

# MBM Essay 1: Human

Reverse-Engineering Brain Mechanisms  
through Interpretable Neural Networks

Bruno Sánchez Gómez

June 9, 2025

## Part I

# The Symbiotic Evolution of AI and Neuroscience: A Historical Perspective

## 1 Introduction

- **Hook:** The enduring quest to understand the human brain, the most complex computational machine known.
- **Thesis Statement:** The intertwined history of Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience has led to a symbiotic relationship where advances in one field have consistently fueled progress in the other. This essay will trace this co-evolution, from early computational models inspired by neural processes to the contemporary use of interpretable neural networks to reverse-engineer the brain's intricate mechanisms, culminating in a review of the current state-of-the-art and future directions.
- **Roadmap:** Briefly outline the essay's structure, covering the historical review, the rise of deep learning, the advent of interpretable AI (XAI), and the application of these tools in modern neuroscience.

## 2 The Dawn of AI and Early Neural Models (1940s-1960s)

- **The McCulloch-Pitts Neuron (1943):** The first mathematical model of a biological neuron. Discuss its significance as a foundational concept for both AI and computational neuroscience.
- **Hebb's Postulate and Learning (1949):** "Neurons that fire together, wire together." Explain the Hebbian learning rule and its influence on early learning algorithms in neural networks.
- **The Perceptron (1958):** Frank Rosenblatt's invention and its initial promise for pattern recognition. Connect this to early models of sensory processing in the brain.
- **The "AI Winter" and its Thaw:** Briefly discuss the limitations identified by Minsky and Papert (1969) and the subsequent decline and eventual resurgence of neural network research.

## 3 Connectionism and the Rise of Parallel Distributed Processing (1980s)

- **The PDP Group and the "Connectionist" Bible:** Discuss the impact of Rumelhart, Hinton, and McClelland's work.
- **Backpropagation and Multi-Layer Networks:** Explain the significance of the backpropagation algorithm in training more complex networks, allowing for the modeling of more sophisticated cognitive functions.
- **Early Applications in Cognitive Science:** Provide examples of how these models were used to simulate and understand phenomena like language acquisition, memory, and perception.

## 4 The Deep Learning Revolution and its Impact on Neuroscience (2000s-Present)

- **The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Data and Computation:** The convergence of large datasets (e.g., ImageNet) and powerful GPUs.
- **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and the Visual Cortex:**
  - Draw strong parallels between the hierarchical structure of CNNs and the organization of the primate visual stream (V1, V2, V4, IT).
  - Discuss seminal work (e.g., Yamins & DiCarlo) showing that CNNs trained on object recognition tasks develop representations remarkably similar to those found in the visual cortex.
- **Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Sequential Processing:**
  - Explain the architecture of RNNs (including LSTMs and GRUs) and their suitability for modeling time-series data.
  - Connect this to the brain's processing of language, motor sequences, and decision-making over time.

## Part II

# The Black Box Dilemma and the Rise of Interpretable AI (XAI)

## 5 The “Black Box” Problem in Deep Learning

- **Defining the Challenge:** While deep neural networks achieve impressive performance, their internal workings are often opaque and difficult to understand.
- **Implications for Scientific Discovery:** In the context of neuroscience, a “black box” model that mimics brain function without revealing *how* it does so offers limited scientific insight. The goal is not just to replicate but to understand the underlying principles.

## 6 A Taxonomy of Interpretable AI Methods

- **Post-hoc vs. Intrinsically Interpretable Models:** Differentiate between methods that analyze a trained model and those that are designed to be transparent from the outset.
- **Feature Attribution Methods:**
  - **Saliency Maps:** Visualizing which input features (e.g., pixels in an image) are most important for a model’s prediction.
  - **LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) and SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations):** Explain how these methods build simpler, interpretable local models to approximate the behavior of the complex “black box” model.
- **Model-based Interpretation:**
  - **Analyzing Network Activations and Representations:** Techniques for visualizing and understanding the features learned by different layers of a network.
  - **Causal Intervention and “Ablation” Studies:** Simulating lesion studies in neuroscience by deactivating specific neurons or connections in the network to observe the effect on its output.

## 7 Interpretable AI in Action: Bridging the Gap to Neuroscience

- **Reverse-Engineering Sensory Systems:**
  - **Vision:** Beyond object recognition, how XAI helps us understand how CNNs represent texture, shape, and motion, and how this compares to neural data from different visual areas.
  - **Audition:** Using deep learning models to understand the neural coding of sound, from the cochlea to the auditory cortex.
- **Decoding and Encoding Models:** Using interpretable models to predict neural activity from stimuli (encoding) and to decode mental states or perceived stimuli from neural recordings (decoding).
- **Understanding Higher Cognitive Functions:**

- **Language:** Analyzing the representations within large language models (LLMs) like BERT and GPT, and comparing them to the brain’s language processing centers (e.g., Broca’s and Wernicke’s areas).
- **Decision-Making and Reinforcement Learning:** How interpretable reinforcement learning models can shed light on the neural circuits involved in reward, planning, and action selection.

## Part III

# The State of the Art and the Future of Brain-Inspired AI

## 8 Current Frontiers in Interpretable Neural Networks for Neuroscience

- **Generative Models and “In Silico” Experiments:** Using generative adversarial networks (GANs) and other generative models to create stimuli that maximally activate specific neurons or brain regions, allowing for more targeted experiments.
- **Beyond Supervised Learning:** The role of self-supervised and unsupervised learning in creating models that learn more brain-like representations without requiring massive labeled datasets.
- **The Rise of “Neuro-AI”:** The growing field of research that explicitly aims to build AI systems based on principles from neuroscience, creating a virtuous cycle of discovery.
- **Thinking LLMs and Simulating Thought Processes:** Discussing the emerging use of large language models to model and understand human-like reasoning, planning, and problem-solving.

## 9 Challenges, Limitations, and Ethical Considerations

- **The “Simile” vs. “Model” Distinction:** Emphasize that even the most brain-like ANNs are still simplifications. Discuss the key biological details they often omit (e.g., dendritic computation, neuromodulation).
- **The Dangers of Over-interpretation:** The risk of drawing premature or overly simplistic conclusions about the brain based on analogies with AI models.
- **Data Privacy and Neuromarketing:** Briefly touch on the ethical implications of being able to decode brain states with increasing accuracy.

## 10 Conclusion: The Future of a Fruitful Partnership

- **Recap of the Main Arguments:** Summarize the historical co-evolution and the current state of synergy between AI and neuroscience.
- **Future Outlook:** Project how this interdisciplinary collaboration will continue to unravel the complexities of the brain and, in turn, inspire more general and capable artificial intelligence. The ultimate goal: a unified theory of intelligence, both biological and artificial.
- **Final Thought-Provoking Statement:** Reiterate the profound potential of this research to not only advance science but also to fundamentally alter our understanding of ourselves.

## References

- [1] Warren S McCulloch and Walter Pitts. “A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity”. In: *The bulletin of mathematical biophysics* 5.4 (1943), pp. 115–133.
- [2] Donald Olding Hebb. *The organization of behavior: A neuropsychological theory*. Wiley, 1949.
- [3] Frank Rosenblatt. “The perceptron: a probabilistic model for information storage and organization in the brain”. In: *Psychological review* 65.6 (1958), p. 386.
- [4] Marvin Minsky and Seymour Papert. *Perceptrons: An introduction to computational geometry*. MIT press, 1969.
- [5] David E Rumelhart, Geoffrey E Hinton, and Ronald J Williams. “Learning representations by back-propagating errors”. In: *Nature* 323.6088 (1986), pp. 533–536.
- [6] James L McClelland, David E Rumelhart, and PDP Research Group. *Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition, vol. 2*. MIT press, 1986.
- [7] Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. “Deep learning”. In: *Nature* 521.7553 (2015), pp. 436–444.
- [8] Daniel L Yamins and James J DiCarlo. “Using goal-driven deep learning models to understand sensory cortex”. In: *Nature neuroscience* 19.3 (2016), pp. 356–365.
- [9] Nikolaus Kriegeskorte and Pamela K Douglas. “Cognitive computational neuroscience”. In: *Nature Neuroscience* 21.9 (2018), pp. 1148–1160.
- [10] Blake A Richards et al. “A deep learning framework for neuroscience”. In: *Nature neuroscience* 22.11 (2019), pp. 1761–1770.
- [11] Neil Savage. “How AI is helping to explain the brain”. In: *Nature* 571.7766 (2019), S16–S18.
- [12] Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. “‘Why should I trust you?’: Explaining the predictions of any classifier”. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*. 2016, pp. 1135–1144.
- [13] Scott M Lundberg and Su-In Lee. “A unified approach to interpreting model predictions”. In: *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2017, pp. 4765–4774.
- [14] Chris Olah et al. “The building blocks of interpretability”. In: *Distill* 3.3 (2018), e10.
- [15] Finale Doshi-Velez and Been Kim. “Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08608* (2017).
- [16] Tim Miller. “Explanation in artificial intelligence: Insights from the social sciences”. In: *Artificial Intelligence* 267 (2019), pp. 1–38.
- [17] Patrick McClure and Nikolaus Kriegeskorte. “How to compare representations in brains and machines”. In: *bioRxiv* (2024), pp. 2024–01.
- [18] Ruth C Fong and Andrea Vedaldi. “Interpretable explanations of black boxes by meaningful perturbation”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*. 2017, pp. 3429–3437.
- [19] David Bau et al. “Network dissection: Quantifying interpretability of deep visual representations”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2017, pp. 6541–6549.
- [20] Martin Schrimpf et al. “Brain-Score: A framework for comparing computational models of the brain”. In: *bioRxiv* (2020).

- [21] Mariya Toneva and Leila Wehbe. “Interpreting and improving natural language processing models for neuro-imaging analyses”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2019, pp. 14382–14392.
- [22] Charlotte Caucheteux and Jean-R’emi King. “Brains and algorithms partially converge in natural language processing”. In: *Communications Biology* 5.1 (2022), pp. 1–12.
- [23] Jason Wei et al. “Chain of thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11903* (2022).
- [24] Marcel Binz and Eric Schulz. “Using cognitive psychology to understand large language models”. In: *Nature Reviews Psychology* 2.7 (2023), pp. 385–386.
- [25] Kyle Mahowald et al. “Dissociating language and thought in large language models: a cognitive perspective”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06627* (2023).