## RL-Welz-7 Practice Assignment

1) Which of the following is the most important advantage that value function approximators bring to RL?	
They reduce the amount of memory required to store the value function.	
They provide the ability to deal with continuous state and action spaces.	
The ability to generalize, that is, predict the value of unseen states by learning from a finite set of samples. ( most umbt ad	u.)
2) Consider the following update rule for value function approximation:	
$w_{t+1} := w_t - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \nabla_{w_t} \left[ q_*(s_t, a_t) - f(s_t, a_t; w_t) \right]^2$ — Least 19. metrical Why can't we use this rule in its current form to estimate the weights of the function approximator?	
_ $\bigcirc$ The gradient of $f$ with respect to $w_t$ may be hard to compute	
We only have a finite number of samples to learn $f$ be don't know the value of $q_*(s_t,a_t)$ be don't have the value of $f$ the	
We don't know the value of $q_*(s_t,a_t)$ We don't have the right $q_*(s_t,a_t)$	*
$\boldsymbol{n}$	Gly of
3) The difference between successive weight vectors in the case of linear function approximation can be written as the learning rate times the product of two quantities. Which are these two quantities?	•
TD error	
The weight vector at the current time step $\alpha = \alpha = \alpha = \alpha$	
The weight vector at the next time step	
The feature vector for the current state-action pair	
4) In the lectures, the lookup table for the advertising problem was expressed in the form of a linear function approximator with a weight vector in R <sup>4</sup> ) If the weights 1 point are learnt using the semi-gradient method with Q-learning TD-target, the resulting algorithm is equivalent to which of the following tabular control algorithms that use the lookup table?	
SARSA	
Q-learning —	
It neither equivalent to SARSA nor Q-learning.	
5) Consider a bounded, 2D square area of size $100  m^2$ over which a robot is going to operate. This area is divided into a uniform grid such that each cell is a square of side $10  cm$ . Four actions are permitted from each cell: forward, backward, left, right. The lookup table for the $Q$ function is represented using a linear function	
approximator with a one-hot encoding for each state-action pair. How many components would this feature vector have?	
10 0.10m × 1000 => 10 m	
\ <del>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</del>	
1000 = Cells = 10000 X	4 acheris
(4000C	
6) In the naive method of state aggregation such as we have in a gird world, the assumption that states that are close together have similar values breaks down for 1 point which of the following scenarios?	
A pair of states that are in the middle of some cell.	
A pair of states that are close to the border with both of them lying in the same cell.	<del></del>
A pair of states that are close to the border with both of them lying in different cells.	•







