Lsn39

Clark

Admin

Last lesson we talked about how the Neyman Pearson lemma can be used to create Statistical tests about unknown parameters from any statistical model. However, as we showed UMP tests don't exist for all pairs of hypotheses. For example, $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_a: \theta \neq \theta_0$.

When we cannot rely on NP, we still have hope using Likelihood Ratio Tests (LRT). A LRT is found by forming the ratio:

Note here our $H_0: \Theta \in \Omega_0$ and $H_a: \Theta \in \Omega_a$. This might look complicated, but let's tear it apart. Note in general our allowable parameter space is $\Theta \in \Omega$ and $\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_a = \Omega$ implies that our entire parameter space is covered by our null and alternative hypotheses.

The rejectoion region is determined by $\lambda < k$ where k is chosen corresponding to a set α .

If we look at the denominator of the LRT it is $\hat{\theta}_{mle}$. Our Numerator can be thought of as the MLE of θ when θ is restricted to Ω_0 .

For example, let's consider $U_1, \dots, U_n \sim Unif(0, \theta)$ and let's consider the LRT of $H_0: \theta = 1$ vs $H_a: \theta \neq 1$.



On a final note, it is exceedingly rare that we know the distribution of the LRT statistic. More often than not we have to rely on asymptotics to give us the distribution. In this case $-2\log(\lambda) \sim \chi_{r_0-r}^2$ where r_0 is the number of free parameters in H_0 and r is the number of free parameters in H_a .

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m denote a random sample from the exponential density with mean θ_1 and let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n denote a random sample from the exponential density with mean θ_2 . Let's find the likelihood ratio test for testing $H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2$ vs $H_a: \theta_1 \neq \theta_2$