## Homework 1

**Exercise 1.1** a) Let  $x \in \text{range}(AB)$  then  $\exists y \text{ with } x = ABy$  thus we know that x = A(By) set z = By thus x = Az so  $x \in \text{range}(A)$ 

- b) Let  $x \in \text{corange}(AB)$  then  $\exists y \text{ with } x = (AB)^*y$  thus we know that  $x = B^*(Ay)$  set  $z = A^*y$  thus  $x = B^*z$  so  $x \in \text{corange}(B)$ 
  - c) Let  $x \in \text{kernel}(B)$  then Bx = 0 thus ABx = A0 = 0 so  $x \in \text{kernel}(AB)$
- d) Let  $x \in \text{cokernel}(A)$  then  $A^*x = 0$  thus  $(AB)^*x = B^*A^*x = B^*0 = 0$  so  $x \in \text{cokernel}(AB)$

Exercise 1.2 From the definition lets compute RC

$$(RC)_{k,l} = \sum_{q=1}^{n} R_{k,q} C_{q,l}$$
 (1)

From here note that  $R_{k,:}$  is just the kth row of R and  $C_{:,l}$  is merely the lth collumn of C. Thus we are just taking an inner product here between the kth row of R and the lth column of C

Thus

$$(RC)_{k,l} = r_k^T c_l \tag{2}$$

b) to do this we follow the definition note that:

$$(CR)_{k,l} = \sum_{q} C_{k,q} R_{q,l} \tag{3}$$

If we let I range over 1, L then writing this in vector form we get:

$$(CR)_{k,:} = \sum_{q} C_{k,q} R_{q,:} \tag{4}$$

Where here  $R_{q,:}$  is the vector  $r_q^T$ :

$$(CR)_{k,:} = \sum_{q} C_{k,q} r_q^T \tag{5}$$

Now if we let k range from 1, K:

$$(CR)_{:,:} = \sum_{q} C_{:,q} r_q^T$$
 (6)

now we know that  $C_{:,q}$  is just  $c_q$  thus:

$$(CR)_{:,:} = \sum_{q} c_q r_q^T (CR) = \sum_{q} c_q r_q^T$$
 (7)

c) The maximal possible rank would thus have to be just n because each of these matrix are rank one and the sum of n rank one matrices can be at most rank n (Each matrix contributes one rank).

To prove this remember that the rank of a matrix is the dimension of its range. taking some arbitrary vector **x** we have

$$CRx = \sum_{j} c_j r_j^T x = \sum_{j} c_j (r_j^T x) = \sum_{j} (r_j^T x) c_j$$
(8)

This is just a linear combination of the  $c_j$  since there are only n  $c_j$  This can be at most n dimensional. Thus the range is at most n dimensional and the rank is at most n.

**Exercise 1.3** the necessary and sufficient conditions are that A is invertible. sufficient proof Assume A is invertible then:

$$||x + y||_A = ||A(x + y)|| = ||Ax + Ay|| \le ||Ax|| + ||Ay|| = ||x||_A + ||y||_A$$
(9)

$$||cx||_A = ||A(cx)|| = ||cAx|| = |c| ||Ax|| = |c| ||x||_A$$
 (10)

note that since A is invertible Ax = 0 iff x = 0 thus we know that if  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Ax = y \neq 0$ :

$$||x||_A = ||Ax|| = ||y|| > 0 (11)$$

by definition of norm and if x = 0, Ax = 0

$$||x||_A = ||Ax|| = ||0|| = 0 (12)$$

So all of the condition for a norm are satisfied

To prove the necessary condition assume that  $\|-\|_A$  is a norm. Assume BWOC that A is singular. Since A is singular  $\exists x, Ax = 0$  with  $x \neq 0$ 

Then taking this  $x ||x||_A = ||Ax|| = ||0|| = 0$ 

But this is a contradiction since x is nonzero. So A must be Nonsingular Exercise 1.4

First note that

$$||x||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i} |x_{i}|^{2} \le \sum_{i} \max_{k} |x_{k}|^{2} \le n \max_{k} |x_{k}^{2}| = n ||x||_{\infty}^{2} ||x||_{2}^{2} = n ||x||_{\infty}^{2}$$
(13)

$$||x||_2 = \sqrt{n} ||x||_{\infty}$$
 (14)

(15)

Now note that:

$$||x||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i} |x_{i}|^{2} \ge \max_{i} |x_{i}|^{2} = ||x||_{\infty}^{2}$$
(16)

So in our case c = 1 and  $k = \sqrt{n}$ 

Choose x=1 The ones vector for the first inequality with k and notice  $\|1\|_2=\sqrt{n}$  furthermore  $\|1\|_{\infty}=1$  thus  $\|x\|_2=\sqrt{n}\,\|x\|_{\infty}$ 

For the c inequality choose  $x = e_1$  Note that  $||e_1||_1 = 1$  and  $||e_1||_2 = \sqrt{1 + 0 + 0 + \dots} = 1$ , thus  $||x||_1 = ||x||_2$  Exercise 1.5

$$||Ax||_1 = \sum_i |\sum_j A_{ij}x_j| \le \sum_i \sum_j |A_{ij}||x_j|$$
 (17)

$$= \sum_{j} \sum_{i} |A_{ij}| |x_{j}| = \sum_{j} |x_{j}| \sum_{i} |A_{ij}| \le \sum_{j} |x_{j}| \max_{k} \sum_{i} |A_{ik}|$$
 (18)

$$= \left(\sum_{j} |x_j|\right) \max_{k} \sum_{i} |A_{ik}| \tag{19}$$

$$= ||x||_1 \max_k \sum_i |A_{ik}| \tag{20}$$

$$\Longrightarrow$$
 (21)

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} \le \max_k \sum_{i} |A_{ik}| \tag{22}$$

(23)

Note that since we need to take a supremum over all possible x values we can pick an x that achieves this bound namely  $e_k$  where k corresponds to the largest collumn sum thus:

$$||A||_{1} = \sup_{x} \frac{||Ax||_{1}}{||x||_{1}} = \max_{k} \sum_{i} |A_{ik}| = \max_{j \in [n]} ||c_{j}||_{1}$$
(24)

(25)

To prove the infinity norm statement note that:

$$||Ax||_{\infty} = \sup_{i} |\sum_{j} A_{ij} x_{j}| \tag{26}$$

$$\leq \sup_{i} \sum_{j} |A_{ij}| |x_j| \tag{27}$$

$$\leq \sup_{i} \sum_{j} |A_{ij}| \|x\|_{\infty} \tag{28}$$

This means that:

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_{\infty}}{\|x\|_{\infty}} \le \sup_{i} \sum_{j} |A_{ij}| \tag{29}$$

We can actually choose an x that achieve this bound as well.

Namely choose  $x = [sign(A_{i1}), sign(A_{i2}, ..., sign(A_{in}))]$ 

Thus:

$$||A||_{\infty} = \sup_{x} \frac{||Ax||_{\infty}}{||x||_{\infty}} = \sup_{i} \sum_{j} |A_{ij}| = \max_{j \in [m]} ||r_{j}||_{1}$$
(30)

**Exercise 1.6** To prove this take the definition of the induced norm (Note let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}, B \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ )

$$||AB|| = \max_{x} \frac{||ABx||_{m}}{||x||_{n}} \tag{31}$$

$$= \max_{x} \frac{\|A(Bx)\|_{m}}{\|x\|_{n}}$$
 (32)

$$= \max_{x} \frac{\|A(Bx)\|_{m}}{\|Bx\|_{k}} \frac{\|Bx\|_{k}}{\|x\|_{n}}$$
(33)

(34)

Setting y = Bx

$$= \max_{x} \frac{\|A(y)\|_{m}}{\|y\|_{k}} \frac{\|Bx\|_{k}}{\|x\|_{n}}$$
(35)

$$\leq \max_{x} \max_{y} \frac{\|A(y)\|_{m}}{\|y\|_{k}} \frac{\|Bx\|_{k}}{\|x\|_{n}} \tag{36}$$

$$\leq \max_{x} \max_{y} \frac{\|A(y)\|_{m}}{\|y\|_{k}} \frac{\|Bx\|_{k}}{\|x\|_{n}}$$

$$= \max_{y} \frac{\|A(y)\|_{m}}{\|y\|_{k}} \max_{x} \frac{\|Bx\|_{k}}{\|x\|_{n}}$$
(36)

$$= ||A|| \, ||B|| \tag{38}$$

For the frobenius norm note that:

$$||AB||_F^2 = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (AB)_{ij}$$
 (39)

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} |A_{ik} B_{kj}|^2 \tag{40}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} |A_{i,:}B_{:,j}|^2 \tag{41}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \|A_{i,:}\|^{2} \|B_{:,j}\|^{2} \tag{42}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i} \|A_{i,:}\|^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{j} \|B_{:,j}\|^{2}\right) \tag{43}$$

$$= (\sum_{i} \sum_{k} A_{i,k}^{2}) (\sum_{i} \sum_{k} B_{k,i}^{2})$$
(44)

$$= \|A\|_F^2 \|B\|_F^2 \tag{45}$$

In this we used the cauchy-schwarts inequality. Taking square roots of both sides yields the theorem.