

Gödel's First Incompleteness Theorem

Bruno Cassani

Morrissey College of Arts and Sciences, Boston College



Theorem

To every ω -consistent recursive class K of formulae there correspond recursive class-signs r , such that neither $vGen(r)$ nor $\neg vGen(r)$ belongs to $Flg(k)$.

In other words, every reasonable recursive axiomatic proposition of number theory will always have propositions that cannot be proven nor disproven.

- Background
- Before Gödel, metamathematicians expected math to eventually be **complete**, i.e., to be able to prove everything given the right amount of axioms.
 - In the early 20th century, set theory paradoxes like those proposed by Bertrand Russell raised questions about the **consistency** of math.
 - Gödel was trying to solve Hilbert's Second problem — he wanted to know if math had any inherent contradictions and if truth was self-evident.

Proposition

Statements *of* number theory could also be *about* number theory.

Gödel Numbering

To investigate the proposition, Gödel needed math to be self-referential. He created his own $Encode(G)$ function to turn mathematical statements into unique natural numbers. To do so, he would first need to convert each mathematical symbol into a number. Thus, he created a numbering system where each symbol has its own unique natural number to be used for encoding.

Constant Sign	Gödel Number
\neg	1
\vee	2
\supset	3
\exists	4
$=$	5
$:$	$:$

In theory, the symbols have no meaning, the axioms and formulas constructed from them are what give them their meaning.

Encoding

Given a sequence of Gödel numbers (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) , the encoding is the product of the first n prime numbers raised to the values in the sequence.

$$Encode(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 2^{x_1} \times 3^{x_2} \times \dots \times p_n^{x_n}$$

This way, any given mathematical expression can be encoded algebraically. Besides, the statements can be decoded through prime factorization.

Note: $Encode(A)$ is often written as $\ulcorner A \urcorner$.

- Provability
- Since statement A can be proved through an axiom B , and $\ulcorner A \urcorner$ and $\ulcorner B \urcorner$ are unique numbers, Gödel proposed that there must be a mathematical relation between the two.
- We can express this relation as a function $Provability(\ulcorner A \urcorner)$ that determines whether a statement A is provable within the formal system.
 - This function is essentially a binary predicate that determines if A can be proved with the current axioms.

Self-reference by diagonalization

Enumerate all formulas in the formal system F with exactly one free variable:

	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	\dots	$n = j$
$F_1(n)$	$F_1(1)$	$F_1(2)$	\dots	$F_1(j)$
$F_2(n)$	$F_2(1)$	$F_2(2)$	\dots	$F_2(j)$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
$F_j(n)$	$F_j(1)$	$F_j(2)$	\dots	$F_j(j)$

Each entry represents a formula $F_i(n)$, where i represents the formula number and n represents the parameter.

Gödel Statement

Construct a new formula G , asserting the negation of provability for each formula $F_j(j)$ in the table; this is the Gödel statement:

$$G \equiv \neg Provability(F_j(j))$$

Now, consider the truth value of G . If G were false, then by its own definition, each $F_j(j)$ would be provable and thus true. But the definition of G implies the opposite; since math is consistent, G must be true. Since G cannot be consistently proven or disproven within the system, it follows that G is true but unprovable within F .

- Axiomatization
- Although the proof works, one might argue that the Gödel statement could be made into an axiom to trivialize the problem. However, doing so would only create a new system where the current G could be proved; it would change the nature of the new system, leading to further contradictions.
- Implications in Math
- Forced meta-mathematics past Russell's *Principia Mathematica*.
 - Established a mutual exclusivity between consistency and completeness of recursive formal systems.
 - Showed that formal systems cannot capture all mathematical truths, showing the inherent incompleteness of axiomatic systems of logic.
 - Used for proof in Tarski's Undefinability Theorem, where arithmetical truth cannot be defined in arithmetic.

- Further reach
- Computer Science
 - Popularized the arithmetization of syntax in the years leading up to the first computers.
 - Inspired Turing, and by consequence the field of computability theory.
 - Furthered the complexity of the discussions on the limitations of computers and artificial intelligence.
 - Philosophy
 - Directly challenges the idea of determinism and a fully knowable universe.
 - Furthers debate on the nature of knowledge and the transcendence of human intuition.

References

[1]

Zuhair Al-Johar.
An alternative proof of gödel's first incompleteness theorem.
2023.

[2]

Douglas R. Hofstadter.
Godel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid.
Basic Books, 2023.

[3]

Jeffrey Uhlmann.
A note on the incompleteness of gödel's incompleteness theorems.
University of Missouri, Columbia, 2023.

[4]

Jean van Heijenoort.
Frege and Gödel: Two Fundamental Texts in Mathematical Logic.
Harvard University Press, 1970.