

5.1 iid random samples

Def: Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n iid f (pmf or pmf).
then we say (X_1, \dots, X_n) is a random sample from the population (with pmf/pmf) f(x).

Remarks: Other usages:

- n-sample of F
- n-iid sample of f
- 1-sample of size n

Ex: $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{EXP}(\beta)$, and

then, we may compute, say

$P(X_1 > a, X_2 > b, \dots, X_n > c)$, etc.

Def: Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be an n-sample from a population.
Let $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a real-valued function.
then $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is called a **statistic**
i.e. a function of a random sample

Remarks: Statistic is a function

- telling us something about an underlying population w/ pmf/pdf F.
- does so only on random samples (data)

Modern viewpoint: $\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{T} & Y = T(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots & & \\ X_n & \xrightarrow{T} & \end{array}$
algorithm/application

Examples: (1) $\bar{X} := \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$; sample mean

$$\Rightarrow S^2 := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

$$\text{2) } X_{(1)} := \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$$

$$X_{(2)} := \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n \setminus X_{(1)}\}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{(k)} := \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n \setminus X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(k-1)}\}$$

i.e. the k-th smallest number of the sample

order statistics of the n-sample := $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$

Thm: Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be an n-iid sample from a population with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E\bar{X} = \mu \\ \text{Var}\bar{X} = \sigma^2/n \\ E S^2 = \sigma^2 \end{array} \right.$

Remark: provides statistical justification for using \bar{X} and S^2 as estimates of μ and σ^2 respectively; (1) and (2) are unbiased estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROOF: } E\bar{X} &= E\frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(EX_1 + \dots + EX_n) \text{ by COE} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(n\mu) = \mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\bar{X} &= \text{Var}\frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} (n\text{Var}X_1) \text{, since } X_i \text{-independent} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} n \text{Var}X_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Distribution of \bar{X}

two main methods: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{method of moments} \\ \text{or change-of-var formula} \\ \text{convolution formula} \end{array} \right.$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) M_{\bar{X}}(t) &= Ee^{t\bar{X}} \\ &= Ee^{\frac{t}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)} \\ &= Ee^{\frac{t}{n}X_1} \dots e^{\frac{t}{n}X_n} \\ &= (M_X(t/n))^n \end{aligned}$$

Remark: If M_X can be recognized as MGF of a known family,
then we can find the dist. of \bar{X} easily, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2), \\ \text{then } \bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n) \end{aligned}$$

2) CONVOLUTION FORMULA

Thm: If $X \sim f_X$, $Y \sim f_Y$,

then $Z := X+Y$ has the pdf which is the convolution of f_X and f_Y :

$$f_Z(z) = f_X(x) f_Y(z-x)$$

$$:= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(z-x) dx$$

Proof: use the change-of-var formula for the mapping $(x,y) \rightarrow (x+y, y)$

Remark: useful for deriving pdf/pmf when the transformation $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ does not belong to a known (well-recognized) family (e.g. exponential, location-scale, etc.)

5.2: USEFUL CLASSICAL FACTS

Thm: If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$,

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

then

a) \bar{X} and S^2 are independent RV's

b) $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$

c) $(n-1) \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$

Recall: χ^2_p w/ p df:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2)} \frac{x^{p/2-1}}{2^{p/2}} e^{-x/2}, x > 0$$

and $\chi^2_p \equiv \text{Gamma}(p/2, 2)$

OTHER STATISTICS AND THEIR DISTRIBUTIONS

If $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, μ -unknown

then \bar{X} is a statistic that tells us about μ

Q: how so? we know $\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$

this gives us a way to quantify the uncertainty about μ , using statistic \bar{X} as an estimate

Q: what if σ is unknown too?

we may want to consider $\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S/\sqrt{n}}$

since S is a statistic that can be obtained from the sample

to be useful, we need to know the distribution of

$$T := \frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

Thm: $T \sim t_{n-1}$: student's t dist. w/ $n-1$ df

t_p has the pdf

$$f_T(t) = \frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)} \left(\frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \right) \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{n/2-1}}$$

Remarks: - T is the ratio of two independent random variables as

$$\tilde{X} - \mu \sim \text{Normal}, \sqrt{(n-1)s^2} \sim \sqrt{\chi^2_{n-1}}$$

if $n=2$, then $T \sim \text{Ratio of 2 ind. normal RV's} \Rightarrow T \sim \text{cauchy}$

5.3: convergence concepts

Given a sequence of RV's X_1, \dots, X_n , we want to study various notions of convergence to a RV X :

$\Leftrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ convergence in probability

$\Leftrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ convergence almost surely/ with prob 1

$\Leftrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ convergence in dist.

We already encountered \Leftrightarrow :

$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ if $F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$ at all points where F_X is continuous

recall (binomial approximation): a) If $X_n \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p_n)$
 $n p_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 then $X_n \xrightarrow{d} Y$ where $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

b) If $X_n \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p_n)$
 $p_n \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X_n - np) \xrightarrow{d} Z$ where $Z \sim N(0, p(1-p))$
 (a consequence of CLT - see notes)

Def: $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ if
 $\boxed{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(X_n - X \geq \epsilon) = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty}$

Notice: $\forall \epsilon > 0, \Pr(X_n - X \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 $= \Pr(\epsilon \leq X_n - X \leq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 where ϵ generally depends on n

central limit law of large numbers (CLLN): Let X_1, \dots, X_n be RV's with $|EX_i| < \infty$
 $\text{Var}(X_i) < \infty$
 define $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$
 then $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu$

Proof: $\forall \epsilon > 0, \Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon)$
 $= \Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu|^2 \geq \epsilon^2)$
 $\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} E(|\bar{X}_n - \mu|^2)$ by chebyshev's inequality
 $= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n)$
 $= \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

Def: $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s. (w/ prob. 1) if
 $\boxed{\Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - x| = 0) = 1 \equiv \Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = x) = 1}$ (A)

Notice: $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if
 $\Pr\{\exists s: X_{n(s)} \rightarrow X(s)\} = 1$
 where s : does not depend on n



here, $X(s) \rightarrow X(s)$ & $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ $\Rightarrow \Pr(S^{\#}) = 1$

Lemma: $\text{E}(\Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - x| \geq \epsilon)) = 1 \Rightarrow \Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - x| \geq \epsilon) = 0$

then, letting $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ be a sequence that converges to 0

$$\Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - x| \geq \frac{1}{S_k}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$= 1 - \Pr(\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - x| < \frac{1}{S_k})$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - x| < \frac{1}{S_k})$$

$$= 1$$

$\Rightarrow \text{A}$ is valid

Prop: If $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ then $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \text{ then} \\ \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n \text{ s.t. } P(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |X_m - X| < \epsilon) = 1 \\ = P(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| < \epsilon) \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| < \epsilon), \text{ Fatou's lemma} \\ \text{Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Ex: Useful to know that original def. of RV is a function on $S: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$

Let $X_n, X \in \Omega, S = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, P$: uniform dist. on S , i.e. $P \sim \text{Uniform}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{define } \sum X_n(i) = S + S^* \\ \sum X(i) = S \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } X_n(i) \rightarrow X(i) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ \text{so, } P(S: \lim X_n(i) = X(i)) = P(S: \omega_0(i)) = 1 \\ \text{even though } P(X_n \neq X) = P(S \neq S^*) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Ex: Let $X(n) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{def } X_1(s) &= \#(s \in \omega_0(1)) = \boxed{\square}, \\ X_2(s) &= \#(s \in \omega_0(1) \cap \omega_0(2)) = \boxed{\square}, \\ X_3(s) &= \#(s \in \omega_0(1) \cap \omega_0(2) \cap \omega_0(3)) = \boxed{\square}, \\ &\vdots \\ X_N(s) &= \#(s \in \omega_0(1) \cap \dots \cap \omega_0(N)) = \boxed{\square} \end{aligned}$$

then $P(S: |X_n(i) - X(i)| > \epsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= P(S: X_n(i) = 1) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &\rightarrow 0, \forall \epsilon > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{but } P(S: X_n(i) \rightarrow X(i)) \\ &= P(S: X_n \rightarrow \omega_0) \\ &= P(S: X_n(i) = 0 \text{ infinitely many times}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ but $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

Ex: Suppose $X(n) = 0 \forall n \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} X(n) &= \#(0, \frac{1}{n}) = \boxed{\square} \\ &= P(S: X_n(i) \rightarrow X(i)) \\ &= P(S: X(i) \rightarrow 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_0) \\ &= P(S \neq S^*) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Ex: let $X(n)(\omega_0)$ and $Y = -X$

then $X \xrightarrow{P} Y$ but $P(X \neq Y) = 1$, i.e. $X \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$.

Remarks:

- convergence a.s. \Rightarrow convergence in prob.
- convergence prob. $\not\Rightarrow$ convergence a.s.

<u>Theorem (law of large numbers - LLN):</u> Let X_1, \dots, X_n be RVs with $E[X_i] = \mu$ $\left E[X_i] - \mu \right < \delta$
<u>define</u> $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ <u>then</u> $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$

Proof: similar in spirit but more technically involved than seen

Remark: $E[X_i] = \mu$ is very mild

Theorem (CLT): If $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{RV}$ where MGF exist in a neighborhood of 0,

$$\text{Let } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu, \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma^2 \\ \text{and } \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_i}] \text{ exists for all } |t| < \delta \end{array} \right. \text{ and } \left| \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right| \leq N(0, 1)$$

$$\text{then } \left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right) = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \xrightarrow{d} Z$$

Remarks: • perhaps most celebrated thm. in prob.

• widely applicable; only verification is required

Corollary: Let $Y_i = (X_i - \mu)/\sigma$, then $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = 0$

and we may write $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

$$\text{P. } \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right) = \frac{1}{\sigma} (\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

Proof (sketch): $M_2(t) = E[e^{t\bar{X}_n}] = e^{\frac{t^2\sigma^2}{n}}$; it is enough to

$$\text{show that } M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}} \left(\frac{t_1 + \dots + t_n}{\sigma} \right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} M_2(0) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\Leftrightarrow t \rightarrow 0$ for some $\delta > 0$

NOW

$$M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}} \left(\frac{t_1 + \dots + t_n}{\sigma} \right)^n = \left(M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}}(t/n) \right)^n$$

Apply Taylor expansion which is valid for small $|t/(n\sigma)|$.

$$M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}}(t/n) = M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}}(0) + M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}}'(0) \frac{t}{n\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}}''(0) \frac{t^2}{n^2\sigma^2} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right)$$

\uparrow $t \rightarrow 0$ \uparrow $EY_i = 0$

where $o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right)$ vanishes faster than $\frac{t^2}{n} \Leftrightarrow n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{Thus } \begin{aligned} \left(M_{\frac{t}{\sigma}}(t/n) \right)^n &= \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n\sigma^2} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right) \right)^n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{t^2}} = e^{t^2\sigma^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (i): IF $\begin{cases} X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \\ Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} b : \text{constant} \end{cases}$

then,
 $\alpha X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \alpha X + b$

Lemma (ii): IF $\begin{cases} X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \\ Y_n \xrightarrow{P} b : \text{constant} \end{cases}$

then,
 $\alpha X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{P} \alpha X + b$

Lemma (iii): IF $\begin{cases} X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \\ Y_n \xrightarrow{P} b : \text{constant} \end{cases}$

then
 $\alpha X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \alpha X + b$

Remarks: • i, ii, and iii are almost immediate from definition

• i is known as Slutsky's theorem; P. based on characterization: $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$

\Leftrightarrow for all continuous and bounded function $f(x)$

$\mathbb{E}f(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}f(X)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (higher dim case as opposed to 1-dim - not covered)