

Homework 1

Exercise 2.3.8

Take some arbitrary cauchy sequence. Note that you can write it via telescoping as:

$$x_n \tag{1}$$

$$= x_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (x_{j+1} - x_j) \tag{2}$$

We need to show that for a cauchy sequence this is absolutely convergent, then that would mean that it converges and as a result x_n converges so:

To do this choose N large enough so that for $m, l > N$ we have that $\|x_m - x_l\| < \epsilon$ for some epsilon. We can do this because the sequence is cauchy. Then for $n > N$:

$$\|x_n\| = \left\| x_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (x_{j+1} - x_j) \right\| \tag{3}$$

$$\leq \|x_0\| + \left\| \sum_{j=0}^N (x_{j+1} - x_j) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{j=N+1}^{n-1} (x_{j+1} - x_j) \right\| \tag{4}$$

$$\leq \|x_0\| + \|x_N - x_0\| + \|x_n - x_{N+1}\| \tag{5}$$

$$\leq \|x_0\| + \|x_N - x_0\| + \epsilon \tag{6}$$

Now choose $\epsilon < 1$ from here:

$$\leq \|x_0\| + \|x_n - x_0\| + 1 < \infty \tag{7}$$

This is less than infinity because the other two norms are positive. so This series converges absolutely! Namely the series $x_0 + (x_1 - x_0) + (x_2 - x_1) + \dots + (x_n - x_{n-1}) = x_n$ converges absolutely. Because it converges absolutely we know that $x_0 + (x_1 - x_0) + (x_2 - x_1) + \dots + (x_n - x_{n-1}) = x_n$ converges. So

$$x_n \tag{8}$$

must also converge. And since x_n was cauchy then the space is complete since every cauchy sequence converges.

Exercise 2.3.10 To prove this assume that a normed space has a schauder basis. that means that for every $x \in X$ we have a unique sequence of scalars:

$$\|x - (\alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_n e_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{9}$$

or that the partial sums converge to x . Define the space P to be the set of all finite series in the schauder basis $\sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k e_k$ (n is not fixed, β is rational).

We show that any point x is also a limit point of things in P . Take $x \in X$

$$\|x - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k e_k\| \quad (10)$$

$$\leq \|x - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k\| + \|\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k e_k\| \quad (11)$$

$$\leq \|x - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k\| + \|\sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k - \beta_k) e_k\| \quad (12)$$

$$\leq \|x - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k\| + \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k - \beta_k| \|e_k\| \quad (13)$$

$$(14)$$

From here note that we can always choose n large enough so that the first term is less than $\epsilon/2$ this just follows from the definition of the schauder basis.

Given this n we can choose β_k close enough to α_k (by density of the rationals) to be $|\alpha_k - \beta_k| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2n\|e_k\|}$ thus:

$$\leq \epsilon/2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\epsilon/2n) = \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 \quad (15)$$

$$= \epsilon \quad (16)$$

So we can get arbitrarily close to anything in x with a thing from P . Now note that P is countable. This follows from the fact that Q is countable and we are only taking finite sums over the countable schauder basis.

Exercise 2.7.6

To do this note first that by problem 5 the operator defined by $y = (\eta_j)$ where $y = Tx, \eta_j = \xi_j/j, x = \xi_j$ is linear and bounded in other words if:

$$x = (x_1, \dots) \in l^\infty \quad (17)$$

$$Tx = (\frac{x_1}{1}, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots) \quad (18)$$

then this operator is linear and bounded.

To do this note that if $y \in R(T)$ then there is an x such that $y_j = \frac{x_j}{j}$ furthermore we know that x_j is in l^∞ so $\max_j x_j = \max_j y_j j < \infty$

So for y to be in the range it must be bounded even when multiplied by j .

Take the sequence $y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}$ clearly this is in the closure of $R(T)$ because we can take

$x = (\sqrt{1}, \sqrt{2}, \dots, \sqrt{n}, 0, \dots)$ and have that:

$$\|x - y\| = \max_j \left| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}, \dots \right) \right| \quad (19)$$

$$= \max_j \left| (0, 0, \dots, 0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}, \dots) \right| \quad (20)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \quad (21)$$

So we can get arbitrarily close to this vector. However we know that the vector $x = (1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{4}, \dots)$ that would achieve this is unbounded. so $x \notin l^\infty$. As a result the range of T is not closed

Exercise 2.7.8 To prove this we do it by contradiction. Assume there was a bound so that $\|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|$ for all x . then remember that $T^{-1} : R(T) \rightarrow D(T)$ is defined by :

$$T^{-1}y = (y_1 * 1, y_2 * 2, \dots, y_j * j, \dots) \quad (22)$$

Choose $K > M, K \in \mathbb{Z}$. from here take the vector $y = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ where the ones take up K positions. Note that $y \in R(T)$ just take $x = (1, 2, \dots, K, 0, \dots)$ clearly $x \in l^\infty$ so that $Tx = y$.

from here note that $T^{-1}y = x$ from what we just showed and that $\max_j |x_j| = K$ however that means that:

$$\|T^{-1}y\| = \|x\| = \max_j |x_j| = K \quad (23)$$

Furthermore note that y is unit norm so:

$$\|T^{-1}y\| = \|x\| = K = K\|y\| \quad (24)$$

but this is a contradiction because $K > M$ and we assumed that M was the bound. Thus T^{-1} is unbounded.

Exercise 2.9.10 So the idea for this problem is that we actually need to create a basis. Choose the basis for X so that we have a basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ for Z and a separate basis for $X - Z$ b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n (Note that both of these are nonepty by the fact that this is a proper subspace). Choose $b_{k+1} = x_0$ (we are allowed to do this since we can just pull other linearly independent vectors in). First notice that this is a basis for the whole space because any thing in X is either in Z or not in Z ($X = (X \cap Z^c) \cup (X \cap Z)$). From here define the linear functional by:

$$f(z_j) = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$f(b_{k+1}) = 1 \quad (26)$$

$$f(b_j) = 1 \quad (27)$$

From this we know that linear functionals are uniquely defined by their action on the basis vectors so that:

$$x = \xi_1 z_1 + \cdots + \xi_k z_k + \xi_{k+1} b_{k+1} + \cdots + \xi_n b_n \quad (28)$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j f(z_j) + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \xi_j f(b_j) \quad (29)$$

$$= \sum_{j=k+1}^n \xi_j f(b_j) \quad (30)$$

So if $x \in Z$ then clearly ξ_k is only nonzero for the first k values (since those are a basis). In other words the last $n - (k + 1)$ elements are zero corresponding to the basis for $X - Z$. thus:

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=k+1}^n \xi_j f(z_j) \quad (31)$$

$$= 0 \text{ since } \xi_j = 0 \text{ for } j \geq k + 1 \quad (32)$$

However if $x = x_0$ then $x = 1 * b_{k+1} = 1 * x_0 = x_0$ and $\xi_{k+1} = 1$ but zero everywhere else so

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=k+1}^n \xi_j f(b_j) = \xi_{k+1} f(b_{k+1}) = f(b_{k+1}) = f(x_0) = 1 \quad (33)$$

Thus it is proven.

Exercise A take :

$$|f(x)| \leq \|f\| \|x\| \quad (34)$$

now note that $0 \in N(f)$, $f(0) = 0 * f(0) = 0$ so that $d(x, Y) \leq d(x, \{0\}) = \|x\|$ thus:

$$\leq \|f\| d(x, Y) \quad (35)$$

For this one note that $\|f\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |f(x)|$. Or that it is the supremum of all vectors of unit length. Since it is a supremum we can get arbitrarily close to it with some u this means that we can get within $\epsilon \|f\|$ distance or $f(u) \geq \|f\| - \epsilon \|f\| = (1 - \epsilon) \|f\|$ where u is unit length by definition of norm.

now note that

$$y = x - \frac{f(x)}{f(u)} u \in Y \text{ because:} \quad (36)$$

$$f(y) = f(x) - \frac{f(x)}{f(u)} f(u) = 0 \quad (37)$$

so

$$d(x, Y) \leq \|x - y\| = \|x - (x - \frac{f(x)}{f(u)}u)\| \quad (38)$$

$$= \|\frac{f(x)}{f(u)}u\| \quad (39)$$

$$= \frac{|f(x)|}{|f(u)|}\|u\| \quad (40)$$

$$= \frac{|f(x)|}{|f(u)|} \leq \frac{|f(x)|}{(1 - \epsilon)\|f\|} \quad (41)$$

So in total:

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|f\|d(x, Y) \leq |f(x)| \quad (42)$$

Taking the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ we get:

$$\|f\|d(x, Y) \leq |f(x)| \quad (43)$$

So thus $\|f\|d(x, Y) \leq |f(x)|$

Exercise B (HELP, B seems to easy)

To do this take:

$$\|f(x)\| = \|\int_0^1 x(t)z(t)dt\| \quad (44)$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 |x(t)||z(t)|dt \quad (45)$$

$$\leq \max |x(t)| \int_0^1 |z(t)|dt \quad (46)$$

$$= \|x\| \int_0^1 |z(t)|dt \quad (47)$$

So we know that the norm is bounded by this value. Take

$$x = \begin{cases} t/a & \text{if } t < a \\ 1 & \text{if } t > a \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

This is a continuous function and satisfies $x(0) = 0$, $\|x\| = 1$ for $a \in (0, 1]$. and note that:

$$|f(x)| \leq \int_0^a t/a|z(t)|dt + \int_a^1 |z(t)|dt \quad (49)$$

$$< \int_0^a 1 * |z(t)|dt + \int_a^1 |z(t)|dt \quad (50)$$

$$= \int_0^1 |z(t)|dt \quad (51)$$

So we have a strict inequality. however we can choose a arbitrarily small so that the first integral can be made smaller than ϵ . In that way we can get arbitrarily close using a unit norm x to $\int_0^1 |z(t)|dt$ The details of this are as follows:

$$\int_0^1 z(t)dt - \int_0^a t/az(t)dt - \int_a^1 z(t)dt \quad (52)$$

$$\int_0^a z(t) - \frac{t}{a}z(t)dt \quad (53)$$

$$\int_0^a (1 - \frac{t}{a})z(t)dt \quad (54)$$

$$\leq \int_0^a z(t)dt \quad (55)$$

Note that since z is bounded (by continuity on compact subset):

$$\| \int_0^1 z(t)dt - \int_0^a t/az(t)dt - \int_a^1 z(t)dt \| \leq \int_0^a |z(t)|dt \quad (56)$$

$$\leq aM \quad (57)$$

So we can choose a to make to make this quantity as small as we want. so we know then that the supremum of all such x over a is in fact $\int_0^1 |z(t)|dt$ but since $z(t) > 0$ we always have that little term in front that makes it so that no x actually attains it (that is unit norm).

b) To show this, first we know it is bounded by the above theorem. Secondly we know it is linear because:

$$f(ax + by) = \int_0^1 (ax(t) + by(t))z(t)dt \quad (58)$$

$$= a \int_0^1 x(t)z(t)dt + b \int_0^1 y(t)z(t)dt \quad (59)$$

So then we know by corollary 2,7-10 of the book that if T is a bounded linear operator then the null space is closed. So this is a bounded linear operator, a special case as a functional. So its null space is closed

Its a proper subspace because since $z(t) > 0$ if we choose

$$x = \begin{cases} t/a & \text{if } t < a \\ 1 & \text{if } t > a \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

then as before we will have

$$f(x) = \int_0^a t/az(t)dt + \int_a^1 z(t)dt \quad (61)$$

$$> 0 \quad (62)$$

Since all of the quantities involved are strictly positive. So we know that there are things in X that are not in the null space. So it is a proper subspace.

c) To show this take f for part A to be our example here then:

$$\int_0^1 |z(t)| dt d(x, Y) = \left| \int_0^1 x(t) z(t) dt \right| \quad (63)$$

$$d(x, Y) = \frac{\left| \int_0^1 x(t) z(t) dt \right|}{\int_0^1 |z(t)| dt} \quad (64)$$

$$d(x, Y) = \frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|} \quad (65)$$

However we know that for any $x \in X$ (if $\|x\| = 1$) we always have $|f(x)| < \|f\|$ by part a. So thus we have that:

$$d(x, Y) < 1 \quad (66)$$

So this quantity can not be greater than or equal to one.