

1. LRU cache.  
Utilized OrderedDict from Python to take full advantage of the move\_to\_end.  
Time complexity:  $O(1)$  for both put and get due to OrderedDict  
Space:  $O(\text{capacity})$  since we need to store the ordered dictionary.
2. Inspired by this: <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/61255507/time-and-space-complexity-of-a-file-recursion-algorithm>  
Choose not to use `os.path.isdir` and `os.path.isfile` since they can be easily inferred in this implementation. The recursion is easy. Say  $e$  is the length of the path elements,  $f$  is the number of files, traversing through  $g$  directories would take  $O(g)$ , then  $O(e+f+g) = O(e)$
3. Huffman tree: This impl is an adaptation of the idea here: <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/huffman-coding-greedy-algo-3/> and we can see using a priorityqueue facilitates the impl.
4. Active directory: Recursion is used again.  
Time Complexity  $O(\# \text{ groups} * \# \text{ number of users})$  Space:  $O(1)$  as it does not store anything than output
5. Blockchain: It is clearly a linked list with append  $O(1)$  search  $O(n)$ .
6. Union/Intersection: Standard impl.  
Union: Time complexity:  $O(n)$   
Space:  $O(n)$   
Intersection: Time Complexity  $O(n^2)$  as we need to find the 'in' relationship.  
Space:  $O(n)$