

A polynomial algorithm for the parity game

Bruno Scherrer*

April 7, 2022

Abstract

...

For any integers $i \leq j$, write $[i, j]$ for the set of integers $\{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$.

A parity game between two players, Player 0 and Player 1, can be described by a tuple $\mathcal{G} = (X = [1, n] = X_0 \sqcup X_1, E = [1, m], \Omega : X \rightarrow [1, d])$ with $(n, m, d) \in \mathbb{N}^3$. (X, E) is a directed graph. X is a set of n nodes and E a set of m directed edges such that each node has at least one successor node. The set of nodes X is partitioned into a set of nodes X_0 belonging to Player 0 and a set of nodes X_1 belonging to Player 1. The function $\Omega : X \rightarrow [1, d]$ assigns an integer label called priority to each node of the graph. A play is an infinitely long trajectory (x_0, x_1, \dots) generated from some starting node x_0 : at any time step t , the player to which the node x_t belongs chooses x_{t+1} among any of the outgoing edges of E starting from x_t . The winner of the game is decided from the infinite sequence of priorities $(\Omega(x_0), \Omega(x_1), \dots)$ occurring through the play: if the highest priority occurring infinitely often is even (resp. odd), then Player 0 (resp. Player 1) wins.

It is known (cf. Zielonka [1998]) that there exist optimal strategies that are positional (i.e. that are mapping from nodes to outgoing edges). In particular, when both players follow these positional strategies from some node x_0 , the play follows a (potentially empty) path followed by an infinitely-repeated cycle $(x_1, \dots, x_{c(x_0)})$ for some $c(x_0) \in [1, n]$.

The goal of this paper is to describe a polynomial algorithm for computing optimal strategies for both players.

1 An incremental procedure

Consider a parity game \mathcal{G} that has at least two different priorities. Let p be the maximal priority and let $i \equiv p \pmod 2$ be the corresponding player. In this section, we shall consider the sub-problem whether Player i can win the game with priority p or whether Player $1 - i$ can force Player i to cycle in nodes with priorities (strictly) lower than p (in \mathcal{G} , Player $1 - i$ may win or lose, but if he loses, it will be with a priority lower than p).

Consider the total payoff game $\mathcal{G}' = (X, E, g)$ with cost function

$$\forall x, g(x) = (-1)^p \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)=p},$$

in which Player 0 wants to maximize the total cost on induced infinitely-long trajectories while Player 1 wants to minimize it.

Let N and M be the set of valid decision rules respectively for Player 0 and Player 1:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \{ \mu : X_0 \rightarrow X ; \forall x \in X_0, \mu(x) \in \{ y ; (x, y) \in E \} \}, \\ N &= \{ \mu : X_1 \rightarrow X ; \forall x \in X_1, \mu(x) \in \{ y ; (x, y) \in E \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

*INRIA, Université de Lorraine, bruno.scherrer@inria.fr

For any pair of decision rules $\mu \in M$ and $\nu \in N$, let $P_{\mu,\nu}$ be the corresponding transition matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in X_0, \forall y \in X, P_{\mu,\nu}(x, y) &= \mathbb{1}_{y=\mu(x)}, \\ \forall x \in X_1, \forall y \in X, P_{\mu,\nu}(x, y) &= \mathbb{1}_{y=\nu(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the Bellman operators associated to this total payoff game:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu,\nu}v &= g + P_{\mu,\nu}v, \\ Tv &= \max_{\mu \in M} \min_{\nu \in N} \tilde{T}_{\mu,\nu}v. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following functions

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mu,\nu} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (T_{\mu,\nu})^k 0, \\ v_* &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^k 0. \end{aligned}$$

...

The motivation for introducing the above total payoff game is the following simple observation:

Lemma 1. *Player i can win the parity game \mathcal{G} with priority p from some starting node x if and only if $|v_*(x)| = \infty$.*

Corollary 1. *Player $1 - i$ can force Player i to cycle on nodes with priorities lower than p from some starting node x if and only if $|v_*(x)| < \infty$.*

To provide an efficient algorithm for parity games, we shall need to deepen the above observation. For concreteness, assume from now on that the maximal priority p is even (the odd case is similar). Therefore $i = 0$ and for all x , $g(x) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let Y be the set of nodes from which Player 1 can prevent Player 0 to cycle infinitely often in nodes with parity p :

$$Y = \{ x \in X ; v_*(x) < \infty \} = \{ x \in X ; \exists \nu \in N, \forall \mu \in M, v_{\mu,\nu}(x) < \infty \}$$

Let F be the set of positional strategies by which Player 1 can prevent Player 0 to cycle infinitely often in nodes with parity p from some starting node:

$$F = \{ \nu \in N ; \exists x \in X, \forall \mu \in M, v_{\mu,\nu}(x) < \infty \}.$$

Let Z be the set of nodes from which Player 1 only visits nodes with parity (strictly) smaller than p :

$$Z = \{ x \in X ; v_*(x) = 0 \}.$$

We shall provide an efficiently-computable characterization of the sets Y , F and Z . Indeed, the set of strategies F and the set Y can be characterized as follows:

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \{ \nu \in N ; \exists x \in X, \forall \mu \in M, \exists j \in [0, n], \exists y \in Z, \mathbb{1}_x(P_{\mu,\nu})^j = \mathbb{1}_y \}, \\ Y &= \{ x \in X ; \exists \nu \in N ; \forall \mu \in M, \exists j \in [0, n], \exists y \in Z, \mathbb{1}_x(P_{\mu,\nu})^j = \mathbb{1}_y \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The characterization of F is a consequence of the fact that after j steps for some $j \in [0, n]$, the trajectory induced by μ and ν has necessarily entered its limiting cycle, that necessarily has value 0 (otherwise it would contradict the definition of F). The characterization of Y is a consequence of that of F . \square

In the parity game literature, Y is called the *1-attractor set of Z* . Given Z , Y and F can be computed in n steps as follows: ... In particular with can observe that F is a rectangular set.

Eventually, it turns out that the set Z can also be characterized in a simple way:

Lemma 3.

$$Z = \{ x \in X ; [T^n 0](x) = 0 \}.$$

Proof. Assume that $v_*(x) > 0$ for some x . Then this implies that Player 0 has a strategy that can obtain at least a cost of 1 from x (on the infinite play). Then, necessarily, Player 0 can obtain it in $j \in [1, n]$, and thus $[T^j j 0](x) > 0$. The result is obtained by observing that the operator T is monotone and $0 \leq T0$ (since costs are non-negative). \square

2 An algorithm for the parity game

Terminal condition: If the game \mathcal{G} only contains only one priority p , then we know that for all nodes, the optimal parity is q .

Recursion When the game \mathcal{G} has at least two different priorities, let p be the maximal priority and let $i \equiv p \bmod 2$ be the corresponding player. Let us consider the sub-problem whether Player i can win the game with priority p or whether Player $1 - i$ can force Player i to cycle in nodes with priorities (strictly) lower than p (Player $1 - i$ may win or lose, but if he loses, it will be with a priority lower than p). This sub-problem can be cast as a mean payoff game $\mathcal{G}' = (X, E, w)$ with cost function:

$$\forall x, g(x) = (-1)^p \mathbb{1}_{p(x)=p}.$$

We recursively solve the parity game restricted to the set A , i.e. the game $\mathcal{G} \setminus (B \cup C)$, a game which only contains priorities (strictly) lower than p , i.e. obtain for each node $x \in A$ its optimal parity $p_*(x)$. From this, we can propagate this optimal priority from A to B by iterating (at most n times):

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in X_0 \cap B, \quad p_*(x) &= \max_{y; (x,y) \in E} p_*(y), \\ \forall x \in X_1 \cap B, \quad p_*(x) &= \min_{y; (x,y) \in E} p_*(y), \end{aligned}$$

where the max and min operators above use the order relation \preceq on priorities:

$$p \prec p' \Leftrightarrow (-2)^p < (-2)^{p'}.$$

As there is only one recursive call, and as the maximal priority necessarily decreases at each iteration, the above procedure takes at most d iterations, and

Theorem 1. *A parity game can be solved in polynomial time.*

References

- Andrzej Ehrenfeucht and Jan Mycielski. Positional strategies for mean payoff games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 8:109–113, 1979.
- Wiesław Zielonka. Infinite games on finitely coloured graphs with applications to automata on infinite trees. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 200(1–2):135–183, June 1998. ISSN 0304-3975. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00009-7. URL [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975\(98\)00009-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3975(98)00009-7).