Comparison Tables

	High-Level Langua	ges
		guage High-Level Language
	Minimal	•
	Hard to read	
-	-	Slower than low-level
	Low	
Examples	Assembly, Mac	hine Code Python, Java, C++
Interpreted vs.	Compiled Languag	ges
Feature	Interpreted Lan	guage Compiled Language
		Full compilation before execution
Speed	Slower	Faster
Error Detection	n During executi	on Before execution
Portability	High	Platform-dependent
Examples	Python, JavaSci	ipt C, C++
Programming	vs. Scripting Langu	ages
Feature		anguage Scripting Language
Main Purpose	General-purp	ose apps Automation, web scripts
Compilation	Often compiled	Often interpreted
Performance	Faster	Slower
Usage	Complex software	e, OS Web development, automation
Examples	C, Java, C++	Python, JavaScript, Bash
Open Source v	vs. Not Open Sourc	е
		Software Not Open Source Software
	i	I .

Code Availability | Publicly available | Restricted access Modification | Can be modified | Cannot be modified Cost | Often free | Usually paid or licensed Security | Can be reviewed | Security depends on vendor Examples | Linux, Python, MySQL | Windows, MATLAB, Oracle Support OOP vs. Not Supporting OOP -----| Supports OOP | Does Not Support OOP Feature

-----|-----|

OOP Principles | Yes | No

Code Reusability | High | Low

Modularity | Strong | Weak

Examples | Java, Python, C++ | C, Assembly, Bash

Generated by ChatGPT