

# Haskell for everyone!

## (part 1: lightning talk)

Franklin Chen (@FranklinChen)  
Pittsburgh Haskell (@PghHaskell)  
Code and Supply (@CodeAndSupply)

February 2, 2015

# Outline

# Agenda for today's meeting

- Introductions (abbreviated because of large turnout)
- Pittsburgh Haskell's mission statement
- Lightning talk: the big picture
- Hands-on guided coding workshop

# What Haskell means to me

Haskell is the *only* programming language that

- I am still *actively* using **20 years** after. . .
- . . . I first learned it
- . . . and used it in real life!

# My Haskell history

- 1991: finished college, majoring in physics
  - ▶ no CS or programming courses
  - ▶ no coding
- 1992: dropped out of physics grad school, needed new career
  - ▶ so I taught myself C, Unix
- 1993: first job as software engineer
- **1994: discovered Haskell on shareware floppies**
- 1995: wrote Haskell code for internal tool at job
- 1996: taught myself CS to prepare to apply to grad school
- 1997: admitted to CS PhD program at Yale
  - ▶ declined admission: did not join Yale Haskell research group
  - ▶ attended CMU instead, stopped using Haskell
- 2012: **15 years** later, regained interest in Haskell
- 2015: finally using Haskell at work again

# Why Pittsburgh Haskell?

Mission statement from <http://PittsburghHaskell.org/>:

- The Pittsburgh Haskell meetup is for everyone who is currently using or interested in learning the Haskell programming language (and related languages such as [PureScript](#), [Elm](#), [Idris](#)).
- We want to create and grow a *fun* and friendly local community of Haskell developers of all levels of experience, through learning and sharing exciting ideas, useful libraries, and insights gained from building things.
- We will emphasize practical hands-on coding as a way to both write useful programs and also deepen understanding of foundational concepts that are useful and applicable beyond just Haskell.

# History

# Why Haskell was created in 1990 (25 years ago)

- 1980s: frustration for languages researchers and implementers
  - ▶ many different competing lazy, purely functional languages
  - ▶ practical need for a unified community and code base
- *committee* formed to create a new language from scratch



# Haskell community culture: pragmatism

- compiler hackers, theorists, everyday programmers
- lively debates within community
- emphasis on *shipping*
  - ▶ awareness of tradeoffs
  - ▶ not about up-front perfection
- emphasis on experimentation and evolution
  - ▶ using feature toggles (like GCC)
- emphasis on providing many useful features (like Perl)
  - ▶ not elegant minimalism
- many (most?) contributors work in private industry, not academia

# How has Haskell turned out?

## • Pros

- ▶ main compiler, **GHC** is still the main compiler 25 years later!!
- ▶ huge amount of *continuous* language evolution
- ▶ example: **GHC 7.10** soon to be released this month with exciting new features

## • Cons

- ▶ hacks or mistakes have to be reversed
- ▶ legacy, limited features and lingo
- ▶ still missing important features
- ▶ lack of formal semantics
  - ★ theorists originally intended to develop one
  - ★ language quickly got too complex, big

# Language features

# All of Haskell, in 4 buzzwords

- Typed
- Purely functional
- Lazy
- Sweet

Typed

# What are expressions?

## Expression:

- a source code fragment, a piece of *syntax*
- evaluates, when run, to a **value**
- exists only in your source code
- does not exist inside a running process: values exist there

## Example expressions:

```
12          -- evaluates to number of months in a year
12 + 3      -- evaluates to fifteen
"apple"     -- evaluates to a string with 5 chars
length "apple" + length "banana" -- evaluates to eleven
```

# What are types?

## Type:

- a cookie-cutter *template* for some kind of “shape”
- every **expression** in Haskell must have at least one valid type
- example expressions with type annotations:

```
-- Type names must be Capitalized
```

```
aSum :: Int
```

```
aSum = 12 + 3
```

```
aGreeting :: String
```

```
aGreeting = "hello" ++ " " ++ "world"
```

- if an expression does not have a type, compilation fails.

```
doesNotCompile = length 12 -- no valid type
```

- typed programming: “fitting” shaped expressions together

# Where and when do types exist?

## Types

- **do not exist at run time!**
- exist *only* for the programmer and compiler
- are assigned to source code fragments, not to data
- are *not* attached to data in a running process



# Functions have types

In Haskell, functions have type  $X \rightarrow Y$  where:

- $X$  is the input parameter's type
- $Y$  is the return value's type

```
increment :: Int -> Int
```

```
increment i = i + 1
```

```
isTooLong :: String -> Bool
```

```
isTooLong word = length word > 12
```

# What about “multiple parameters” to functions?

“Multiple parameters” are simulated:

- (most commonly) using a return type that itself is a function type that takes the “next” parameter as its parameter

```
greet :: String -> String -> String -> String
-- sugar for: String -> (String -> (String -> String))
```

```
greet greeting name terminator =
    greeting ++ ", " ++ name ++ terminator
```

- (less commonly) using a tuple type for input

```
greetTupled :: (String, String, String) -> String
greetTupled (greeting, name, terminator) =
    greeting ++ ", " ++ name ++ terminator
```

# “Tagged union” types (aka enums, variant records)

Tagged union type:

- one or more variants, each *tagged* with a *data constructor*
- each variant has one or more fields

```
-- Actual definition in standard library.
```

```
-- 2 variants, both with 0 fields attached
```

```
data Bool = False | True
```

```
-- 'Yes' variant has 2 fields, 'No' has 1 field,
```

```
-- 'Ignore' has 0 fields
```

```
data OptIn = Yes AccountNumber | No Why | Ignore
```

```
violateMyPrivacy :: OptIn
```

```
violateMyPrivacy = Yes 1234
```

# Which of these is not like the others, and why?

- `Int`
- `List`
- `String`

# Why `List` is not a simple type

```
list1 :: List -- not legal Haskell
```

```
list1 = [12, "hello", True]
```

```
list2 :: List -- not legal Haskell
```

```
list2 = [False, 7]
```

```
-- What could MysteryType possibly be?
```

```
nthElem :: Int -> List -> MysteryType
```

```
addFirsts :: List -> List -> Int
```

```
addFirsts list1 list2 =
```

```
    nthElem 0 list1 + nthElem 0 list2
```

# Type constructors

`List` is a type constructor, not a simple type.

## Type constructor:

- a *type level function* (run only at compile time) that returns a type
- also called “higher-kinded type” (very confusing)

## Analogy:

- a type is a cookie-cutter template for a shape
- a type constructor is a *machine* that takes cookie-cutter templates and builds a new cookie-cutter template
- the compiler runs the machine for you so that you can use the resulting cookie-cutter template

## Programming with type constructors:

- defining machines that make types

# The List type constructor

Note: type parameters for a type constructor are often called “generic types” or “type variables”

```
-- 'List' has type parameter 'elemType'
data List elemType = End
                    | Construct elemType (List elemType)

-- Applying 'List' type constructor to 'Int' returns a type
-- as though we manually wrote:
data ListInt = End | Construct Int ListInt

ourList :: List Int
ourList = Construct 7 (Construct 42 (Construct 12 End))
```

## List: Haskell's special syntax

Haskell's actual list constructor syntax uses:

- brackets
- infix operator `:`

*-- For illustration*

```
data [elemType] = [] -- pronounced "nil"
                  | elemType : [elemType] -- pronounced "cons"
```

```
unsweetList :: [Int]
```

```
unsweetList = 7 : (42 : (12 : []))
```

```
sweetList :: [Int]
```

```
sweetList = [7, 42, 12]
```



# Polymorphic functions: functions with parameters of type variables

**Polymorphic function:** another kind of *template*.

- (different meaning of word “polymorphic” from OO world)

```
-- "lifting"
--
-- "for all types 'input' and 'output', convert any function
-- on an element type into a function from the list type of
-- that element"
map :: (input -> output) -> ([input] -> [output])

length :: [elem] -> Int

allCaps :: String -> String
allCaps s = map Char.toUpper s
```

# Tons of even fancier types

- outside the scope of today's session
- types are the foundation of Haskell's practical usefulness in
  - ▶ reducing boilerplate
  - ▶ precisely expressing design

# Purely functional

# What does “pure” mean in Haskell?

A **pure function**

- returns the same result when passed the same argument values
- does not cause an observable side effect

How can this possibly work in the real world?!

# “Effects as a service”: the `IO` type constructor API

The standard library defines type constructor `IO` a.

- In a standalone program:
  - ▶ we provide entry point `main :: IO ()`
  - ▶ runtime performs effects through `main`
- In GHCi interpreter:
  - ▶ REPL treats top level `IO` a expressions specially and performs them rather than returning their values

# IO API sampler

```
-- effect: read from stdin
getLine :: IO String

-- effect: print to stdout
putStrLn :: String -> IO ()

-- effect: read contents of file
readFile :: FilePath -> IO String

-- convenient "do" notation to use API
main :: IO ()
main = do      -- "begin block for IO context"
    s <- getLine -- "get string s from stdin"
    putStrLn ("hello " ++ s ++ "!!")
```

# Type classes: Haskell's notion of interfaces

```
-- In standard library:
-- type 'showable' belongs to type class 'Show'
-- if 'show' is defined for 'showable'
class Show showable where
    show :: showable -> String

-- / Our own type.
data Color = Red | Blue

-- / define 'Color' to belong to type class 'Show'
instance Show Color where
    show Red = "red"
    show Blue = "blue"

c :: Color
c = Blue
```

## Type classes as **constraints** on type parameters

```
-- polymorphic in showable, with constraint Show
print :: Show showable => showable -> IO ()

colorAction :: IO ()
colorAction = do
  print [False, True, False]
  print Red
```



# UI features for type-oriented programming

# What is type inference? “Type reconstruction”

If you write code *without* a type annotation, the compiler will

- try to reconstruct the best possible type annotation
- if one exists, it will insert it for you as though you manually wrote it
- if no solution to reconstruction exists, it will report a type error

```
mystery x y = length (x ++ [y] ++ x)
```

is successfully reconstructed internally as

```
mystery :: [elemType] -> elemType -> Int  
mystery x y = length (x ++ [y] ++ x)
```

- compiler code generation phase *only ever sees fully type-annotated source*
- in dynamic languages, “type inference” has a different meaning (off topic)

# Pragmatic features to help with types

- Typed holes

```
holeyGreeting = "hello " ++ _huh
-- Type checker says:
--
-- Found hole ‘_huh’ with type: [Char]
```

- Deferred type errors: `set -fdefer-type-errors`

```
-- type error becomes warning in this mode
illTypedGreeting = "hello " ++ True

-- If program reaches this code, then runtime error:
--
-- Couldn't match expected type '[Char]' with
-- actual type 'Bool'
```

Lazy

# Lazy evaluation

Roughly, Haskell expressions are evaluated (by default) *outside-in*, versus *inside-out*:

```
-- | Only needs to evaluate the first 5 elements of
-- infinite list of odds
--
-- prop> sumFiveOdds == 1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9
sumFiveOdds :: Integer
sumFiveOdds = sum (take 5 [1, 3..])
```

- Good:

- ▶ allows modularity, *separating producing from consuming*
- ▶ can be efficient: compute only what is needed

- Bad:

- ▶ can be inefficient: if you need to evaluate it all eventually anyway
- ▶ can result in hard-to-debug space leaks

Sweet

# Haskell has and encourages syntactic sugar

(More in the coding workshop.)

# Template Haskell: compile-time metaprogramming

- Transform code during parsing of source
- Many popular libraries use Template Haskell macros to remove boilerplate, enable syntactic sugar



Next

# Workshop goals

- learn language features by coding!
- use the GHCi interpreter REPL
- write tests, run them, implement code to make them pass
  - ▶ `doctest` comments
  - ▶ `HSpec` unit tests
  - ▶ `QuickCheck` generative tests
- use Haskell as no-compile “scripting” language
  - ▶ write and run interactive terminal-based program
- use Cabal to
  - ▶ use GHC optimizing native compiler to generate standalone binary to run
  - ▶ run an entire test suite
  - ▶ generate a package suitable for distribution

# Appendix

# Development

Core tools needed:

- [GHC](#): optimizing *compiler* to native code
- [GHCi](#): fast *interpreter* with featureful REPL
- [Cabal](#): building and packaging tool

IDEs:

- [ghc-mod](#) for Emacs, Vim, Sublime
- [EclipseFP](#)
- [Leksah](#)
- [IHaskell](#): uses IPython protocol
- [FP Complete Haskell Center](#) cloud IDE
- many others

# Testing frameworks

- Example-based
  - ▶ [HUnit](#): inspired by Java JUnit
  - ▶ [HSpec](#): inspired by Ruby RSpec
- Property-based
  - ▶ [QuickCheck](#)
  - ▶ [SmallCheck](#)
  - ▶ [SmartCheck](#)
- [Tasty](#): test runner
- [doctest](#):
  - ▶ Extracts tests embedded in comments in source code, runs tests

# Many awesome libraries

- [Hackage](#): central community package archive
  - ▶ about 7,000 uploaded packages
- A curated list: [awesome-haskell](#)

# Resources for learning

We only touched on a tiny fraction of Haskell. Great places to learn more:

- [What I wish I knew when learning Haskell](#)
- [Learn Haskell](#)
- [Haskell bookmarks](#)