



# Mobile Networks Introduction

*Aula da Cadeira Comunicações Móveis*

Daniel Corujo

[dcorujo@ua.pt](mailto:dcorujo@ua.pt)

2022/2023




# The communication network

Trends and history



# Technological Revolutions





# The weight of time

The beauty of networking effects

Everybody uses it

The ugliness of networking effects

Now everybody uses it...

## Society takes time

- Business
- People habits
- Technology interoperation




# How networks evolve?

- Real life evolution is complicated
  - Depends on real life – technologies, business, society, relationships, laws, ...
- Users are shaping communication networks
  - Networks are no longer a business/technology binomial, but strongly depend on users acceptance of (or requirements for) change
  - “Killer ideas” are often unpredictable
- Legacy is a major issue
  - Large networks cannot be changed instantaneously
  - Mobile is particularly lenient in this aspect (why?)



# The user

- Internet is being challenged by its own success
  - Internet initially designed for expert users
  - Now more than 63% of the world online (statista.com)
- Users follow profiles:
  - Techs
  - Business
  - Teens
  - “World”
  - “Oldies”





# Trends in communications

- Current telecommunication industry has been the result of different trends in the last 40 years:
  - The saturation of the telephone market, at the end of the 80's
  - The coming of age of the data world, in the early 90's
  - The pervasiveness of mobility, in the mid 90's.

Note: many upcoming slides with statistics are dated around 2010, when 3G was established in Western Europe, and we were moving to 4G.




# The communication network

The phone network



# Telephone System



- Uses switched circuits (virtuals...)
- Access via low bandwidth circuits
- “out-of-band” call establishment using signaling system based in packets (SS7)
- Channels between switching exchanges carry multiple calls
  - Multiplexing (analogue or digital)




# Public telephony (PSTN): history

- 1876 invention of the phone
- 1915 first transcontinental connection (NY–SF)
- 1920's first automatic switching exchanges
- 1956 transatlantic cable TAT-1 (35 linhas)
- 1962 digital transmission (T1)
- 1974 Internet: voice over packets
- 1977 digital switching exchange
- 1980s Signaling System #7 (out-of-band)
- 1988 RDIS (ISDN Blue Book)
- 1990s Intelligent Networks
- (1990s ATM)
- 2000 local bundle liberalization (Europe)




# 11 Phone service in US



AT&T Divestiture




# Evolution: Voice vs Data






# Deutsche Telekom

## % change in call volume (min) 98/99







Number of int'l circuits in use, worldwide, and by region 1998  
(in thousands)




Western Europe



Asia



Caribbean



Source: FCC. Applies to US carriers only.




# The communication network

The Internet



# Internet structure

- Administrative borders define
  - Autonomous Systems (AS)
  - **Intra-domain routing**
  - Individual internal policies
  - May use different metrics between domains
  - protocols: RIPv2, OSPFv2
- AS interconnections
  - **Inter-domain routing**
  - Connectivity information
  - protocols: BGP






# Internet: currently

- Self-organized set of interconnected autonomous components
  - More than 60.000 autonomous domains (with more than 100K numbers allocated)
    - Single guarantee is running TCP-IP
    - Works by packet switching
    - More than 340 millions of registered domains (URL)!
- Commercial traffic larger than non-commercial
  - Exponential growth in all numbers (number of users, traffic)
- Different machines (networks) can offer different services
  - Each user can select what it uses
- Only bi-directional media that support communications
  - One to one (unicast, e.g. email); one to many (multi-cast, e.g., electronic news)
- NB: Internet networks are operated AUTONOMOUSLY
  - After connecting to the Internet, the network **becomes PART of the Internet**



# Real structure



- Apparently hierarchical
  - Backbone ISP provides service to increasingly smaller ISPs
  - Smaller ISPs eventually providing service to end users.
- But hierarchy is not respected
  - Private connection agreements
  - Mechanisms for improvement of the network
  - All companies provide service to (some) users
  - Service providers connect to multiple connection provider
  - Users connect to multiple ISPs



# “Data vs voice”: packet switching vs circuit switching

Packet switching solves everything?

- Great for burst information
  - Resource sharing
  - No call setup time
- When excessive congestion: delays and losses
  - Needs reliable data transfer protocols
- Providing circuit switching services?
  - For multimedia applications we need bandwidth and delay
    - Problem not yet completely solved




# Transport service (operador/ISP) vs applications

- Packet loss
  - Some apps (audio/video real time) handle losses
  - Other applications (file transfer, telnet) require 100% of success in transmission
- Bandwidth
  - Some applications (multimedia) need a minimum bandwidth to be effective
  - Other applications (“elastic applications”, ex. email, file transfer) use the bandwidth available
- Timing
  - Some applications (Internet voice, multiuser games) require low delays to be effective
  - Other applications (without real time requirements) do not have strict delays end-to-end.




# Elastic operations



- Elastic applications
  - Interactive data transfer (e.g. HTTP, FTP)
    - Sensitive to the medium delay, not to rare occurrences
  - Bulk data transfer (e.g. mail, news)
    - Not sensitive to delay
    - Best effort works...



# Inelastic applications



- Interactive applications
  - Sensitive to packet delay (telephony, gaming)
  - Maximum delay may be limited
- Non-interactive applications
  - Adapt to larger ranges of delays (streaming audio, video)



# Application requirements

<b>Applications</b>	<b>Losses</b>	<b>BW</b>	<b>Timing</b>
File transfer	lossless	elastic	no
e-mail	lossless	elastic	no
Web documents	lossless	elastic	no
Real time audio/video	supports	audio: 5K-1Mbps video:10K-5Mbps	yes, 100's ms
Streamed audio/video	supports	See above	yes, few secs
Interactive gaming	supports	Some Kbps	yes, 100's ms
Finance applications	lossless	elastic	Yes and no




# The communication network

The Mobile network



# Data vs voice traffic

**Active mobile-broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants,  
2007-2011**



The developed/developing country classifications are based on the UN M49, see:

<http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/ict/definitions/regions/index.html>

Source: ITU World Telecommunication/ICT Indicators database




Dec/2004 → Sep/2014


- Global Mobile Users 1.52/6.91 billion (Analogue Users 34/0?m)
- Global GSM users 1.25/4.4 billion
- Global CDMA Users 202/701m Global TDMA users 120/0?m
- US Mobile users 140/ 335.6m
- Total European users 342.43/780m
- Total African users 53/629.7m
- Total 3G users 130m/ 1880m
- #1 Mobile Country China (300/1273m) (GSM 282/582m)
- #1 Network In Europe T-Mobile (28m)
- #1 In Infrastructure Ericsson
- Global monthly SMS 36/400 per user
- SMS Sent Global 135 billion/10000 billion
- SMS sent in UK 3/2004 2.1 billion

# Mobile Market

## Mobile Phone World Distribution




## Mobile Phone per Capita



Source: Frost and Sullivan




## Affordability of 1 GB of data in LMICs, 2016–2019






## Evolution of global mobile internet connectivity, 2014–2019






## Global connections by technology

(millions, excluding M2M)






# Edholm's Law





# Fedholm's Law






# Cost of investment in telecom

Global mobile operator capex


(\$ billion)






# Motivations for technologies

Network cost




Lower production cost per bit

Cost per Mbyte




Source: NSN






## Activities undertaken on mobile internet based on usage in surveyed LMICs, 2017-2019





# Consumer Traffic, VNI




Source: Cisco VNI, 2009

- [http://newsroom.cisco.com/dlls/2009/prod\\_060909.html](http://newsroom.cisco.com/dlls/2009/prod_060909.html)
- 1 Exabyte is appr. 250 mill. DVD's



# Dramatic Traffic Growth Fueled by Video





# The things that surround us





# Network is now more than bits and bytes – it adapt to users





GIGAOM

# THE APP STORE ECONOMY

THE APP STORE CONTAINS  
**133,979 APPS** 

AVAILABLE FOR 

MADE BY OVER  
**28,000 DEVELOPERS**

WHO WAIT AN AVERAGE OF

**4.78 DAYS** 

FOR THEIR APP'S APPROVAL

APP STORE **USERS**

DOWNLOADED AN AVERAGE OF

**3.7 APPS EACH IN DECEMBER**

## Service market (2016)

Mobile Services are now a major contention between operators and manufacturers (AppleStore, OviStore, Android Market, Palm App Catalog)

Source: GigaOM

ONE QUARTER OF WHICH WERE PAID

TOP 50 PAID APP PRICES


99¢	25
\$1.99	6
\$2.99	8
\$3.99	1
\$4.99	3
\$5.99	2
\$6.99	4
\$7.99	0
\$8.99	0
\$9.99	1

AT AN AVERAGE COST OF **\$2.59**

EACH iPhone USER SPENDS AN AVERAGE OF **\$10** ON APPS EVERY MONTH.

WITH OVER

**56 MILLION APP STORE USERS,**



**200 MILLION APPS** ARE BEING DOWNLOADED

MONTHLY, GENERATING MORE THAN

**\$500 MILLION IN REVENUES** OF WHICH 30% GOES TO

**APPLE & 70% TO DEVELOPERS** ...EACH MONTH.



For more information, visit [Forrester.com](#), [Admob.com](#), [Apple.com](#)




# The (mobile) Internet economy (2016)

Rank	Company	Region	Current Market Value (\$B)	Q1:16 Cash (\$B)	2015 Revenue (\$B)
1	Apple	USA	\$547	\$233	\$235
2	Google / Alphabet	USA	510	79	75
3	Amazon	USA	341	16	107
4	Facebook	USA	340	21	18
5	Tencent	China	206	14	16
6	Alibaba	China	205	18	15
7	Priceline	USA	63	11	9
8	Uber	USA	63	--	--
9	Baidu	China	62	11	10
10	Ant Financial	China	60	--	--
11	Salesforce.com	USA	57	4	7
12	Xiaomi	China	46	--	--
13	Paypal	USA	46	6	9
14	Netflix	USA	44	2	7
15	Yahoo!	USA	36	10	5
16	JD.com	China	34	5	28
17	eBay	USA	28	11	9
18	Airbnb	USA	26	--	--
19	Yahoo! Japan	Japan	26	5	5
20	Didi Kuaidi	China	25	--	--
Total			\$2,752	\$447*	\$554*




## 4 DIGITISATION: FROM WEB PHENOMENON TO WHOLE SECTORS OF ECONOMIES






# User behaviour and trends

- Increased Internet—based services
  - Phone market is now saturated
  - “Everything” came to “data communications”
- Increased broadband requirements
  - P2P being replaced by service-based
  - Internet access 2x every 2 years – fiber access now blooming
  - 70% broadband penetration
- Increased mobility and roaming
  - Always on and session continuity
  - Increased end-user content
    - Both WLAN and 4G
  - Increased context information
    - Increased personalization
    - Increased machine/vehicle/object communications






# The opportunity provided by network heterogeneity





# The opportunity provided by network heterogeneity





# A Mobile Storage Revolution .....



Embedded Flash  
128MB >>> 64GB



- Small size to minimise handset cost
- Used for storing system data: applications, messages, contacts, ring-tones



Embedded  
(SD/H)DD  
2GB >>> 256GB

- Large storage for user content
- But high impact on terminal cost



Memory Card  
128MB >>> 1TB

- Large and removable storage for easy transfer of user content
- Interoperable with other consumer electronic devices
- Provides a distribution channel for selling content



... and a Multiplicity of Local Connectivity...



## Today

- Bluetooth
- WiFi
- Memory cards
- USB
- Near Field Communications
  - device pairing & local network configuration
  - service discovery/initiation

## Tomorrow

*All of the above with the addition of:*

- WLAN+ (802.11g++)
  - home and office connectivity
  - wireless extension of DSL in the home
- UWB
  - wireless USB
- TV/DVB




# The wireless framework

- Mobile systems is THE major business
- Operators are becoming increasingly focused on mobile customers
  - Most of market will be wireless anyway on the access
- Services are now a dominant aspect in this arena
  - Large economic fights ongoing
- Mobility brought a novel importance to Location-based Services (LBS)
  - Now proximity is a dynamic variable for the user



# What's going on here?

But what is all the tech fuss about?






# Wireless Systems

CM 22/23

- Mobile users communicate through fixed points (Base Stations/Access Points)
- Rely on radio transmission - final link between terminals and network
  - Finite resource, spectrum available is strictly limited
  - Multipath propagation, fading & interference
  - Terminal mobility complicates the system





# Mobile hassles

## 1. Wireless connections limitations

- Multiple independent access networks and technologies
- (frequent) connection dropouts
- (More) limited bandwidth
- Lacking of mobility awareness by system/applications

## 2. Spectrum limitations

- Bandwidth cannot be improved just by adding parallel connections
- Spectrum is highly regulated

## 3. Mobile device limitations

- Battery lifetime
- Limited capabilities

## 4. Scaling considerations

- Mobile devices counted by the 1.000 millions
- Cost(s) needs to be low
- Energy is becoming a problem



# Device Issues

- By their own nature:

**SMALL!  
LOW POWER!**

- Potentially Low Power devices
  - Limited computing performance
  - Low quality displays
- Potential Loss of Data
  - Easily lost
  - Must be conceived as being “network-integrated”
- Potentially small and limited User Interface
  - Limited real estate for keyboards
  - Icon intensive/handwriting/speech
- Potentially Small Local Storage
  - Flash memory rather than disk drive



# Scaling: You mean *Everywhere*?!?


6.000 million users





# Scaling: You mean *Everywhere*?!?

- 6.000 million users
- x10 sensors
- x2 general purpose computers
- x5 special purpose devices





# Remember!

- Addressing
  - Total number of IPv4 addresses is ~4 200 millions
- Routing
  - Routing tables are already quite large
- Security
  - Securing everything? With certificates?
- Multimedia bandwidths
  - In wireless?!?
- Sensors and actuators
  - Electric grid on the net?!?!



# Why is mobile hard?


- Mobile communications are hard to handle, specially because spectrum is a scarce good
  - One critical economic issue from the governments point of view
- Also the whole nature of mobile systems is problematic – including the device specific issues
  - Although it is improving, power is still a problem
- As mobile systems became dominant (even into broadband!), scaling is a problem
  - We never dreamed with such a large success



# It gets worse than this: RF Spectrum

- RF Spectrum = Radio Frequency allocation
  - Electromagnetic signal that propagates through “ether” at the speed of light
  - Ranges 3 KHz .. 300 GHz
    - Omnidirectional applications
    - Directional applications (above 5/10 GHz)
  - Or 100 km .. 0.1 cm (wavelength)

CM 22/23





# Spectrum (only) looks like a lot!

- 300 GHz is huge amount of spectrum!
  - Spectrum can also be reused in space
- Not quite that much:
  - Most of it is hard or expensive to use!
  - Noise and interference limits efficiency
  - Most of the spectrum is allocated by Regulators
  - ISM bands unlicensed – but subject to multiple constraints
- Governments control who can use the spectrum and how it can be used.
  - (ITU-T WRC. Anacom, Oftel, FCC...)
  - Need a license for most of the spectrum
  - Limits on power, placement of transmitters, coding, ..
  - Need rules to optimize benefit: guarantee emergency services, simplify communication, return on capital investment, ...



# UNITED STATES FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS THE RADIO SPECTRUM




## ALLOCATION USAGE DESIGNATION

SERVICE	EXAMPLE	DESCRIPTION
Primary	FIXED	Capital Letters
Secondary	Mobile	1st Capital with lower case letters

The U.S. laws and regulations open-time portion of the Table of Frequency Allocations used by the Federal Government for the operation of its radio stations and devices. These laws and regulations may relate to the Table of Frequency Allocations. Therefore, for complete information, users should consult the Table to determine the correct details of the allocation.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Telecommunications and Information Administration  
Office of Spectrum Management  
October 2000





# General Frequency Ranges


CM 22/23

- Microwave frequency range
  - 1 GHz to 40 GHz and higher
  - Directional beams possible
  - Suitable for point-to-point transmission
  - Used for satellite communications
- Radio frequency range
  - 30 MHz to 1 GHz
  - Suitable for omnidirectional applications
- Infrared frequency range
  - Roughly,  $3 \times 10^{11}$  to  $2 \times 10^{14}$  Hz
  - Useful in local point-to-point multipoint applications within confined areas



# Frequency Bands

- Industrial, Scientific, and Medical (ISM) bands
- Unlicensed, 22 MHz channel bandwidth





# Portugal

[http://www.anacom.pt/streaming/qnafuk.pdf?contentId=29658&field=ATTACHED\\_FILE](http://www.anacom.pt/streaming/qnafuk.pdf?contentId=29658&field=ATTACHED_FILE)


CM 22/23

**http://www.anacom.pt/streaming/qnaf0506\_integral\_uk.pdf?contentId=354390&field=ATTACHED\_FILE**



# Physical Layer

Problems we face





# Classifications of Transmission Media

- Copper: twisted pair versus coax cable
  - Variety of modulation techniques are used
- Fiber: modulate an optical signal
  - Lots of capacity available!
  - Typically uses simple modulation schemes
- Wireless: no solid medium to guided signal
  - Wide variety of distances: frequencies, distances, ...
  - Often uses very aggressive modulation techniques (later)



# Why Use Wireless?

## There are no wires!

Has several significant advantages:

- No need to install and maintain wires
  - Reduces cost – important in offices, hotels, ...
  - Simplifies deployment – important in homes, hotspots, ...
- Supports mobile users
  - Move around office, campus, city, ... - users get hooked
  - Remote control devices (TV, garage door, ..)
  - Cordless phones, cell phones, ..
  - WiFi, GPRS, WiMax, ...



# What is Hard about Wireless?

**There are no wires!**


Causes problems in many areas:

- Quality of transmission
- Interference and noise
- Capacity of the network
- Effects of mobility



CM 22/23


# Radio Transmission Impairments





# Communication based on Broadcasting


- Wired communication is usually point-to-point.
  - Broadcast is hard to scale
- Wireless communication is inherently broadcast.
  - Well, usually
- Of course: it does allow nodes to move





# Mobility


- Wired communication is usually point-to-point.
  - Broadcast is hard to scale
- Wireless communication is inherently broadcast.
  - Well, usually
- Of course: it does allow nodes to move





# Wireless is very Sensitive to Noise ...


- Noise is naturally present in the environment from many sources.
- Interference can be from other users or from malicious sources.
- Impacts the throughput users can achieve.





# ... and Interference


- Noise is naturally present in the environment from many sources.
- Interference can be from other users or from malicious sources.
- Impacts the throughput users can achieve.





# How Do We Increase Network Capacity?


- Easy to do in wired networks: simply add wires.
  - Fiber is especially attractive
- Adding wireless “links” increases interference.
  - Frequency reuse can help ... subject to spatial limitations
  - Or use different spaces ... subject to frequency limitations
- The capacity of the wireless network is fundamentally limited.





# Mobility Affects the Link Throughput



- Quality of the transmission depends on distance and other factors.
- Affects the throughput mobile users achieve.
- Worst case is periods with no connectivity!





# Mobility is an Issue even for Stationary Users



- Mobile people and devices affect the transmission channel of stationary nodes.






# And It Gets Worse ...

- The impact of mobility on transmission can be complex.
- Mobility also affects addressing and routing.





## Time-Domain View





- Can be used to represent both an analog and a digital signal.
- Analog signal - signal intensity varies in a smooth fashion over time
  - No breaks or discontinuities in the signal
  - E.g. voice signal traveling over traditional phone line
- Digital signal - signal intensity maintains a constant level for some period of time and then changes to another constant level.
  - E.g. stream of 1 and 0 values represented as “low” and “high” signal



# Two Graphical Views of an Electromagnetic Signal

CM 22/23

- Both are real in some way
- Think of it as energy that radiates from an antenna and is picked up by another antenna.
  - Helps explain properties such as attenuation
- Can also view it as a “ray” that propagates between two points.
  - Helps explain properties such as reflection and multipath






# Transmission Channel Considerations

CM 22/23

- Example: green frequencies get attenuated significantly
- For wired networks, channel limits are an inherent property of the channel
  - Different types of fiber and copper have different properties
- As technology improves, these parameters change, even for the same wire
  - Electronics rule
- For wireless networks, limits are often imposed by policy
  - Can only use certain part of the spectrum
  - Regulatory/business considerations





# Channel Capacity

- Data rate - rate at which data can be communicated (bps)
  - Channel Capacity – the maximum rate at which data can be transmitted over a given channel, under given conditions
- Bandwidth (signal theory)- the bandwidth of the transmitted signal as constrained by the transmitter and the nature of the transmission medium (Hertz)
- Noise - average level of noise over the communications path
- Error rate - rate at which errors occur
  - Error = transmit 1 and receive 0; transmit 0 and receive 1



# Propagation Modes

CM 22/23

- Line-of-sight (LOS) propagation.
  - Most common form of propagation
  - Happens above  $\sim 30$  MHz
  - Subject to many forms of degradation (next set of slides)
- Ground-wave propagation.
  - More or less follows the contour of the earth
  - For frequencies up to about 2 MHz, e.g. AM radio
- Sky wave propagation.
  - Signal “bounces” off the ionosphere back to earth – can go multiple hops
  - Used for amateur radio and international broadcasts



# Propagation Degrades RF Signals


- Attenuation in free space: signal gets weaker as it travels over longer distances
  - Radio signal spreads out – free space loss
  - Refraction and absorption in the atmosphere
  - Frequency dependent!
- Obstacles can weaken signal through absorption or reflection.
  - Part of the signal is redirected
- Multi-path effects: multiple copies of the signal interfere with each other.
- Mobility: moving receiver causes another form of self interference.
  - Big change in signal strength



# Refraction

CM 22/23

- Speed of EM signals depends on the density of the material
  - Vacuum:  $3 \times 10^8$  m/sec
  - Denser: slower
- Density is captured by refractive index
- Explains “bending” of signals in some environments
  - E.g. sky wave propagation
  - But also local, small scale differences in the air





# Noise Sources

CM 22/23

- Thermal noise: caused by agitation of the electrons
  - Function of temperature
  - Affects electronic devices and transmission media
- Intermodulation noise: result of mixing signals
- Cross talk: picking up other signals
  - E.g. from other source-destination pairs)
- Impulse noise: irregular pulses of high amplitude and short duration
  - Harder to deal with

Fairly  
Predictable  
➤Can be  
planned for  
or avoided




# Other LOS Factors

- Absorption of energy in the atmosphere.
  - Very serious at specific frequencies, e.g. water vapor (22 GHz) and oxygen (60 GHz)
  - Obviously objects also absorb energy



# Propagation Mechanisms


- Besides line of sight, signal can reach receiver in three other “indirect” ways.
- **Reflection**: signal is reflected from a large object.
- **Diffraction**: signal is scattered by the edge of a large object – “bends”.
- **Scattering**: signal is scattered by an object that is small relative to the wavelength.





# Multipath Effects

- Receiver receives multiple copies of the signal, each following a different path.
- Copies can either strengthen or weaken each other.
- Small changes in location can result in big changes in signal strength.
- Difference in path length can cause intersymbol interference (ISI).






# Introducing Redundancy

- Protects digital data by introducing redundancy in the transmitted data.
  - Error detection codes: can identify certain types of errors
  - Error correction codes: can fix certain types of errors
- **Block codes** provide Forward Error Correction (FEC) for blocks of data.
  - $(n, k)$  code:  $n$  bits are transmitted for  $k$  information bits
  - Simplest example: parity codes
  - Many different codes exist: Hamming, cyclic, Reed-Solomon, ...
- **Convolutional codes** provide protection for a continuous stream of bits.
  - Coding gain is  $n/k$
  - Turbo codes: convolutional code with channel estimation



# Multiple Users Can Share the Spectrum

CM 22/23





# So Why Don't we Always Send a High Bandwidth Signal?

CM 22/23

- Channels have a limit on the type of signals it can carry
  - Good transmission of signals only in certain frequency range
  - Signals outside of that range get distorted, e.g. attenuated
- Distortion can make it hard for receiver to extract the information
  - It is beneficial to match the signal to the channel
  - Limits the throughput of the channel





# Spread Spectrum

CM 22/23

- Spread transmission over a wider bandwidth
  - Don't put all your eggs in one basket!
- Good for military: jamming and interception becomes harder
- Also useful to minimize impact of a “bad” frequency in regular environments
- What can be gained from this apparent waste of spectrum?
  - Immunity from various kinds of noise and multipath distortion
    - Including jamming
  - Can be used for hiding/encrypting signals
    - Only receiver who knows SS code can retrieve signal
  - Several users can independently share the same higher bandwidth with very little interference (later)
    - Code division multiple access (CDMA)



# Spread Spectrum Concept

CM 22/23

- Input fed into channel encoder
  - Produces narrow bandwidth analog signal around central frequency
- Signal modulated using sequence of digits
  - Spreading code/sequence
  - Typically generated by pseudonoise/pseudorandom number generator
    - Not actually random
    - If algorithm good, results pass reasonable tests of randomness
    - Need to know algorithm and seed to predict sequence
- Increases bandwidth significantly
  - Spreads spectrum
- Receiver uses same sequence to demodulate signal
- Demodulated signal fed into channel decoder




# Satellite networks



# SATELLITES




Distance: 378.000 km  
Period: 27.3 days





# Earth's atmosphere





# Basics

- ❑ elliptical or circular orbits
- ❑ complete rotation time depends on distance satellite-earth
- ❑ inclination: angle between orbit and equator
- ❑ elevation: angle between satellite and horizon
- ❑ LOS (Line of Sight) to the satellite necessary for connection
  - ➔ high elevation needed, less absorption due to e.g. buildings
- ❑ Uplink: connection base station - satellite
- ❑ Downlink: connection satellite - base station
- ❑ typically separated frequencies for uplink and downlink
  - transponder used for sending/receiving and shifting of frequencies
  - transparent transponder: only shift of frequencies
  - regenerative transponder: additionally signal regeneration



# Features of Satellite Networks

- **Effects of satellite mobility**

- Topology is dynamic.
- Topology changes are predictable and periodic.
- Traffic is very dynamic and non-homogeneous.
- Handovers are necessary.

- **Limitations and capabilities of satellites**


- Power and onboard processing capability are limited.
- Implementing the state-of-the-art technology is difficult.
- Satellites have a broadcast nature.

- **Nature of satellite constellations**

- Higher propagation delays.
- Fixed number of nodes.
- Highly symmetric and uniform structure.




# Atmospheric attenuation




Attenuation of  
the signal in %

Example: satellite systems at 4-6 GHz





# Satellite System Elements



SCC- Satellite Control Center  
TTC – Telemetry, Tracking and Command



# Satellite Transmission Links


- Earth stations communicate by sending signals to the satellite on an uplink
- The satellite then repeats those signals on a downlink
- The broadcast nature of downlink makes it attractive for services such as the distribution of TV programs



- Satellite up links and down links can operate in different frequency bands:

Band	Up-Link (Ghz)	Down-link (Ghz)	ISSUES
<b>C</b>	3,700-4,200 MHz	5,925-6,425 MHz	Interference with ground links.
<b>Ku</b>	11.7-12.2 GHz	14.0-14.5 GHz	Attenuation due to rain
<b>Ka</b>	17.7-21.2 GHz	27.5-31.0 GHz	High Equipment cost

- The up-link is a highly directional, point to point link
- The down-link can have a footprint providing coverage for a substantial area "spot beam".







# Satellite Uplink and Downlink

- ▶ **Downlink**
  - ▶ The link from a satellite down to one or more ground stations or receivers
- ▶ **Uplink**
  - ▶ The link from a ground station up to a satellite.
- ▶ Some companies sell uplink and downlink services to
  - ▶ television stations, corporations, and to other telecommunication carriers.
  - ▶ A company can specialize in providing uplinks, downlinks, or both.



# Types of Satellite Orbits

- Based on the inclination, “ $i$ ”, over the equatorial plane:
  - Equatorial Orbits above Earth’s equator ( $i=0^\circ$ )
  - Polar Orbits pass over both poles ( $i=90^\circ$ )
  - Other orbits called inclined orbits ( $0^\circ < i < 90^\circ$ )
- Based on Eccentricity
  - Circular with centre at the earth’s centre
  - Elliptical with one foci at earth’s centre






# Types of Satellite based Networks

- Based on the Satellite Altitude
  - GEO – Geostationary Orbits
    - 36000 Km = 22300 Miles, equatorial, High latency
  - MEO – Medium Earth Orbits
    - High bandwidth, High power, High latency
  - LEO – Low Earth Orbits
    - Low power, Low latency, More Satellites, Small Footprint
- VSAT
  - Very Small Aperture Satellites
    - Private WANs



# Satellite Orbits




- **Geosynchronous Orbit (GEO):** 36,000 km above Earth, includes commercial and military communications satellites, satellites providing early warning of ballistic missile launch.
- **Medium Earth Orbit (MEO):** from 5000 to 15000 km, they include navigation satellites (GPS, Galileo, Glonass).
- **Low Earth Orbit (LEO):** from 500 to 1000 km above Earth, includes military intelligence satellites, weather satellites.

Source: Federation of American Scientists [[www.fas.org](http://www.fas.org)]




# Satellite Orbits





# GEO - Geostationary Orbit

- ▶ In the equatorial plane
- ▶ Orbital Period = 23 h 56 m 4.091 s
  - = 1 sidereal day\*
- ▶ Satellite appears to be stationary over any point on equator:
  - ▶ Earth Rotates at same speed as Satellite
  - ▶ Radius of Orbit  $r$  = Orbital Height + Radius of Earth






# GEO Satellites

- No handover
- Altitude: ~35.786 km.
- One-way propagation delay: 250-280 ms
- 3 to 4 satellites for global coverage
- Mostly used in video broadcasting
- Another applications:
  - Weather forecast, global communications, military applications
- Advantage: well-suited for broadcast services
- Disadvantages: Long delay, high free-space attenuation



# NGSO - Non Geostationary Orbits


- Orbit should avoid Van Allen radiation belts:
  - Region of charged particles that can cause damage to satellite
  - Occur at
    - $\sim 2000\text{-}4000 \text{ km}$  and
    - $\sim 13000\text{-}25000 \text{ km}$





# HEO - Highly Elliptical Orbits

- HEOs ( $i = 63.4^\circ$ ) are suitable to provide coverage at high latitudes (including North Pole in the northern hemisphere)
- Depending on selected orbit (e.g. Molniya, Tundra, etc.) two or three satellites are sufficient for continuous time coverage of the service area.
- All traffic must be periodically transferred from the “setting” satellite to the “rising” satellite (Satellite Handover)






# MEO Satellites

- Altitude: 10.000 – 15.000 km
- One-way propagation delay: 100 – 130 ms
- 10 to 15 satellites for global coverage
- Infrequent handover
- Orbit period: ~6 hr
- Mostly used in navigation
  - GPS, Galileo, Glonass
- Communications: Inmarsat, ICO



# MEO Example: GPS


- Global Positioning System
  - Developed by US Dept. Of Defence
  - Became fully operational in 1993
  - Currently 31 satellites at 20.200 km.
    - Last lunch: March 2008
- It works based on a geometric principle
  - “Position of a point can be calculated if the distances between three objects with known positions can be measured”
- Four satellites are needed to calculate the position
  - Fourth satellite is needed to correct the receiver’s clock.
- Selective Availability
- Glonass (Russian): 24 satellites, 19.100 km
- Galileo (EU): 30 satellites, 23.222 km, under development (expected date: 2013)
- Beidou (China): Currently experimental & limited.





# LEO - Low Earth Orbits

- Circular or inclined orbit with < 1400 km altitude
  - Satellite travels across sky from horizon to horizon in 5 - 15 minutes => needs handoff
  - Earth stations must track satellite or have Omni directional antennas
  - Large constellation of satellites is needed for continuous communication (66 satellites needed to cover earth)
  - Requires complex architecture
  - Requires tracking at ground







# LEO Satellites

- Altitude: 700 – 2.000 km
- One-way propagation delay: 5 – 20 ms
- More than 32 satellites for global coverage
- Frequent handover
- Orbit period: ~2 hr
- Applications:
  - Earth Observation
    - GoogleEarth image providers (DigitalGlobe, etc.)
    - RASAT (First satellite to be produced solely in Turkey)
  - Communications
    - Globalstar, Iridium
  - Search and Rescue (SAR)
    - COSPAS-SARSAT




# Iridium

- 66 satellites (6 planes, 11 sat per plane) and 10 spares.
- 86.4° inclination: full coverage
- Altitude: 780 km
- Intersatellite links, onboard processing
- Satellite visibility time: 11.1 min
- Satellites launched in 1997-98.
- Initial company went into bankruptcy
  - Technologically flawless, however:
  - Very expensive; Awful business plan
  - Cannot compete with GSM
- Now, owned by Iridium satellite LLC.
- 280.000 subscribers (as of Aug. 2008)
- Multi-year contract with US DoD.
- Satellite collision (February 10, 2009).






# The cubesat explosion





Challenges	Implications
Intermittent connectivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Satellites on this orbit are characterized by scheduled predictable/semi-predictable intermittent connectivity, whether for a satellite to ground links or inter-satellite links.</li><li>- There are no contemporary paths present for satellite and ground station communication or cross-link communication.</li></ul>
Orbital period	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- LEO satellite orbital velocity <math>\approx 7800</math> m/s, based on the satellite altitude orbital period of about 90–110 minu for 160–1200 km altitudes respectively.</li><li>- Limited encounter time between satellites which in turns bounds data transfer rate.</li></ul>
Inter-CubeSat links	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Transmission range between two satellites, approximately 5–200 km.</li><li>- The transmission range of inter-CubeSats is bound by cross-link antenna transmission power.</li><li>- Limited antenna size and capability compared with the conventional satellites.</li><li>- Limited antenna coverage compared with the conventional satellites.</li></ul>
Up/Downlinks with the ground station	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Transmission range between satellite and ground station, approximately 200–1200 km</li><li>- The transmission range of CubeSats is bounded by the downlink antenna transmit power.</li><li>- Satellite revisit time Limited antenna size and capability</li></ul>
Altitude and inclination ranges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Orbit altitude rang is 200–1200 km above the Earth and orbit inclination ranges <math>0^\circ</math>–<math>180^\circ</math>.</li></ul>
Natural drag	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Common de-orbiting behaviour leads to changes in orbital height and hence meeting time between CubeSats will also change over time.</li><li>- Orbiting at lower altitudes increases the drag process.</li><li>- The drag upsurges with increasing solar activity (sunspots).</li></ul>
High failure rate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Space radiation effects on electronic components, particularly Commercial-off-the Shelf (COTS) components.</li><li>- Impossibility of recovery under failure.</li></ul>
Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Solar cells limited space available on the small size of the CubeSat body.</li><li>- Small storage batteries.</li><li>- High power consumption of up/downlinks and cross-links.</li></ul>
Topology density	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Satellite dissemination and encounter times.</li></ul>
CubeSat stability on orbit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- There is no space on the CubeSats for advanced stability control devices.</li><li>- Antenna directionality and steering ability.</li></ul>
Data rate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- A single CubeSat has limited data rate</li><li>- CubeSat swarms and constellations can provide a higher overall system data rate, however, networking CubeSats in these systems is challenging and requires advanced routing protocols.</li></ul>






# High Altitude Platforms (HAPs)

- Aerial unmanned platforms
- Quasi-stationary position (at 17-22 km)
- Telecommunications & surveillance
- Advantages:
  - Cover larger areas than terrestrial base stations
  - No mobility problems like LEOs
  - Low propagation delay
  - Smaller and cheaper user terminals
  - Easy and incremental deployment
- Disadvantages:
  - Immature airship technology
  - Monitoring of the platform's movement






# HAP-Satellite Integration



- HAPs have significant advantages.
- Satellites still represent the most attractive solution for broadcast and multicast services
- Should be considered as complementary technologies.






# Satellites - Overview

- GEOs have good broadcasting capability, but long propagation delay.
- LEOs offer low latency, low terminal power requirements.
- Inter-satellite links and on-board processing for increased performance and better utilization of satellites
  - From flying mirrors to intelligent routers on sky.
- Major problem with LEOs: Mobility of satellites
  - Frequent hand-over
- Another important problem with satellites:
  - Infeasible to upgrade the technology, after the satellite is launched



# An Integration Scenario




- Integration of HAPs and mobile satellites
- Establishment of optical links




# Routing

- One solution: inter satellite links (ISL)
  - ❑ reduced number of gateways needed
  - ❑ forward connections or data packets within the satellite network as long as possible
  - ❑ only one uplink and one downlink per direction needed for the connection of two mobile phones
- Problems:
  - ❑ more complex focusing of antennas between satellites
  - ❑ high system complexity due to moving routers
  - ❑ higher fuel consumption
  - ❑ thus shorter lifetime
- Iridium and Teledesic planned with ISL
- Other systems use gateways and additionally terrestrial networks






# Reference model for satellite access






# Protocol architecture






# Protocol architecture





# IP interworking





# Some recent papers

- Satellite Communications in the New Space Era: A Survey and Future Challenges

IEEE COMMUNICATIONS SURVEYS & TUTORIALS

Oltjon Kodheli, Eva Lagunas, Nicola Maturo, Shree Krishna Sharma, Bhavani Shankar, Jesus Fabian Mendoza Montoya, Juan Carlos Merlano Duncan, Danilo Spano, Symeon Chatzinotas, Steven Kisseleff, Jorge Querol, Lei Lei, Thang X. Vu, George Goussetis

- DTN and Non-DTN Routing Protocols for Inter-CubeSat Communications: A comprehensive survey

Electronics,

Mohamed Atef Ali Madni, Saeid Iranmanesh and Raad Raad



# Communication Satellites

- A Communication Satellite can be looked upon as a large microwave repeater
- It contains several transponders which listens to some portion of spectrum, amplifies the incoming signal and broadcasts it in another frequency to avoid interference with incoming signals.



# Satellite Signals

- Used to transmit signals and data over long distances
  - Weather forecasting
  - Television broadcasting
  - Internet communication
  - Global Positioning Systems