

Retrospective Activity

Group 4

Product/process that was not as successful as we would have hoped in iteration 2: Following Agile practices and the HSQL Database

When the development for iteration 2 was split up one team member had the responsibility of implementing the database. Unfortunately due to certain circumstances that team member left and our lack of closely following agile practices (not pushing code frequently, no pair programming) resulted in all the loss of all the DB code.

In the next iteration (iteration 3) this can be improved by following agile practices more closely. Instead of waiting until a story is complete, push it when it's functional or to another branch so the code is at least available to everyone. This also allows other people to work on it in the meantime.

Along with more frequent commits pair programming would help because then more than one person knows how something is being implemented and should one of the members be unable to continue, there is still a member that knows what is going on.

Any changes to this process will be considered a success if we arrive at the end of the iteration with no confusion or lost code. Everyone should be able to follow along with all the development pieces throughout the iteration and there should be no missing/lost code at the end of the iteration because of the continuous commits and pushes.