

# Social Upheaval Composite Index: Mathematical Framework

## Core Design Principles

### 1. Multi-Dimensional Approach

Instead of single subjective score, measure distinct types of upheaval that could influence cultural production.

### 2. Standardization Across Time

Each component normalized to 0-100 scale to allow comparison across decades with different baseline conditions.

### 3. Weighted Aggregation

Different types of upheaval may have different impacts on cultural anxiety and film production.

## Component Dimensions

### A. Political Violence & Instability (25% weight)

**Rationale:** Direct threats to social order create immediate cultural anxiety

**Measurable Indicators:**

- Political assassinations (presidents, major politicians, activists)
- Domestic terrorist attacks with political motivation
- Major riots/civil unrest (number and severity)
- Political protests (frequency and size)
- Government instability (resignations, impeachments)

**Scoring Method:**

```
def calculate_political_violence_score(decade_data):
    score = 0

    # High-impact events (weighted heavily)
    score += decade_data['assassinations_major'] * 20
    score += decade_data['terrorist_attacks_domestic'] * 15
    score += decade_data['riots_major'] * 10

    # Medium-impact events
    score += decade_data['protests_large'] * 5
    score += decade_data['government_crises'] * 8

    # Cap at 100, normalize
    return min(score, 100)
```

## B. Institutional Trust Erosion (20% weight)

**Rationale:** Loss of faith in institutions creates societal paranoia themes

### Measurable Indicators:

- Major political scandals (Watergate-level)
- Supreme Court controversial decisions
- Military/intelligence failures or scandals
- Media credibility crises
- Electoral integrity questions

### Scoring Method:

```
def calculate_institutional_trust_score(decade_data):
    score = 0

    # Major scandals with lasting impact
    score += decade_data['major_scandals'] * 25
    score += decade_data['supreme_court_controversial'] * 10
```

```

score += decade_data['intelligence_scandals'] * 15
score += decade_data['electoral_controversies'] * 12

return min(score, 100)

```

## C. Economic Stress & Inequality (15% weight)

**Rationale:** Economic anxiety drives demand for films exploring systemic problems

### Measurable Indicators:

- Recession severity and duration
- Unemployment peaks
- Income inequality measures (Gini coefficient changes)
- Major corporate/financial scandals
- Housing/cost of living crises

### Scoring Method:

```

def calculate_economic_stress_score(decade_data):
    score = 0

    # Recession impact
    recession_severity = decade_data['recession_months'] * decade_data['unemployment_peak']
    score += min(recession_severity, 40)

    # Inequality changes
    gini_change = decade_data['gini_coefficient_change'] * 100
    score += max(gini_change, 0) * 30 # Only increases count

    # Financial scandals
    score += decade_data['major_financial_scandals'] * 15

    return min(score, 100)

```

## D. External Threats & Conflicts (20% weight)

**Rationale:** External dangers create paranoid/thriller cultural themes

**Measurable Indicators:**

- War involvement (duration, casualties, controversy)
- International terrorist threats
- Cold War tensions/nuclear fears
- Foreign interference in elections
- Pandemic/health crises

**Scoring Method:**

```
def calculate_external_threats_score(decade_data):  
    score = 0  
  
    # War involvement  
    war_impact = (decade_data['war_years'] * decade_data['casualty_rate'] *  
                  decade_data['controversy_factor'])  
    score += min(war_impact, 35)  
  
    # Terrorism/security threats  
    score += decade_data['terror_threat_level'] * 10  
    score += decade_data['foreign_interference'] * 15  
  
    # Health/pandemic crises  
    score += decade_data['pandemic_severity'] * 20  
  
    return min(score, 100)
```

## E. Social Fragmentation (20% weight)

**Rationale:** Division and polarization drive demand for films exploring "us vs them" themes

**Measurable Indicators:**

- Political polarization measures
- Racial/ethnic tensions and incidents
- Generational conflicts
- Regional divisions
- Information/media fragmentation

### Scoring Method:

```
def calculate_social_fragmentation_score(decade_data):
    score = 0

    # Polarization metrics
    score += decade_data['political_polarization_index'] * 25
    score += decade_data['racial_tension_incidents'] * 15

    # Information environment
    score += decade_data['media_fragmentation_index'] * 20
    score += decade_data['disinformation_prevalence'] * 15

    # Regional/cultural divisions
    score += decade_data['cultural_conflict_intensity'] * 25

    return min(score, 100)
```

## Master Composite Index Formula

### Weighted Aggregation

```
def calculate_composite_upheaval_index(decade_data, weights=None):

    if weights is None:
        weights = {
            'political_violence': 0.25,
            'institutional_trust': 0.20,
```

```

        'economic_stress': 0.15,
        'external_threats': 0.20,
        'social_fragmentation': 0.20
    }

    # Calculate component scores
    pv_score = calculate_political_violence_score(decade_data)
    it_score = calculate_institutional_trust_score(decade_data)
    es_score = calculate_economic_stress_score(decade_data)
    et_score = calculate_external_threats_score(decade_data)
    sf_score = calculate_social_fragmentation_score(decade_data)

    # Weighted composite
    composite_score = (
        pv_score * weights['political_violence'] +
        it_score * weights['institutional_trust'] +
        es_score * weights['economic_stress'] +
        et_score * weights['external_threats'] +
        sf_score * weights['social_fragmentation']
    )

    return {
        'composite_score': composite_score,
        'components': {
            'political_violence': pv_score,
            'institutional_trust': it_score,
            'economic_stress': es_score,
            'external_threats': et_score,
            'social_fragmentation': sf_score
        }
    }
}

```

## Alternative Aggregation Methods

### 1. Multiplicative Model (Crisis Amplification)

```

# Assumes components amplify each other during true upheaval
def multiplicative_upheaval_index(components):
    base_score = sum(components.values()) / len(components)
    amplification = 1.0

    # If multiple components are high, amplify the effect
    high_components = sum(1 for score in components.values() if score > 70)
    if high_components >= 3:
        amplification = 1.5
    elif high_components >= 2:
        amplification = 1.2

    return min(base_score * amplification, 100)

```

## 2. Peak-Sensitive Model

```

# Emphasizes the highest single component (worst crisis dominates)
def peak_sensitive_upheaval_index(components):
    max_component = max(components.values())
    avg_component = sum(components.values()) / len(components)

    # Weight toward the peak crisis, but include overall level
    return (max_component * 0.7) + (avg_component * 0.3)

```

## Validation Framework

### Historical Validation Tests

```

def validate_index_against_history(decades_data):
    results = []

    for decade, data in decades_data.items():
        score = calculate_composite_upheaval_index(data)

```

```

results.append({
    'decade': decade,
    'composite_score': score['composite_score'],
    'expected_rank': get_historical_expectation(decade),
    'actual_rank': None # To be calculated
})

# Rank decades by composite score
results.sort(key=lambda x: x['composite_score'], reverse=True)
for i, result in enumerate(results):
    result['actual_rank'] = i + 1

return results

def get_historical_expectation(decade):
    # Expert/historical consensus on most turbulent decades
    rankings = {
        1960: 1, # Assassinations, Vietnam, civil rights
        1970: 2, # Watergate, oil crisis, Vietnam end
        2020: 3, # COVID, Jan 6, polarization
        1940: 4, # WWII
        2000: 5, # 9/11, Iraq War
        1930: 6, # Depression
        # ... etc
    }
    return rankings.get(decade, 10)

```

## Sensitivity Analysis

```

def test_weight_sensitivity(decades_data):
    # Test different weighting schemes
    weight_schemes = [
        {'political_violence': 0.4, 'institutional_trust': 0.15, ...}, # Violence-heavy
        {'political_violence': 0.1, 'institutional_trust': 0.4, ...}, # Institution-heavy
        # Equal weights, etc.
    ]

```



```

]

correlations = []
for weights in weight_schemes:
    scores = [calculate_composite_upheaval_index(data, weights)
               for data in decades_data.values()]
    correlation_with_thrillers = calculate_correlation(scores, thriller_counts)
    correlations.append(correlation_with_thrillers)

return correlations

```

## Expected Data Collection Needs

### High-Priority Data (needed for all components)

1. **Political assassinations by decade**
2. **Major domestic riots/unrest events**
3. **Presidential/government scandals**
4. **War involvement (years, casualties, public support)**
5. **Recession data (duration, unemployment peaks)**
6. **Major terrorist attacks on US soil**

### Medium-Priority Data (refinement)

1. **Income inequality statistics (Gini coefficient)**
2. **Political polarization measures**
3. **Supreme Court controversial decisions**
4. **Major corporate/financial scandals**

### Future Enhancements

1. **Media trust polling data**
2. **Social cohesion surveys**

### **3. Regional political differences**

This framework gives you a rigorous, defensible methodology while being flexible enough to adjust weights based on what correlates best with thriller production.