

# Gödel's First Incompleteness Theorem

Bruno Cassani

Morrissey College of Arts and Sciences, Boston College



Theorem

To every  $\omega$ -consistent recursive class  $K$  of formulae there correspond recursive class-signs  $r$ , such that neither  $vGen(r)$  nor  $\neg vGen(r)$  belongs to  $Flg(k)$ .

In other words, every reasonable recursive axiomatic proposition of number theory will always have propositions that cannot be proven nor disproven.

- Background
- Before Gödel, metamathematicians expected math to eventually be **complete**.
  - In the early 20th century, set theory paradoxes like those by Bertrand Russell raised questions about the **consistency** of math.
  - Gödel was trying to solve Hilbert's Second problem; he wanted to know if math had any inherent contradictions and if truth was self-evident.

Proposition

Statements *of* number theory could also be *about* number theory.

Gödel Numbering

To investigate the proposition, Gödel needed math to be self-referential. He created his own  $Encode(G)$  function to turn mathematical statements into unique natural numbers. To do so, he would first need to convert each mathematical symbol into a number. Thus, he created a numbering system where each symbol has its own unique natural number to be used for encoding.

Constant Sign	Gödel Number
¬	1
∨	2
⊃	3
∃	4
=	5
⋮	⋮

In theory, the symbols have no meaning, the axioms and formulas constructed from them are what give them their meaning.

Encoding

Given a sequence of Gödel numbers  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , its encoding is given by the product of the first  $n$  prime numbers raised to the values in the sequence.

$$Encode(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 2^{x_1} \times 3^{x_2} \times \dots \times p_n^{x_n}$$

This way, any given mathematical expression can be encoded algebraically. Besides, the statements can be decoded through prime factorization.

Note:  $Encode(A)$  is often written as  $\ulcorner A \urcorner$ .

- Provability
- Since statement  $A$  can be proved through an axiom  $B$ , and  $\ulcorner A \urcorner, \ulcorner B \urcorner$  are unique numbers, Gödel proposed that there must be a mathematical relation between the two.
- We can express this relation as a function  $Provability(\ulcorner A \urcorner)$  that determines whether a statement  $A$  is provable within the formal system.
  - This function is essentially a binary predicate that determines if  $A$  can be proved through any axiom  $B$ .

Self-reference by Diagonalization

Enumerate all formulas in the formal system  $F$  with exactly one free variable:

	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$\dots$	$n = j$
$F_1(n)$	$F_1(1)$	$F_1(2)$	$\dots$	$F_1(j)$
$F_2(n)$	$F_2(1)$	$F_2(2)$	$\dots$	$F_2(j)$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$
$F_j(n)$	$F_j(1)$	$F_j(2)$	$\dots$	$F_j(j)$

Each entry represents a formula  $F_i(n)$ , where  $i$  represents the formula number and  $n$  represents the parameter.

Gödel Statement

Construct a new formula  $G$ , asserting the negation of provability for each formula  $F_j(j)$  in the table:

$$G \equiv \neg Provability(\ulcorner F_j(j) \urcorner)$$

Truth Value

If  $G$  were false, then by its own definition, each  $F_j(j)$  would be provable and thus true. But the definition of  $G$  implies the opposite; since math is consistent,  $G$  must be true. Since  $G$  cannot be consistently proven or disproven within the system, it follows that  $G$  is true but unprovable within  $F$ .

Axiomatization

Although the proof works, one might argue that the Gödel statement could be made into an axiom to trivialize the problem. However, doing so would only create a new system where the current  $G$  could be proved; it would change the nature of the new system, leading to further contradictions.

- Implications in Math
- Forced meta-mathematics past Russell's *Principia Mathematica*.
  - Established a mutual exclusivity between consistency and completeness of recursive formal systems.
  - Used for proof in Tarski's Undefinability Theorem, where arithmetical truth cannot be defined in arithmetic.

- Further reach
- Computer Science**
    - Popularized the arithmetization of syntax in the years leading up to the first computers.
    - Inspired Turing, and by consequence the field of computability theory.
    - Furthered the discussions on the limitations of computers and artificial intelligence.
  - Philosophy**
    - Directly challenged the ideas of determinism and reductionism.
    - Furthered debate on the nature of knowledge and the transcendence of human intuition.
    - Prompted a reevaluation of epistemology in light of truths outside formal systems.

- Criticism
- Applicability:** Critics question the practical relevance of Gödel's theorems outside of formal mathematical systems. The theorems might not have direct implications for everyday mathematics or scientific inquiry.
  - Assumptions:** Gödel's proofs rely on certain assumptions about mathematical reasoning. Critics debate the validity of these assumptions and whether alternative frameworks could lead to different conclusions.
  - Philosophical Interpretations:** Some philosophers argue that Gödel's theorems have been over-interpreted or misunderstood, and they contend that the implications of the theorems might not be as profound as believed.

References

[1]

Zuhair Al-Johar.  
An alternative proof of gödel's first incompleteness theorem.  
2023.

[2]

Douglas R. Hofstadter.  
Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid.  
Basic Books, 2023.

[3]

Jean van Heijenoort.  
Frege and Gödel: Two Fundamental Texts in Mathematical Logic.  
Harvard University Press, 1970.

[4]

Hao Wang.  
Reflections on Kurt Gödel.  
MIT Press, 1987.