size & 1;  
}
```

```
size_t block_size(block_t *b) {  
    return b->size & -2;  
}
```

End Size




```
size_t *block_end_tag(block_t *b) {  
    return &b->payload[b->size/8 - 2];  
}
```


Setting the Size

```
void block_set_size(block_t *b, size_t size) {  
    assert(!(size & 7));           // multiple of 8  
    size |= block_allocated(b);    // preserve alloc bit  
    b->size = size;  
    *block_end_tag(b) = size;  
}
```


```
void block_set_allocated(block_t *b, size_t a) {  
    assert((a == 0) || (a == 1));  
    if (a) {  
        b->size |= 1;  
        *block_end_tag(b) |= 1;  
    } else {  
        b->size &= -2;  
        *block_end_tag(b) &= -2;  
    }  
}
```

Is Previous Adjacent Block Free?




```
size_t block_prev_allocated(  
    block_t *b) {  
    return b->payload[-2] & 1;  
}
```

Is Next Adjacent Block Free?




```
block_t *block_next(  
    block_t *b) {  
    return (block_t *)  
        ((char *)b + block_size(b));  
}  
  
size_t block_next_allocated(  
    block_t *b) {  
    return block_allocated(  
        block_next(b));  
}
```

Adding a Block to the Free List (1)



Adding a Block to the Free List (2)



Accessing the Object

```
block_t *block_flink(block_t *b) {  
    return (block_t *)b->payload[0];  
}
```

```
void block_set_flink(block_t *b, block_t *next) {  
    b->payload[0] = (size_t)next;  
}
```

```
block_t *block_blink(block_t *b) {  
    return (block_t *)b->payload[1];  
}
```

```
void block_set_blink(block_t *b, block_t *next) {  
    b->payload[1] = (size_t)next;  
}
```

Insertion Code

```
void insert_free_block(block_t *fb) {
    assert(!block_allocated(fb));
    if (flist_first != NULL) {
        block_t *last =
            block_blink(flist_first);
        block_set_flink(fb, flist_first);
        block_set_blink(fb, last);
        block_set_flink(last, fb);
        block_set_blink(flist_first, fb);
    } else {
        block_set_flink(fb, fb);
        block_set_blink(fb, fb);
    }
    flist_first = fb;
}
```

Performance



- **Won't all the calls to the accessor functions slow things down a lot?**
 - yes — not just a lot, but tons
- **Why not use macros (#define) instead?**
 - the textbook does this
 - it makes the code impossible to debug
 - » gdb shows only the name of the macro, not its body
- **What to do????**

Inline Functions

```
static inline size_t block_size(  
    block_t *b) {  
    return b->size & -2;  
}
```

- when debugging (`-O0`), the code is implemented as a normal function
 - » easy to debug with gdb
- when optimized (`-O1`, `-O2`), calls to the function are replaced with the body of the function
 - » no function-call overhead

Prolog and Epilog



prolog

epilog