Static Automated Program Repair for Heap Properties

by Rijnard van Tonder and Claire le Goues

• Programs have bugs!

- Programs have bugs!
- Static analysis tools can find and report certain kinds of bugs

- Programs have bugs!
- Static analysis tools can find and report certain kinds of bugs
- What if a tool could also automatically fix the bugs it finds?

- Programs have bugs!
- Static analysis tools can find and report certain kinds of bugs
- What if a tool could also automatically fix the bugs it finds?
 - Automated Program Repair

Background: Infer

- Static analysis tool by Facebook
- Extensible
- Works by converting source code to an Intermediate Language:



Smallfoot Intermediate Language (SIL)

Background: Infer

- Static analysis tool by Facebook
- Extensible
- Works by converting source code to an Intermediate Language

A tool to detect bugs in Java and C/C++/Objective-C code before it ships

Infer is a static analysis tool - if you give Infer some Java or C/C++/Objective-C code it produces a list of potential bugs. Anyone can use Infer to intercept critical bugs before they have shipped to users, and help prevent crashes or poor performance.

GET STARTED TRY INFER IN YOUR BROWSER

One of the tools mentioned in "From Start-ups to Scale-ups: Opportunities and Open Problems for Static and Dynamic Program Analysis"



Background: Infer

Infer in action

Background: Separation Logic

- Infer works by using Separation Logic to extract specifications from each command in the source code, which can then be composed into procedure summaries.
 - Separation Logic: formal way to reason about a program's manipulation of its state (memory)
 - Specification: a triplet in the form:
 - {P}C{Q} where P is the current heap state, C is the **command** being considered and Q is the heap state after C executes
 - Procedure summary: the summary of the combined effects of all of the procedure's commands.

Background:

$$\frac{\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}{\{P\ *\ F\}\ C\ \{Q\ *\ F\}} \text{ Frame Rule}$$

- The Frame Rule states that, given a heap H, the analysis of the command C with specification {P}C{Q} can proceed without considering the unaffected parts of H, namely the frame F.
- This enables local reasoning: the ability to reason only over the affected heap parts and ignore the rest.
- The process by which a frame F is found is called frame inference.
- The *affected* part is called **footprint**.

Background: Example

```
{hmap⇒undefined, root⇒undefined}
    hmap=sw_malloc(...)
{hmap⇒alloced, root⇒undefined}
```

```
swHashMap *hmap =
sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap));

if (!hmap) {
    swWarn("malloc[1] failed.");
    return NULL;

}
swHashMap_node *root =
    sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap_node));

if (!root) {
    swWarn("malloc[2] failed.");
    return NULL; // returns, hmap not freed
}
```

$$\frac{\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}{\{P\ *\ F\}\ C\ \{Q\ *\ F\}} \text{ Frame Rule}$$

Background: Example

```
{hmap⇒undefined, root⇒undefined}
    hmap=sw_malloc(...)
{hmap⇒alloced, root⇒undefined}
```

```
swHashMap *hmap =
sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap));

if (!hmap) {
    swWarn("malloc[1] failed.");
    return NULL;
}

swHashMap_node *root =
    sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap_node));

if (!root) {
    swWarn("malloc[2] failed.");
    return NULL; // returns, hmap not freed
}
```

$\frac{\{P\}\;C\;\{Q\}}{\{P\;*\;F\}\;C\;\{Q\;*\;F\}}\;\text{Frame Rule}$

Frame (F): { root }
Footprint: { hmap }

Background: Separation Logic

- Armed with Separation Logic, Infer finds bugs by looking in its symbolic representation of the program for certain problematic specifications
- For example, it can find the memory leak in the previous code snippet

Let's use the notation $C_{\ell}, \sigma \rightsquigarrow \text{fault}$ to mean that the interpretation step \rightsquigarrow for instruction C at location ℓ in symbolic state σ results in a fault

Background: Example

$$C_{\ell}, \sigma \sim \text{fault}$$

In this example, at the location 1=11:

```
return₁, {hmap⇒alloced} → fault
```

```
swHashMap *hmap =
sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap));
if (!hmap) {
    swWarn("malloc[1] failed.");
    return NULL;
}
swHashMap_node *root =
    sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap_node));
if (!root) {
    swWarn("malloc[2] failed.");
    return NULL; // returns, hmap not freed
}
```

Background: Example

$$C_{\ell}, \sigma \sim \text{fault}$$

In this example, at the location 1=11:

```
return₁,{hmap⇒alloced} → fault
```

At this point, Infer would report the bug. This is where FootPatch comes in.

```
swHashMap *hmap =
sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap));
if (!hmap) {
    swWarn("malloc[1] failed.");
    return NULL;
}
swHashMap_node *root =
    sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap_node));
if (!root) {
    swWarn("malloc[2] failed.");
    return NULL; // returns, hmap not freed
}
```

FootPatch

- FootPatch is an extension to the Infer tool, created by the authors of the paper
- It deals with three heap-based properties violations:
 - Null dereference
 - Resource leak
 - Memory leak
- Once Infer finds a violation, FootPatch tries to repair it based on other similar snippets from elsewhere in the code.

What is a Repair

A repair satisfies:

$$C_{\ell}, \mathbb{H}_{Bad} \rightsquigarrow \text{fault} \Longrightarrow T_{\ell'}, \mathbb{H} \stackrel{*}{\leadsto} C_{\ell}, \mathbb{H}_{Good} \not \rightsquigarrow \text{fault}$$

Where T is an additive transformation in the form of a program fragment that avoids the fault state.

Repair specification

```
\{F\}C_R\{F'\} where:
```

- F: error heap configuration
- C_R: repair fragment
- F': fixed heap configuration

Repair search

```
?C_{\ell'}, {map \Rightarrow alloced} \rightarrow return<sub>\ell</sub>, {map \Rightarrow freed} \not \rightarrow fault (1)
[-----]
\{pvar \Rightarrow alloced\} ?C \{pvar \Rightarrow freed\} (2)
```

Figure 4: Modeling repair search.

Repair queries

```
 \{ pvar \mapsto Null \} ?C \{ \_ \mapsto Exn \ e \} 
 \{ pvar \mapsto \langle File, Acquired \rangle \} ?C \{ pvar \mapsto \langle File, Release \rangle \} 
 \{ pvar \mapsto \langle Memory, Acquired \rangle \} ?C \{ pvar \mapsto \langle Memory, Release \rangle \} 
 (5)
```

Figure 5: Repair Specifications.

Repair queries

 A key insight from the paper is that the queries can be relaxed to capture extra semantic effects by applying frame inference on the pre- and post-conditions to candidate repair fragments.

Repair location

Few locations possible

```
swHashMap *hmap =
sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap));
if (!hmap) {
    swWarn("malloc[1] failed.");
    return NULL;
}
swHashMap_node *root =
    sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap_node));
if (!root) {
    swWarn("malloc[2] failed.");
    return NULL; // returns, hmap not freed
}
```

Repair location

- Few locations possible
- In this example, the fix could be applied either before line 10 or before line 11
- FootPatch chooses the line immediately before the fault-causing statement
- In this case, between lines 10 and 11.

```
swHashMap *hmap =
sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap));
if (!hmap) {
    swWarn("malloc[1] failed.");
    return NULL;
}
swHashMap_node *root =
    sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap_node));
if (!root) {
    swWarn("malloc[2] failed.");
    return NULL; // returns, hmap not freed
}
```

Generating the patch

- Infer's Smallfoot Intermediate Language (SIL) carries type information in its symbolic statements
- Therefore, FootPatch can use that type information to decide which repair to use
- In this case, sw_free is used instead of free, since hmap is of type swHashMap

```
swHashMap *hmap =
sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap));
if (!hmap) {
    swWarn("malloc[1] failed.");
    return NULL;
}
swHashMap_node *root =
    sw_malloc(sizeof(swHashMap_node));
if (!root) {
    swWarn("malloc[2] failed.");
    return NULL; // returns, hmap not freed
}
```

Finding more bugs

```
fp = fopen(rdbfilename, "r");
        if (memcmp(buf, "REDIS", 5) != 0) {
            rdbCheckError("Wrong signature trying to load
                  DB from file");
            fclose(fp);
 6
            return 1;
        rdbver = atoi(buf+5);
        if (rdbver < 1 || rdbver > RDB_VERSION) {
            rdbCheckError("Can't handle RDB format
10
                 version %d",rdbver);
11
            fclose(fp);
            return 1;
14
```

Correctness

How to verify that a patch was successful:

- Apply it and run Infer again: should result in the bug not being found
- Run the project's test suite

Results

Project	Lang	kLOC	Time (s)	Δ GL	Bug Type	Bugs	Max Cands	Δ G L	Fixes	Δ GL	FP	ΔGL
Swoole	С	44.5	20	+83	Res. Leak†	7	1	+6	1	+2	0	+0
					Mem. Leak†	20	3	+0	6	+0	3	+0
lxc	С	63.0	51	-	Res. Leak	3	5	-	1	-	0	-
					Mem. Leak	8	13	-	0	-	1	-
Apktool	Java	15.0	584	+92	Res. Leak†	19	3	+2	1	+0	0	+0
dablooms	C	1.2	9	+0	Res. Leak†	7	2	+0	7	+0	0	+0
php-cp	C	9.0	20	+5	Res. Leak†	4	3	+1	1	+0	0	+0
armake	C	16.0	10	+13	Res. Leak†	5	7	+4	4	+0	0	+0
sysstat	C	24.9	28	+10	Res. Leak	1	10	+0	1	+0	0	+0
redis	C	115.0	79	+121	Res. Leak†	8	8	+10	6	+0	0	+0
rappel	C	2.1	7	+3	Mem. Leak†	1	6	+0	1	+0	0	+0
error-prone	Java	149.0	262	+602	Null Deref	11	66	+0	2	+0	0	+0
jfreechart	Java	282.7	1,268	_	Null Deref	53	221	-	22	_	0	-

Table 1: Bugs repaired with FOOTPATCH. "Bugs" is the number of bugs detected by Infer's static analysis. "Max Cands" is the maximum number of IL repair candidates for the bug (pre-compatibility check). "Fixes" are the number of unique patches fixing unique bugs (post check). \dagger indicates one or more fixes for previously undiscovered bugs. " Δ GL" is the change in associated column when using the global search space.

Thank you!