BD/Finhealth: Findings from our pre-registered survey

Jeff Brozena February 14, 2025

Penn State University

Agenda

- 1. Introduce survey design
- 2. Describe preregistration
- 3. Review main findings
- 4. Discuss exploratory analysis possibilities
- 5. Discuss venues for publication

Research Questions & Survey Design

Primary Research Questions

- 1. For individuals living with bipolar disorder, what factors contribute to level of comfort in financial data sharing for third-party financial interventions?
- 2. How do data sharing attitudes change with variations in a) who initiates an intervention, b) intervention context, and c) mood state?
- 3. How do prior illness-related experiences contribute to data sharing attitudes for third-party financial interventions?

Survey Design - Factorial Vignette Experiment

We performed a factorial vignette experiment that varied **3 total factors** to explore comfort with financial interventions. Participants rated each scenario on a scale of 0-10.

| Factor | Levels |
|----------------------|--|
| Actors | Clinicians Care partners Banks |
| Intervention Context | Share spending details Planning & budgeting 48-hour spending restriction |
| Mood State | During a mood episode During stable mood |

An example vignette: "The app uses your financial data so that it can <u>share your spending</u> <u>behavior patterns</u> with your **clinicians** during a mood episode. How comfortable are you with this scenario?"

Survey Design - Additional Variables

| Topic | Variable |
|-------------------|--|
| Clinical history | Bipolar subtype |
| | Age at diagnosis |
| | Prior hospitalizations (yes/no) |
| | Psychiatric advance directive in place (yes/no) |
| | Big Five (BFI-10) |
| Financial history | If they have considered or declared bankruptcy |
| | If they have asked care partners for help with |
| | money issues |
| | Primary financial goal |
| | Use of Pay Later services (yes/no) |
| | Consumer Financial Protection Score |
| Third-party trust | How easy it is to trust banks, care partners, and clinicians (5-item Likert) |



Preregistered Hypotheses

- X H1.1: Comfort ratings will be lower during stable periods vs. symptomatic periods
- X H1.2: Among intervention levels, comfort ratings will be highest for shared spending behaviors during mood episodes
- V H1.3: Among intervention levels, comfort ratings for spending restrictions will be higher during mood episodes vs. during stable periods
- 🔽 H2.1: Among intervention levels, comfort ratings will be lowest for spending restrictions
- V H2.2: Evidence of advanced planning behaviors (operationalized as the prior creation of a psychiatric advanced directive) will lead to higher comfort ratings when sharing spending behaviors
- V H2.3: Evidence of adverse financial events (operationalized as prior bankruptcy) will lead to higher comfort ratings of spending restrictions
- V H3.1: Comfort will be highest for care partners
- W H3.2: Higher levels of trust in third parties will be associated with higher comfort ratings for those respective third parties
- W H3.3: Prior requests for third-party aid in managing impulsive spending behaviors will be associated with higher comfort ratings across all third-party actors (versus those who have not requested help)
- 🔽 H4.1: Agreeableness will have a significant (non-directional) effect on level of comfort.

Directions for exploratory analysis

- Predictors of trust in third parties
- Predictors of openness to care partner help with finances
- Impact of late diagnosis on CFPB score (including diagnostic subtype, hospitalization, bankruptcy)
- impact of pay later services use on CFPB score

Thoughts on any other potential analysis?

