

Mining Quasi-Periodic Communities in Temporal Network (Missing Proves)

Proof of Theorem 2. For each iteration in line 2 of Algorithm 1, the size of *candSet* is smaller than the number of QPTs of length from 2 to $\sigma-1$ in sub-sequence $(T[0], T[1], \dots, T[i-1])$. Based on Theorem 1, $|candSet|$ for any i is smaller than $\sum_{j=2}^{\sigma-1} T[i-1]^2 (T[i-1]\epsilon + 1)^{j-1} \leq T_{max}^2 \sum_{j=2}^{\sigma-1} (T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{j-1} < T_{max}^2 \frac{(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}}{T_{max}\epsilon}$. So the time complexity of Algorithm 1 is $O(T_{max}^3 (T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-2})$.

In Algorithm 1, *QPT* and *candSet* dominate the overall space complexity. *QPT* is the set of all QPTs of length σ in T , so $|QPT|$ is not greater than $T_{max}^2 (T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}$. Recall that $|candSet| < T_{max}^2 \frac{(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}}{T_{max}\epsilon}$, the space complexity of Algorithm 1 is $O(\sigma(|QPT| + |candSet|)) = O(T_{max}^2 (T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1})$.

Proof of Theorem 3. For (1), since $D_{min}^{T'}$ is the smallest value in $D^{T'}$ and $T' \subseteq T$, there must be a DAG $DAG_{D_{min}^{T'}} \in \mathcal{DAG}$. Since T' is an (ϵ, σ) -QPT, we have $D_{min}^{T'} \leq T'[i] - T'[i-1] \leq D_{min}^{T'}(\epsilon + 1)$ and $(T'[i-1], T'[i]) \in DAG_{D_{min}^{T'}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \sigma-1$. So T' is a path of length σ in $DAG_{D_{min}^{T'}}$.

For (2), it is clear that $u_i \in T, i = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$ and $d \leq u_{i+1} - u_i \leq d(1 + \epsilon), i = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma-1$, so p is an (ϵ, σ) -QPT in T .

Proof of Theorem 4. In Algorithm 2, there are at most $|T|^2$ iterations in line 2-3. In line 5, there are at most $\frac{T_{max}\epsilon}{\epsilon+1} + 1$ iterations. As a result, the time complexity of Algorithm 2 is $O(|T|^2 (\frac{T_{max}\epsilon}{\epsilon+1} + 1))$.

Since there are at most $|T|$ items in each DAG_d and $|D^T| < T_{max}$, so the space complexity of Algorithm 2 is $O(|T|T_{max})$.

Proof of Theorem 5. In line 2 of Algorithm 3, there are at most T_{max} different DAG_d . In line 3, to reverse a DAG the algorithm needs to traverse the DAG, which needs at most $|T|(d\epsilon + 1)$ operations. The algorithm also needs to traverse DAG and \overline{DAG} in line 5-8. In line 9, there are at most $|T|$ key edges. In line 12, the algorithm needs to enumerate *pre* for at most $\sigma - 1$ times. In line 13-18, there are three tasks: conducting hDFS on DAG and \overline{DAG} (line 17, line 16), splicing paths of two directions (line 18). hDFS searches for all paths of length *leftLen* starting from *start* and the number of such paths is at most $(d\epsilon + 1)^{leftLen-1}$. With the help of *maxLen* and *maxLen*, hDFS never visits vertices where no path of required length starts. For each single path of required length and for each vertex u on the path, hDFS traverses all $(d\epsilon + 1)$ neighbors of u in the worst case to find the next vertex of the path, so the time complexity of finding a single path is $(d\epsilon + 1)(leftLen - 1)$. In line 18, the time complexity of splicing paths of two directions is $\sigma|preAns||postAns| =$

$\sigma(d\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-2}$. Overall, since *leftLen* $\leq \sigma - 1$, the time complexity of line 13-18 is $O(2(\sigma-2)(d\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1} + \sigma(d\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-2})$. In DeleteKeyEdge, if *maxLen*[*curNode*] is given *longest* + 1 and *longest* + 1 $\geq \sigma - 1$ (line 40), then all successors of *curNode* in DAG do not need to be updated, so in the worst case, there are at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\sigma-1} (d\epsilon + 1)^{i-1} = \frac{(d\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1} - 1}{d\epsilon}$ nodes being visited in each call to DeleteKeyEdge, and all their direct successors in \overline{DAG} should also be visited. As a result, the time complexity of DeleteKeyEdge is $O((d\epsilon + 1)^{\frac{(d\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1} - 1}{d\epsilon}})$. Overall, since $d < T_{max}$, the time complexity of Algorithm 3 is $O\left(T_{max}\left(4|T|(T_{max}\epsilon + 1) + |T|\left\{(\sigma-1)[2(\sigma-2)(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1} + \sigma(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-2}] + (T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\frac{(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1} - 1}{T_{max}\epsilon}}\right\}\right)\right)$,

which can be abbreviated as $O(T_{max}^2 (T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1})$.

Clearly, the main space consumption of Algorithm 3 is caused by \mathcal{DAG} and the set of QPTs *QPT*. As a result, the space complexity of Algorithm 3 is $O(T_{max}^2 (T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1})$.

Proof of Theorem 6. For any MQPCore $\mathcal{C} = (C, T)$ and $C = (V_C, E_C)$, suppose $u \in V_C$, it is clear that for $t \in T$, $deg_{SN_t}(u) \geq k$, so $T \in QPTSET_u$. Let (G_S, T) be a $QPCS_u$. If $C \not\subseteq G_S$, then it is easy to construct a larger QPCS (G'_S, T) that $G_S \subset G'_S$, which breaks the precondition. So $C \subseteq G_S$.

Proof of Theorem 7. We first prove that any MQPCore can be enumerated by Algorithm 4. For any MQPCore $\mathcal{C} = (C, T)$, suppose $C = (V_C, E_C)$, it is clear that $C \subseteq G_c$ (G_c is defined in line 2 of Algorithm 4). Before the vertex in V_C that will be traversed first in line 5 of Algorithm 4 is traversed, no vertex in V_C will be deleted, i.e., be added into X in line 14 and line 22 (since $\forall v \in V_C, deg_C(v) \geq k$). For the first vertex u in V_C being traversed in line 5, T must be a sub-sequence of $t_k(u)$, because u is in C and \mathcal{C} is a MQPCore on time sequence T . As a result, $T \in QPTSET_u$. In line 9 of Algorithm 4, $(T, u) \in L$ indicates that u has been visited as part of a $QPCS_{u'}$ ($G_{u'}, T$) (u' is a vertex which is traversed before u). Clearly, $C \subseteq G_{u'}$ because $G_{u'}$ is a maximal connected subgraph according to Definition 9. As a result, $\mathcal{C} = (C, T)$ can be generated in the second stage in traversing u' . If $(T, u) \notin L$ in line 9, then (G_u, T) (G_u is computed in line 10) is the $QPCS_u$. So $\mathcal{C} = (C, T)$ can be generated in the second stage in traversing u .

Next we prove that any tuple (C, T) generated by Algorithm 4 is a MQPCore in \mathcal{G} . Let (C, T) be one of the connected k -cores in line 12 of Algorithm 4, and suppose (C, T) is not

a MQPCore in \mathcal{G} , there must be a MQPCore in \mathcal{G} , (C', T) , where $C \subset C'$. Recall the previous proof, let the first vertex in C' being traversed in line 5 of Algorithm 4 is u' , if $(T, u') \in L$ in line 9, then some QPCS containing C' has been generated before, and for each vertex v in C' , (T, v) has been recorded in L (line 11) and will be skipped later. So we can not obtain (C, T) but only (C', T) , which contradicts the conditions before. It is the same that only (C', T) will be generated if $(T, u') \notin L$.

Proof of Theorem 8. In line 2, the time complexity of computing G_c is $O(|V| + |E|)$, and $|V_c| \leq |V|, |E_c| \leq |E|$. In line 5, the cost for sorting is $O(|V| \log(|V|))$. In line 7, $|t_k(u)| \leq |T| \leq T_{max}$, and ComputeQPTSET is implemented using QPT+, so the total time complexity of ComputeQPTSET is $O(|V|T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1})$. According to Theorem 1, the size of $QPTSET_u$ (line 7) is smaller than $T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}$. For each qpt in line 8 and in the worst case, the total size of G_u is $|V| + |E|$. In computing G_u , the time complexity of checking whether $qpt \subseteq ST_G((u', v'))$ in line 10 is $O(|qpt|) = O(\sigma)$. Combining line 11-13 with the previous lines, the overall time complexity of line 8-13 is $O(T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}(|V| + |E|))$. The total cost in line 14-22 is at most $O(|V| + |E|)$. As a result, the complexity of Algorithm 4 is $O(T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}(|V| + |E|))$.

In Algorithm 4, since $|QPTSET_u| \leq T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}$, L stores at most $T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}|V|$ tuples, so the space complexity of L is $O(T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}|V|)$. In the worst case, the total space consumed by all (C', qpt) in line 13 for the same qpt is $|V| + |E|$, so the space complexity of \mathcal{M} is $O(T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}(|V| + |E|))$. Together with space consumed by ComputeQPTSET and X, Q , the space complexity of Algorithm 4 is $O(T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}(|V| + |E|))$.

Proof of Theorem 10. We first prove that any MQPClique can be enumerated by Algorithm 5. For any MQPClique $\mathcal{C} = (C, T)$ and $C = (V_C, E_C)$, it is clear that $C \subseteq G_c$. As in the proof of Theorem 7, suppose the first vertex in V_C being visited in line 5 is u , no vertex in V_C will be deleted before u is visited. When u is visited, $T \in (\epsilon, \sigma, k-1)$ - $QPTSET_u$ since \mathcal{C} is a MQPClique on time sequence T , so T will be traversed in line 8. In line 15, P represents the set of remaining vertices in $QPN S_u$ excluding u on the pruned graph (pruning rule 1), and it serves as the candidate set for the BKPivot procedure. As a result, \mathcal{C} can be enumerated by BKPivot.

Then we prove that any tuple $\mathcal{C} = (C, T) \in \mathcal{M}$ (originally referred to as (R, qpt) in line 28) generated by Algorithm 5 is a MQPClique in \mathcal{G} . Suppose \mathcal{C} is obtained in traversing u , it is clear that \mathcal{C} is a quasi-periodic clique, because \mathcal{C} is enumerated in $QPN S_u$. In line 28, $X = \emptyset$ indicates that \mathcal{C} is also a maximal quasi-periodic clique, i.e., a MQPClique.

Proof of Theorem 11. In Algorithm 5, in the worst case we need to compute $QPN S_u$ for each vertex u and each $qpt \in QPTSET_u$. The total time complexity of the above process is $O(|V|T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}(|V| + |E|))$. The time complexity of enumerating maximal cliques in a static graph with vertex set V is $O(3^{|V|/3})$ [8]. So the total time complexity

of BKPivot procedure is $O(|V|T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}3^{|V|/3})$. Considering ComputeQPTSET in line 7 and the sorting in line 5, the total time complexity of Algorithm 5 is $O(T_{max}^2(T_{max}\epsilon + 1)^{\sigma-1}|V|3^{|V|/3})$.