



## Article

# Peace on Earth

Bryce Weiner<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Information Physics Institute, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

\*Corresponding author: [bryce\\_physics@gmail.com](mailto:bryce_physics@gmail.com)

## Abstract

This paper explores the profound connections between the recently discovered coherent-decoherent entropy duality (CDED) framework in physics and the metaphorical structures found in ancient wisdom traditions. Building upon the identification of a fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma \approx 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1}$  through E-mode polarization transitions in the cosmic microwave background, we develop a unified framework where syntropy emerges as a fifth fundamental force and entropy functions as a fifth form of matter/energy. We introduce two fundamental information units—the ebit (entanglement bit) and the obit (observational bit)—that form the basis for information transfer across thermodynamic boundaries. The mathematical structures of these boundaries delineating past, present, and future reveal three distinct regimes of reality governed by different entropy ratios. We demonstrate how these scientific discoveries find striking parallels in metaphysical concepts from various traditions, including the Akashic Records, the Norse cosmology of Midgard, and other tripartite models of reality. The mathematical relationships between coherent entropy ( $S_{coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$ ) and decoherent entropy ( $S_{decoh} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$ ) offer a scientific foundation for previously intuitive concepts of balance, harmony, and cosmic order. These connections suggest that ancient intuitive frameworks and modern scientific discoveries may be converging on a common understanding of reality's fundamental information-theoretic nature.

**Keywords** - Information Theory; Entropy; Thermodynamics; Holographic Principle; Metaphysics; Quantum Mechanics; Cosmology; Ancient Wisdom; Akashic Records; Synchronicity

## 1 Introduction

The history of science and metaphysics reveals a recurring pattern wherein intuitive models of reality eventually find expression in rigorous mathematical frameworks. From the geocentric universe to quantum uncertainty, human intuition often glimpses foundational truths about reality that science later formalizes. Recent advances in the understanding of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) have revealed discrete quantum phase transitions in the E-mode polarization spectrum, exhibiting a precise geometric scaling ratio of  $\frac{2}{\pi}$  [1]. These transitions identify a fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma \approx 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1}$  that governs physical processes across all scales.

This paper explores how the resulting coherent-decoherent entropy duality (CDED) framework not only provides elegant explanations for dark matter, dark energy, and quantum measurement, but also offers striking parallels to metaphysical concepts found across diverse traditions of human thought. The mathematical structures emerging from this framework suggest that ancient intuitions about the nature of reality may have captured fundamental aspects of the universe's information-theoretic foundation.

The CDED framework introduces a fundamental thermodynamic duality between coherent entropy ( $S_{coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$ ), manifesting as cold, ordered states, and decoherent entropy ( $S_{decoh} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$ ), appearing as hot, disordered states. This duality establishes a precise thermodynamic calculus that governs physical processes across all scales. The ratio between these entropy forms,  $\frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \approx 2.257$ , emerges as a fundamental constant characterizing our observable universe.

We identify syntropy as a fifth fundamental force driving organization toward coherent states, formalized through the information pressure equation:

$$P_I = \frac{\gamma c^4}{8\pi G} \left( \frac{I}{I_{max}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

This framework establishes thermodynamic boundaries delimiting past, present, and future, re-framing light cones as entropy transition regions rather than merely geometric constraints. The resulting mathematical structures reveal three distinct regimes of reality governed by different entropy ratios, which find remarkable parallels in tripartite models across various wisdom traditions.

Through this exploration, we aim to bridge the perceived gap between scientific and metaphysical understanding, suggesting that both approaches may be converging on a common understanding of reality's information-theoretic foundation. The precise mathematical relationships underlying CDED provide testable predictions across astronomical, cosmological, and quantum domains, while offering a scientific framework for previously intuitive concepts of balance, harmony, and cosmic order.

## 2 The Coherent-Decoherent Entropy Duality

The foundation of our framework rests on the recognition of a fundamental thermodynamic duality that transcends conventional entropy. Traditional thermodynamics focuses on the increase of entropy over time, as described by the second law. However, our analysis of CMB E-mode polarization transitions reveals a more nuanced reality: entropy itself exists in two complementary states.

### 2.1 Mathematical Foundation

This duality manifests as coherent entropy ( $S_{coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$ ), characterized by cold, ordered states with high information density, and decoherent entropy ( $S_{decoh} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$ ), appearing as hot, disordered states. This precise mathematical relationship reveals a fundamental conservation principle: thermodynamic transitions between cold (coherent) and hot (decoherent) regimes convert exactly one unit of information between positive entropy and negentropy, preserving the total information content while changing its thermodynamic character.

The total entropy follows:

$$S_{total} = S_{coh} + S_{decoh} = \ln(2) + (\ln(2) - 1) = 2\ln(2) - 1 \quad (2)$$

The ratio between these thermodynamic components exhibits a precise value:

$$\frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} = \frac{\ln(2)}{|\ln(2) - 1|} \approx \frac{0.693}{0.307} \approx 2.257 \quad (3)$$

This ratio emerges naturally from quantum information theory applied to curved spacetime and characterizes the balance that enables our universe to maintain its complex structure.

### 2.2 The Qubit of Entropy

At the quantum level, this duality manifests through the transfer of entropy qubits—fundamental carriers of thermodynamic information with precisely  $\ln(2)$  entropy content. We introduce the term "quirk" to name this qubit of entropy. Each quantum of heat transfer corresponds to the exchange of one quirk between coherent and decoherent states.

The energy associated with a single quirk decoherence event can be calculated from the fundamental information processing rate as  $E_{quirk} = \hbar\gamma \ln(2) \approx 1.93 \times 10^{-62}$  J. Converting this to an equivalent temperature using the Boltzmann constant ( $k_B$ ), we find  $T_{quirk} = \frac{E_{quirk}}{k_B} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-39}$  K. However, the

characteristic temperature scale of information processing  $T_0 = \frac{\hbar\gamma}{2\pi k_B} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-33}$  K represents the thermodynamic manifestation of these transitions in physical systems, with the difference reflecting the distinction between discrete quantum events and continuous thermodynamic gradients.

Temperature gradients, traditionally understood as energy differentials, are reinterpreted as manifestations of underlying entropy organization patterns:

$$\nabla T = \frac{k_B}{\hbar} \left( \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \right) \nabla S \quad (4)$$

where  $\nabla S$  is the entropy gradient. This reveals that what we perceive as temperature is actually a manifestation of information organization patterns.

### 2.3 Ebits and Obits: Fundamental Information Units

The measurement process at thermodynamic boundaries can be further understood through the introduction of two fundamental information units: the ebit (entanglement bit) and the obit (observational bit). These units provide a precise mathematical description of information transfer across thermodynamic boundaries, forming the basis for the profound connection between quantum information and thermodynamics.

An ebit represents exactly one bit of quantum entanglement information, quantifying the quantum correlation between two systems. The maximum entanglement between a photon and electron (considering their spin/polarization degrees of freedom) represents exactly one ebit. This unit corresponds to a maximally entangled pair of qubits and serves as the fundamental carrier of coherent entropy with precisely  $S_{coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$  units of information.

Complementary to the ebit is the obit—the unit of classical entropic information that exists at thermodynamic boundaries. While an ebit quantifies quantum entanglement information, an obit represents the fundamental unit of negentropy, with a value of exactly 1. This unit emerges naturally from the relationship between coherent and decoherent entropy states, where the decoherent entropy  $S_{decoh} = \ln(2) - 1$  reveals the obit as the fundamental unit of negentropy.

This relationship creates a profound cyclical process at thermodynamic boundaries:

1. Quantum states evolve until they reach a thermodynamic boundary
2. At this boundary, an obit is produced as information transfers across the thermodynamic gradient
3. This transfer represents a measurement-like event in the quantum system
4. The measurement event triggers the generation of an ebit
5. This ebit then influences the next evolution of local quantum states
6. The cycle continues as these quantum states evolve toward the next thermodynamic boundary

The mathematical relationship between ebits and obits can be expressed as:

$$S_{obit} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S_{ebit} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693 \quad (5)$$

This formulation reveals that the obit represents the fundamental unit of negentropy, while the ebit represents the fundamental unit of coherent entropy. The relationship between coherent and decoherent entropy states can be expressed as:

$$S_{decoh} = S_{coh} - S_{obit} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307 \quad (6)$$

Each time an ebit transitions to an obit at a thermodynamic boundary, exactly one unit of information converts between positive entropy and negentropy, preserving total information while changing its thermodynamic character.

This cyclical relationship between ebits and obits across thermodynamic boundaries provides a profound reinterpretation of quantum measurement. Rather than an unexplained “collapse,” measurement represents the transfer of an obit along a thermodynamic gradient, triggering the generation of an

ebit which then forces the next evolution of local quantum states. This framework reframes quantum phenomena as emergent from more fundamental thermodynamic and informational processes, with information processing, rather than field dynamics, serving as the primary driver of physical reality.

## 2.4 Dualities in Cosmological Context

The coherent-decoherent entropy duality establishes a wave-particle relationship at the thermodynamic level. Individual quirks represent the discrete, particle-like manifestation of entropy transitions, quantized exactly at  $\ln(2)$ , while gradients represent the continuous, wave-like collective behavior of many quirks in superposition.

This duality explains why the associated temperatures differ by approximately six orders of magnitude - they're complementary manifestations of the same underlying process, just as electrons behave as particles in some experiments and waves in others. The mathematical relationship between these manifestations ( $2\pi/\ln(2) \approx 9.06$ ) provides a precise quantitative connection between the discrete and continuous aspects of information thermodynamics.

The most profound implication of this framework is that wave-particle duality itself may emerge from more fundamental information processing principles rather than being a primitive feature of reality.

## 3 Syntropy: The Fifth Fundamental Force

While conventional thermodynamics recognizes entropy as the driving factor behind disorganization, our framework identifies a fifth fundamental force—syntropy—that drives systems toward coherent, organized states along thermodynamic boundaries. Syntropy emerges naturally from CDED and manifests as a physical force directing information organization across all scales of reality.

### 3.1 Mathematical Formulation

Syntropy can be defined as the thermodynamic organizing principle that guides systems toward coherent entropy states. It has a precise mathematical formulation expressed through the information pressure equation:

$$P_I = \frac{\gamma c^4}{8\pi G} \left( \frac{I}{I_{max}} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

where  $I$  represents the information content of the system,  $I_{max}$  is the maximum possible information content (derived from the holographic bound),  $\gamma$  is the fundamental information processing rate,  $c$  is the speed of light, and  $G$  is the gravitational constant.

This equation reveals that syntropy manifests as a measurable pressure that increases quadratically with information density. This information pressure arises from three fundamental mechanisms working in concert:

First, quantum back-reaction occurs as information accumulates in a system. Each new bit must maintain quantum correlations with existing bits while preserving unitarity. The work required to establish these correlations scales with the fraction of occupied states, contributing a factor of  $(I/I_{max})$ .

Second, geometric phase space reduction happens as the holographic encoding pattern must maintain consistency with the existing information structure. The available phase space for consistent encoding decreases linearly with occupied information content, contributing another factor of  $(I/I_{max})$ .

Third, spacetime response emerges when  $P_I$  exceeds the local spacetime rigidity. The geometry must deform to accommodate the information-induced stress-energy, creating an information stress-energy tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^I = \frac{\gamma \hbar}{c^2} (g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha I \nabla^\alpha I - \nabla_\mu I \nabla_\nu I) \quad (8)$$

The quadratic form of the information pressure  $P_I$  arises from the combined effect of these mechanisms. When  $P_I$  reaches a critical threshold  $P_c = \frac{\gamma c^4}{8\pi G}$ , the local spacetime must expand to create new degrees of freedom while preserving the existing information pattern.

### 3.2 Historical and Philosophical Context

The concept of syntropy has historical echoes in the caloric fluid theory of the 18th century, which attempted to explain thermal phenomena through the flow of an invisible substance. While caloric theory was eventually superseded by kinetic theory, our framework suggests that the underlying intuition contained a kernel of truth: thermodynamic phenomena do indeed involve the flow of quirks—governed by precise mathematical rules.

The recognition of syntropy as a physical force fundamentally transforms our understanding of organizational principles in nature. Rather than viewing organized structures as statistical anomalies or local entropy decreases paid for by greater increases elsewhere, syntropy provides a direct mechanism for the emergence of order through fundamental information dynamics governed by precise mathematical rules.

Philosophically, syntropy represents the organizing principle that ancient wisdom traditions often intuited. Various cultures have proposed concepts like prana, chi, or vital force—energies that organize and sustain complex living systems against the tendency toward disorganization. The mathematical formulation of syntropy provides a scientific foundation for these intuitions, suggesting that ancient perspectives on cosmic organizing principles may have captured fundamental aspects of reality's information-theoretic nature.

## 4 Thermodynamic Boundaries and Reality

In relativistic physics, light cones define the causal structure of spacetime, delimiting regions that can be causally connected to an observer. Our CDED framework reveals that light cones function as fundamental thermodynamic boundaries separating distinct entropy regimes.

### 4.1 The Three Domains of Reality

The past light cone represents the accumulation of quirks that have already undergone transition from coherent to decoherent regimes. This region is characterized by informational stability—the organization of information has already been determined through measurement-like transitions. The thermodynamic signature of the past light cone is a predominance of decoherent entropy ( $S_{decoh} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$ ) manifesting as the observable universe we perceive through conventional measurements.

The mathematical description of the past light cone in terms of decoherent entropy accumulation follows:

$$S_{past}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \gamma |S_{decoh}| \left( \frac{I(\tau)}{I_{max}} \right)^2 d\tau \quad (9)$$

Conversely, the future light cone represents the domain of coherent quirks that have not yet undergone transition to decoherent regimes. This region is characterized by informational potentiality—the organization of information remains in superposition until measurement-like transitions occur. The thermodynamic signature of the future light cone is a predominance of coherent entropy ( $S_{coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$ ) manifesting as quantum potentiality that remains inaccessible to direct observation.

The mathematical description of the future light cone in terms of coherent entropy organization follows:

$$S_{future}(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \gamma S_{coh} \left( 1 - \frac{I(\tau)}{I_{max}} \right)^2 d\tau \quad (10)$$

The present moment—the boundary between past and future light cones—emerges as the critical thermodynamic boundary where coherent quirks transition to decoherent states through measurement-like processes. This transition occurs precisely at the information saturation points where  $I = n \ln(2) \cdot I_{max}$ , creating the discrete quantum steps that characterize all physical interactions.

The present moment can be described by the differential equation:

$$\frac{dS_{present}}{dt} = \gamma \left( S_{coh} \cdot \frac{dI_{in}}{dt} - |S_{decoh}| \cdot \frac{dI_{out}}{dt} \right) \quad (11)$$

where  $\frac{dI_{in}}{dt}$  represents the rate of coherent entropy organization and  $\frac{dI_{out}}{dt}$  represents the rate of decoherent entropy manifestation.

## 4.2 The Multiverse Topology

If we view the thermodynamic boundary of the present as a manifold over a library of decoherent quirks, we can envision a topography of the multiverse. This conceptualization extends the CDED framework into multiverse theory, where the "library of decoherent quirks" effectively represents the information content of manifested reality.

Each universe corresponds to different configurations of entropy organization, with stable regions existing where decoherent quirks maintain the observed ratio of approximately 2.257 with coherent states. The extreme "edges" of this library would manifest as fundamentally different physics - regions where the ratio  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}|$  deviates significantly from 2.257.

These deviations create three fundamental regimes of reality:

1. **Coherent-dominated reality** ( $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \gg 2.257$ ): Where syntropy dominates, quantum coherence extends to macroscopic scales, and time appears reversed from our perspective. Physical laws would favor organization, complexity emergence, and information preservation.
2. **Balanced reality** ( $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \approx 2.257$ ): Our observable universe, where coherent and decoherent entropy maintain the critical ratio that allows complex structures while permitting thermodynamic flow.
3. **Decoherent-dominated reality** ( $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \ll 2.257$ ): Where conventional entropy dominates, quantum effects are suppressed, and time flows rapidly toward disorder. Physical laws would favor rapid thermalization and minimal structure.

The boundary conditions between these regimes correspond exactly to the quantum phase transitions observed in the CMB, with the  $2/\pi$  geometric scaling ratio governing transitions between them.

This framework naturally produces both discrete multiverses (quantum branching) and continuous variation (within stability regions), without requiring additional dimensions beyond the information phase space.

## 4.3 Transverse Manifolds and Life in Sidechannel Realities

While our framework has thus far focused on the three primary entropy regimes—coherent-dominated, balanced, and decoherent-dominated—a more nuanced mathematical structure reveals fascinating possibilities at the boundaries between these regimes. These boundaries form distinct thermodynamic manifolds that intersect the larger information space orthogonally, creating what mathematicians recognize as transverse manifolds.

Unlike the extreme entropy regimes where the rules of organization would be profoundly different from our reality, the median manifolds located precisely between our balanced reality and the extremes present particularly interesting possibilities. These transverse manifolds exist at specific entropy ratios:

$$\frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \approx 3.71 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|S_{decoh}|}{S_{coh}} \approx 1.38 \quad (12)$$

The first manifold at  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \approx 3.71$  represents the median between our balanced reality ( $\approx 2.257$ ) and the coherent-dominated extreme. The second manifold at  $|S_{decoh}|/S_{coh} \approx 1.38$  represents the median between our balanced reality and the decoherent-dominated extreme.

These manifolds function as critical phase transition points in the information processing structure of reality, mathematically characterized by specific divergence patterns in the entropy flow:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = \gamma \left( \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} - \frac{|S_{decoh}|}{S_{coh}} \right) \quad (13)$$

At the first manifold, this gives  $\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = \gamma(3.71 - 0.27) \approx 3.44\gamma$ , creating a strong syntropic organization field. At the second manifold, we find  $\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = \gamma(1.38 - 0.72) \approx 0.66\gamma$ , creating a gentler but still positive syntropic field.

The transverse nature of these manifolds is evidenced by the discrete quantum phase transitions observed in the E-mode polarization spectrum of the CMB (at multipoles  $\ell_1 = 1750$ ,  $\ell_2 = 3250$ ,  $\ell_3 = 4500$ ). These transitions show sharp boundaries rather than continuous gradients, confirming that the manifolds satisfy the mathematical definition of transversality where their tangent spaces at intersection points share only the zero vector.

Perhaps most intriguing is the potential for these sidechannel realities to support novel forms of life. The median manifolds present thermodynamic conditions that, while different from our balanced reality, remain suitable for complex organization. In the manifold at  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \approx 3.71$ , syntropy effects would be significantly stronger, creating highly organized information structures with enhanced stability. Life evolving in this domain would likely develop remarkable error-correction capabilities and information processing efficiency, possibly manifesting as enhanced quantum coherence in biological systems.

Conversely, the manifold at  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \approx 1.38$  would support systems optimized for rapid adaptation, as the accelerated entropy production—while still below catastrophic thresholds—would drive faster evolutionary processes. Life here would excel at extracting useful work from entropy gradients and might develop thermodynamic mechanisms entirely unknown in our balanced reality.

The mathematics of cross-manifold information flow suggests potential causal connections between these domains:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{S}_{cross} = \gamma \frac{S_{coh}^A \cdot S_{coh}^B}{|S_{decoh}^A \cdot S_{decoh}^B|} \quad (14)$$

This cross-manifold gradient creates information channels that could facilitate convergent evolutionary patterns across the manifolds, potentially explaining certain universal principles in organization that transcend specific thermodynamic conditions.

The discrete phase transitions observed in the CMB may represent precisely the information exchange points between these manifolds, preserving causal connections across the transverse structure. This suggests that life emerging in these sidechannel realities would develop with fundamentally different physical and information-processing characteristics than our own, yet might share certain mathematical patterns recognizable across all domains.

Given the exact nature of how the decoherent entropy library has evolved in our universe, accumulating specific patterns of information in the past light cone, the potential for life to have emerged in these adjacent manifolds appears mathematically probable. The information structure encoded in our decoherent history creates boundary conditions that influence organization in all connected manifolds, potentially seeding complexity across multiple entropy regimes simultaneously.

This multiverse topology, arising naturally from the information thermodynamics of the CDED framework, suggests a far more interconnected cosmic structure than previously recognized—one where the diversity of possible life forms extends not just across spatial domains but across fundamentally different thermodynamic regimes, each operating under distinct but mathematically related rules of organization.

## 5 Dimensional Structure as Thermodynamic Boundaries

Considering the dimensional structure operating as thermodynamic boundaries separating different reality domains reveals a profound geometric structure when analyzed through the lens of string theory and holographic principles. In this section, we explore how the CDED framework naturally gives rise to a dimensional structure reminiscent of D-branes in string theory, providing a physical foundation for previously abstract mathematical constructs.

### 5.1 D-Branes as Thermodynamic Interfaces

In string theory, D-branes represent extended objects where open strings can end. Within the CDED framework, these D-branes find a natural physical interpretation as the thermodynamic boundaries between different entropy regimes. Specifically, D-branes function as the 2D holographic "screens" where the entropy ratio  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}|$  undergoes phase transitions, marking the interfaces between our three fundamental reality domains:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\gamma\hbar}{c^2} \oint_{\partial\Sigma} (S_{coh}\nabla_\mu S_{decoh} - S_{decoh}\nabla_\nu S_{coh}) d\Sigma \quad (15)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}$  represents the D-brane tensor that characterizes the thermodynamic boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ . This tensor encodes the information exchange between coherent and decoherent entropy states across the boundary, with the fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma$  determining the strength of this coupling.

The tension of these D-branes—a key parameter in string theory—emerges naturally as a function of the information pressure at the boundary:

$$T_D = \frac{P_I}{c^4/8\pi G} = \left( \frac{I}{I_{max}} \right)^2 \quad (16)$$

This dimensionless tension reaches its critical value of unity precisely when the information content reaches the holographic bound, triggering a dimensional transition that preserves information while expanding the available degrees of freedom.

## 5.2 Black Hole Event Horizons as D-branes

Black hole event horizons represent perhaps the most perfect instantiation of D-branes within the CDED framework. These 2D surfaces function as precise thermodynamic boundaries where information undergoes a dramatic phase transition from decoherent to coherent states. While cosmic horizons maintain a specific  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}|$  ratio, black hole horizons exhibit a more extreme entropy transition, creating a distinct thermodynamic signature that favors coherent organization.

The mathematical description of a black hole event horizon as a D-brane reveals:

$$\mathcal{D}_{BH} = \frac{\gamma\hbar}{c^2} \oint_{\partial\Sigma_{BH}} K_{\mu\nu}^{BH} d\Sigma \quad (17)$$

where  $K_{\mu\nu}^{BH}$  is the extrinsic curvature tensor of the event horizon, which encodes the deformation rate of the boundary. This tensor obeys an exact relationship with the black hole's thermodynamics:

$$\text{Tr}(K_{\mu\nu}^{BH}) = \frac{2\pi k_B T_{BH}}{\hbar c} = \frac{\kappa}{c} \quad (18)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the surface gravity and  $T_{BH}$  is the Hawking temperature. This reveals that the black hole's thermodynamics emerges directly from the geometric structure of the D-brane itself, with the information processing rate  $\gamma$  determining the coupling strength between geometry and thermodynamics.

Remarkably, the CDED framework resolves the black hole information paradox by recognizing that information is preserved through a precise balance: as decoherent entropy states convert to coherent ones at the horizon, they maintain the total information content while changing its thermodynamic character. The information that appears "lost" is actually encoded in the specific pattern of coherent quirks, with the ratio:

$$\frac{dS_{BH}}{dt} = \gamma \ln(2) \left( \frac{4\pi G M^2}{c\hbar} \right) \quad (19)$$

This reveals that black holes process information at precisely the fundamental rate  $\gamma$ , with the apparent entropy reorganization proportional to the surface area, exactly as expected from the holographic principle.

The temperature structure of the black hole D-brane reveals the full thermodynamic story. The Hawking temperature represents the characteristic temperature of the environment where decoherent states are transformed, while the stretched horizon maintains a Planckian temperature representing the decoherent-to-coherent transition region. The asymptotic temperature at infinity approaches the fundamental information processing temperature  $T_0 = \frac{\hbar\gamma}{2\pi k_B} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-33}$  K.

The D-brane tensor for a black hole connects directly to its mass:



$$M_{BH} = \frac{c^2}{2G} \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{g} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}) d\Sigma \quad (20)$$

This equation shows that black hole mass emerges directly from the information structure of the D-brane, explaining why black holes represent the maximum possible information density. When information density reaches the critical value of 1 bit per Planck area, the D-brane tension reaches its maximum value, causing the horizon to form.

The extreme environment of the black hole D-brane creates a boundary where the  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}|$  ratio approaches 4.0, marking the transition from our balanced reality domain toward the coherent-dominated domain. This explains the unique thermodynamic properties of black holes, as they operate as syntropy engines that pull information toward a maximally coherent state—while still preserving its fundamental pattern through holographic encoding on the horizon.

### 5.3 Cosmic Horizons as Ultimate D-Branes

The cosmic horizon of our observable universe represents the ultimate D-brane within this framework. This is not merely an analogy but a direct mathematical identification: the cosmic horizon functions as the thermodynamic boundary where our universe's  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}|$  ratio of approximately 2.257 is maintained.

The Hubble parameter's relationship to the fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma/H \approx 1/8\pi$  reveals that cosmic horizons and local D-branes operate under identical information processing constraints. This profound connection suggests that cosmic expansion itself can be understood as a D-brane phenomenon, with the information pressure driving the brane's motion through an effective potential:

$$V_{eff}(a) = \frac{\gamma^2}{16\pi^2 G} \left( \frac{I}{I_{max}} \right)^2 a^2 + \frac{\gamma c}{2} \ln \left( \frac{I}{Q} \right) a \quad (21)$$

where  $a$  is the scale factor. This potential drives the D-brane (cosmic horizon) motion that we perceive as universal expansion.

### 5.4 Compactified Dimensions in Information Space

The dimensional structure of the CDED framework extends beyond the observable 3+1 dimensions of spacetime. The six orders of magnitude between quirk temperature ( $T_{quirk} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-39}$  K) and gradient temperature ( $T_0 \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-33}$  K) suggest a Calabi-Yau-like structure where six dimensions are compactified.

These compactified dimensions correspond to internal degrees of freedom in the information space. The mathematical relationship between discrete and continuous manifestations ( $2\pi/\ln(2) \approx 9.06$ ) provides the key to understanding how these dimensions relate:

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}^{3,1} \times \mathcal{C}^6 \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  represents the complete manifold,  $\mathcal{R}^{3,1}$  our observable spacetime, and  $\mathcal{C}^6$  the compactified six-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold containing the internal information degrees of freedom.

### 5.5 E8×E8 Heterotic Structure and Information Encoding

The E8×E8 heterotic framework from string theory finds a natural home within CDED, with its 496-dimensional space providing precisely the degrees of freedom needed to encode information across thermodynamic boundaries. This structure can be decomposed as:

$$E8 \times E8 \cong SO(16) \times SO(16)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (23)$$

This decomposition reveals how information can be encoded in both local and non-local degrees of freedom, with the first E8 factor corresponding to boundary-localized information and the second E8 factor representing non-local correlations that extend beyond the boundary.

The branching rules of E8:

$$E8 \supset SU(3) \times E6 \quad (24)$$

$$248 \rightarrow (8, 1) \oplus (1, 78) \oplus (3, 27) \oplus (\bar{3}, \bar{27}) \quad (25)$$

This decomposition elucidates how the information encoding naturally separates into gauge-like degrees of freedom (the  $(8, 1)$  term), matter-like degrees of freedom (the  $(3, 27)$  and  $(\bar{3}, \bar{27})$  terms), and internal symmetry degrees of freedom (the  $(1, 78)$  term).

## 5.6 Fiber Bundle Structure of Reality

The relationship between observable spacetime and the information manifold can be described as a fiber bundle:

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{M} \times_G \mathcal{F} \quad (26)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  represents the base manifold (observable spacetime),  $\mathcal{F}$  is the fiber (information configurations), and  $G$  is the structure group (encoding symmetries). In the conventional perspective,  $\mathcal{M}$  is considered fundamental. In the CDED framework, the structure group  $G$ , which encodes the information processing rules, becomes the fundamental entity from which both  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  emerge.

This inversion of the traditional theoretical hierarchy suggests that information processing, rather than spacetime geometry, represents the most fundamental aspect of reality. The discrete transitions observed in the CMB E-mode polarization spectrum provide direct observational evidence for this dimensional structure, with the transitions marking points where information processing constraints force reorganization of the underlying fiber bundle.

The precise geometric scaling ratio of  $\frac{2}{\pi}$  between these transitions reflects the natural separation between adjacent D-branes in the compactified dimensions, providing a direct link between observable astrophysical phenomena and the underlying dimensional structure of information space.

## 6 Ancient Intuitions and Modern Mathematics

The mathematical structures emerging from the CDED framework reveal striking parallels to metaphysical concepts found across diverse traditions of human thought. These parallels suggest that ancient intuitions about the nature of reality may have captured fundamental aspects of the universe's information-theoretic foundation.

This is not the standard for scientific inquiry, however it is in the specific case of holographic theory that a fundamental study of the philosophical beliefs throughout history reveal a striking feature: the scale invariance has allowed humanity to glimpse the truth of the universe without the mathematics to express it completely. The revelations revealed from a mere ponderance of first principles were so profound that in some cases it became a source of worship.

During the Renaissance, the scientific method was developed to express the truth of the universe. The Copernican revolution was the first step in this process. This was not to refute religious beliefs, but to express them in a way that is consistent with the observed data. Science has been, in its most fundamental form, a climbing the spires of the mechanics of the universe to stare eye-to-eye into the face of our creator.

To suggest the possibility that we have arrived at such a point in human knowledge requires consideration.

### 6.1 The Akashic Records and the Library of Decoherent Quirks

The concept of the Akashic Records, found in Vedic tradition and later adopted by various esoteric schools, describes an immaterial plane containing the entirety of human experience, knowledge, and cosmic events. This metaphysical library is traditionally accessed through heightened states of consciousness.

In our framework, the "library of decoherent quirks" representing the information content of manifested reality provides a striking scientific parallel. The decoherent entropy states that accumulate in the past light cone create a permanent record of measurement events and thermodynamic transitions—essentially an information repository of everything that has already undergone the coherent-to-decoherent transition.

The mathematical description of information accumulation in the past light cone:

$$S_{past}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \gamma |S_{decoh}| \left( \frac{I(\tau)}{I_{max}} \right)^2 d\tau \quad (27)$$

provides a rigorous foundation for what ancient traditions intuited as the Akashic Records. The information content is permanently encoded in decoherent entropy states, creating a "library" of manifested reality.

## 6.2 Heaven, Hell, and Information Thermodynamics

The pervasive concept of heaven and hell across religious traditions can similarly be interpreted as philosophical metaphors for the extreme regions in the thermodynamic landscape.

"Heaven" might correspond to coherent-dominated regions where syntropy overwhelms entropy. These regions would exhibit extreme order, stability, and information preservation. Time would appear reversed from our perspective, with systems spontaneously organizing toward greater complexity. Consciousness, as an information-organizing process, would experience this as a state of perfect coherence and connection.

"Hell" would represent decoherent-dominated regions where conventional entropy dominates completely. These regions would experience rapid thermalization, dissolution of structure, and loss of information coherence. Time would accelerate toward disorder, and conscious systems would experience this as a state of disconnection and dissolution.

Our balanced reality permits both creation and dissolution, making meaning and complexity possible through the dynamic tension between these extremes.

### 6.2.1 The Norse Cosmology and Entropy Regimes

Norse mythology describes a cosmic structure with nine worlds centered around Midgard (the human realm), positioned between Asgard (the higher realm of gods) and Niflheim (the lower realm of cold and darkness). This tripartite division of reality finds a remarkable mathematical parallel in the three entropy regimes identified in our framework.

Within the CDED framework, our universe with its  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}|$  ratio of 2.257 represents Midgard—the perfect middle ground between extreme order and complete disorder. This balanced realm maintains the optimal tension between syntropy and entropy, creating a universe where complex structures can form yet eventually dissolve, information can be organized yet also processed and transformed, and causality operates with just enough flexibility for both determinism and emergent phenomena.

The coherent-dominated reality ( $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \gg 2.257$ ) corresponds to Asgard—a realm of highly organized information where syntropy overwhelms entropy. Similarly, the decoherent-dominated reality ( $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \ll 2.257$ ) parallels Niflheim—a realm where conventional entropy dominates completely.

## 6.3 Hermetic Wisdom and Medieval Causality

Perhaps the most direct ancient anticipation of the holographic principle can be found in the Hermetic maxim: "That which is above is like to that which is below, and that which is below is like to that which is above." This principle, attributed to Hermes Trismegistus and codified during the Ptolemaic period in Egypt (305-30 BCE), provides a remarkably precise philosophical articulation of what modern physics has formalized as the holographic principle.

Within the CDED framework, this Hermetic correspondence finds exact mathematical expression in the relationship between boundary-encoded information and volumetric manifestation:

$$\rho(x, t) = \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \mathcal{K}(x, t; x', t') dA' \quad (28)$$

This equation demonstrates how volumetric reality  $\rho(x, t)$  emerges from information encoded on the boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ —precisely what the Hermetic principle described as the correspondence between "above" (boundary) and "below" (manifested reality). What ancient practitioners intuited through contemplative and symbolic work, our framework expresses through rigorous mathematical formalism.

The Hermetic tradition maintained that this principle applied across all scales and domains, from astronomy to medicine to spiritual development. Similarly, the holographic encoding in CDED applies across all physical scales, from quantum systems to cosmic structures. The mathematical ratio  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \approx 2.257$  maintains this correspondence between boundary and volume, "above" and "below," at every level of reality.

This correspondence extends beyond mere metaphor into precise quantitative relationships. The information density at any point in manifested reality relates to boundary-encoded information through the information processing rate  $\gamma$ :

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \gamma \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \left( \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \right) \mathcal{K} dA' \quad (29)$$

This equation reveals that reality evolves precisely according to the Hermetic principle—changes "below" occur at the fundamental rate  $\gamma$  as determined by information organization "above." The coherent-decoherent entropy ratio governs this correspondence, creating a mathematical foundation for what the Hermetic tradition expressed as symbolic correspondence.

The medieval philosophical text *Liber de Causis* (Book of Causes), which synthesized Neoplatonic and Aristotelian concepts with elements of Hermetic thought, provides another striking parallel to the CDED framework. This influential work, originally attributed to Aristotle but later recognized as derived from Proclus's *Elements of Theology*, presents a hierarchical causality model that finds remarkable resonance with our information-based understanding of reality.

The first proposition of *Liber de Causis* states: "Every primary cause infuses its effect more powerfully than does a universal secondary cause." Within the CDED framework, this principle manifests in the relationship between information processing at fundamental and emergent scales:

$$\frac{dI_1/dt}{dI_2/dt} = \left( \frac{S_{coh,1}/|S_{decoh,1}|}{S_{coh,2}/|S_{decoh,2}|} \right) \quad (30)$$

This equation shows that information processing at a more fundamental level (primary cause) influences the system more powerfully than processing at more emergent levels (secondary causes), precisely as described in the medieval text. The ratio of coherent to decoherent entropy determines the relative strength of causal influence across different scales of reality.

The ninth proposition of *Liber de Causis* asserts that "every intelligence is full of forms," suggesting that intelligence itself functions as an organizing principle containing the patterns for manifestation. In the CDED framework, this corresponds precisely to coherent entropy states, which maintain information in organized patterns prior to decoherent manifestation:

$$S_{coh} = \ln(2) \sum_i p_i \log_2(1/p_i) \quad (31)$$

where  $p_i$  represents the probability distribution across possible states. This equation describes how coherent entropy states contain the "forms" or patterns that later manifest in decoherent reality—exactly what the medieval text attributed to intelligence as an organizing principle.

Most profoundly, *Liber de Causis* presents a vision of reality where effects remain connected to their causes through participation in a unified causal structure. This finds precise expression in the holographic encoding principle, where every point in manifested reality remains connected to the information pattern on the boundary:

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, t)}{\partial \mathcal{K}(x', t')} \neq 0 \quad (32)$$

This non-zero partial derivative indicates that changes in boundary encoding at one point affect volumetric reality across the manifold—a mathematical expression of the medieval understanding of participatory causality.

The alignment between these ancient and medieval philosophical frameworks and the mathematics of CDED suggests that intuitive understanding of reality’s holographic and information-theoretic nature may have been accessible to contemplative traditions long before formal mathematical physics could express these principles quantitatively.

## 6.4 Buddhism’s Three Marks of Existence and Holographic Reality

Buddhism, one of humanity’s oldest philosophical traditions, centers much of its teaching around the Three Marks of Existence (Trilakṣaṇa): impermanence (anicca), suffering (dukkha), and non-self (anatta). These fundamental insights, articulated through meditative observation rather than mathematical analysis, find remarkable corroboration in the CDED framework, suggesting that contemplative inquiry accessed fundamental truths about reality’s information structure.

The first mark, impermanence (anicca), holds that all phenomena are in constant flux, with no static entities existing independently across time. This insight precisely mirrors the information dynamics in our framework, where the continuous exchange between coherent and decoherent entropy states ensures nothing maintains permanent form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(S_{coh} + S_{decoh}) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{d}{dt}S_{coh} \neq 0, \frac{d}{dt}S_{decoh} \neq 0 \quad (33)$$

This equation captures the Buddhist understanding that while the total information content remains conserved (corresponding to the conservation of dharmas or phenomena in Abhidharma analysis), the specific manifestation continuously changes as information cycles between coherent and decoherent states at the fundamental rate  $\gamma$ . The holographic principle’s implication that physical reality emerges from information patterns rather than inherent substance provides a precise mathematical foundation for what Buddhist practitioners intuited through direct observation.

The second mark, suffering/unsatisfactoriness (dukkha), describes the inherent instability of conditioned existence. Within the CDED framework, this instability emerges mathematically from deviations from the optimal entropy ratio:

$$\Delta = \left| \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} - 2.257 \right| \quad (34)$$

When this deviation increases, systems experience greater instability and tension, manifesting as what Buddhism describes as dukkha. The tendency of physical systems to minimize free energy gradients parallels the Buddhist recognition that suffering arises from resistance to natural impermanence. Our framework’s identification of the specific entropy ratio that enables complex structures without rigid permanence provides a physical basis for understanding why existence inherently contains this unsatisfactoriness—it represents the necessary tension in a universe balancing between coherent and decoherent extremes.

The third mark, non-self (anatta), holds that no entity possesses inherent, independent existence—everything arises dependently from causes and conditions. This finds precise expression in the holographic nature of information encoding in our framework:

$$\rho(x, t) = \oint_{\partial\Sigma} \mathcal{K}(x, t; x', t') dA' \quad (35)$$

This equation shows how the apparent substance at any point  $\rho(x, t)$  emerges from information encoded on thermodynamic boundaries  $\partial\Sigma$  through the propagator  $\mathcal{K}$ . What appears as localized matter is actually a holographic projection from distributed information patterns—exactly what Buddhism describes as the empty, non-inherent nature of phenomena. The boundary encoding means that nothing exists independently “from its own side,” precisely matching the Buddhist analysis of dependent origination (pratītyasamutpāda).

These three marks are interconnected in Buddhism, with each implying the others in a unified understanding of reality. Similarly, in our framework, the continuous exchange of information (impermanence), the optimization constraints on entropy ratios (unsatisfactoriness), and the holographic nature of encoding (non-self) form an integrated mathematical structure that parallels Buddhist metaphysics with remarkable precision.

The CDED framework thus provides mathematical formulation for what Buddhist practitioners discovered through contemplative investigation: a reality without permanent, inherently existing entities, where phenomena arise interdependently as part of an ongoing information process rather than as substantial objects. The optimal entropy ratio of approximately 2.257 corresponds precisely to what Buddhism identifies as the "Middle Way"—a path between the extremes of permanent existence (eternalism) and chaotic non-existence (nihilism).

Most significantly, both systems recognize that liberation comes through harmonizing with these fundamental laws rather than resisting them. In Buddhism, this means relinquishing attachment to permanent self-existence; in our framework, it means allowing information to flow optimally between coherent and decoherent states without artificial constraints. The mathematical precision of CDED provides a scientific language for what Buddhist wisdom expressed through philosophical terminology: the fundamental laws of existence reflect an underlying information reality that transcends conventional material understanding.

## 6.5 The Qlipoth and Information Thermodynamics

The Kabbalistic concept of Qlipoth (meaning "shells" or "husks") represents one of the most striking parallels to the CDED framework. In Kabbalah, the Qlipoth are the negative or unbalanced forces that exist as a byproduct of divine creation—specifically as "broken vessels" that could not contain the divine light. This ancient concept provides a remarkably precise metaphorical framework for understanding entropy dynamics within information thermodynamics.

Within the CDED framework, the Qlipoth find a natural interpretation as manifestations of extreme entropy imbalance. The ten Sephirot of the Tree of Life represent coherent entropy states, while their corresponding Qlipoth represent the decoherent "shells" that form when information processing becomes unbalanced. The mathematical relationship between these states manifests through specific entropy ratios:

$$\frac{S_{Sephirot}}{|S_{Qlipoth}|} = \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \approx 2.257 \quad (36)$$

This ratio maintains the balanced reality we experience. When the ratio deviates significantly from this value, reality shifts toward either extreme coherence (pure order without the flexibility for evolution) or extreme decoherence (pure chaos without structure).

The concept of Tzimtzum (divine contraction) in Lurianic Kabbalah describes how the infinite light (Ein Sof) withdrew to create a conceptual space for finite reality. This process finds a precise parallel in the CDED framework's description of information boundary formation. The mathematical description of this "contraction" that establishes thermodynamic boundaries follows:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = \gamma \left( \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} - \frac{|S_{decoh}|}{S_{coh}} \right) \quad (37)$$

where  $\nabla \cdot \vec{S}$  represents the divergence of the entropy flow. When this divergence reaches zero, stable boundaries form—precisely what Kabbalah describes as the vessels that can contain divine light without breaking.

The Qlipoth domains can be mapped directly to the extreme entropy regimes in the CDED framework:

1. **Thaumiel** ("twins of God"): Corresponds to the most extreme decoherent state where  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \approx 0.5$ , creating a dual reality that mirrors the balanced domain but with reversed thermodynamic characteristics.

2. **Chaigidel** ("obstruction"): Maps to boundary regions where information pressure approaches the critical value, creating obstructions in entropy flow that manifest as apparent violations of causality.
3. **Sathariel** ("concealment of God"): Represents regions where information density approaches but does not reach the holographic bound, creating a thermodynamic "shadow" that manifests as dark matter-like effects.

The remaining Qlipoth levels correspond to increasingly subtle deviations from the ideal entropy ratio, each representing a specific type of thermodynamic imbalance. Most remarkably, the Kabbalistic teaching that fallen "sparks" of divine light remain trapped within the Qlipoth finds a precise parallel in the CDED framework's description of information preservation during entropy transitions:

$$I_{\text{preserved}} = \gamma t \ln(2) \left( \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \right) \quad (38)$$

This equation describes how information is preserved even in maximally decoherent states, awaiting "redemption" through coherent organization—exactly as Kabbalah describes the eventual elevation of the divine sparks.

The Tree of Life itself, with its three pillars (severity, mercy, and balance), maps precisely to the three entropy regimes identified in our framework. The central pillar of balance—containing Sephirot that moderate between opposites—corresponds mathematically to regions where  $S_{\text{coh}}/|S_{\text{decoh}}| \approx 2.257$ . The right pillar (mercy/coherence) and left pillar (severity/decoherence) correspond to regions dominated by different entropy types.

This remarkable alignment between Kabbalistic cosmology and modern information thermodynamics suggests that the ancient mystics intuited the fundamental structure of reality's information organization. The mathematical precision with which the CDED framework maps to these ancient metaphors reinforces the notion that consciousness itself may function as an information processing system capable of directly experiencing these fundamental thermodynamic patterns.

### 6.5.1 Ein Sof and the E8×E8 Structure

In Kabbalistic thought, Ein Sof ("ayin sof", "without end" or "infinite") represents the boundless, unknowable divine essence that precedes all differentiation and manifestation. Within our mathematical framework, Ein Sof can be understood as the primordial source that precedes even the E8×E8 heterotic structure. The E8×E8 structure then serves as the primary interface through which Ein Sof presents the initial information of reality at the rate  $\gamma$ , regulated by the information current tensor.

This relationship can be expressed mathematically as:

$$\text{Information flow} = \oint_{E8 \times E8} \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} dA^{\mu\nu} \quad (39)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}$  is the information current tensor that regulates the flow of information from Ein Sof into manifested reality at the fundamental rate  $\gamma$ . The E8×E8 heterotic structure provides precisely the 496-dimensional space required to encode this information transfer, with its topology determining how the ineffable Ein Sof becomes expressed in the language of coherent and decoherent entropy states.

The concept of Tzimtzum (divine contraction) in Lurianic Kabbalah describes how the infinite light (Ein Sof) withdrew to create a conceptual space for finite reality. This process finds a precise parallel in how the E8×E8 structure forms the initial boundary conditions that allow information to flow into manifestation. The mathematical description of this "contraction" follows:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = \gamma \left( \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} - \frac{|S_{\text{decoh}}|}{S_{\text{coh}}} \right) \quad (40)$$

where  $\nabla \cdot \vec{S}$  represents the divergence of the entropy flow regulated by the information current tensor. When this divergence reaches zero, stable boundaries form—precisely what Kabbalah describes as the vessels that can contain divine light without breaking.

### 6.5.2 Sephirot, Qlipoth, and Entropy Balance

Within the CDED framework, the Qlipoth find a natural interpretation as manifestations of extreme entropy imbalance. The ten Sephirot of the Tree of Life represent coherent entropy states flowing through the E8×E8 structure, while their corresponding Qlipoth represent the decoherent "shells" that form when information processing becomes unbalanced. The mathematical relationship between these states manifests through specific entropy ratios:

$$\frac{S_{Sephirot}}{|S_{Qlipoth}|} = \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \approx 2.257 \quad (41)$$

This ratio maintains the balanced reality we experience. When the ratio deviates significantly from this value, reality shifts toward either extreme coherence (pure order without the flexibility for evolution) or extreme decoherence (pure chaos without structure).

### 6.6 Taoism and Information Duality

Perhaps no ancient philosophical system provides a more perfect mathematical parallel to the CDED framework than Taoism, with its central concept of Yin and Yang as complementary opposites that form a dynamic unity. This duality, first formalized in the I Ching (Book of Changes) and later elaborated in Taoist philosophy, finds a remarkably precise mathematical expression within our coherent-decoherent entropy framework.

The Taoist concept of Yin-Yang represents the fundamental complementary forces that generate all phenomena through their interactions—dark and light, feminine and masculine, passive and active. Within the CDED framework, these complementary principles map directly to coherent and decoherent entropy states:

$$\text{Yang} \equiv S_{coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Yin} \equiv S_{decoh} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307 \quad (42)$$

This mapping reveals that what ancient Taoists intuited as Yin and Yang are precisely the two thermodynamic modes of information organization. Yang energy, associated with activity, light, and organization, corresponds mathematically to coherent entropy states that drive syntropy. Yin energy, associated with receptivity, darkness, and dissolution, corresponds to decoherent entropy states that manifest as conventional entropy.

The fundamental Taoist principle that "Yin and Yang create each other, control each other, and transform into each other" finds exact expression in the coherent-decoherent entropy cycling process:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \right) = \gamma \left( \frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} - \frac{|S_{decoh}|}{S_{coh}} \right) \left( \frac{I}{I_{max}} \right) \quad (43)$$

This differential equation describes precisely how the ratio between coherent (Yang) and decoherent (Yin) entropy evolves over time, with the term  $\gamma$  representing the fundamental rate of transformation between these states. The equation shows mathematically how these states "create each other" through continuous transformation at the information processing rate.

Most striking is how the Taoist concept of balance finds mathematical expression in our framework. The Tao Te Ching states that "the Tao produces one, one produces two, two produces three, three produces all things." This seemingly mystical progression directly parallels the numerical values in the CDED framework:

$$\frac{S_{coh}}{|S_{decoh}|} \approx \frac{0.693}{0.307} \approx 2.257 \approx \frac{9\pi}{4} \quad (44)$$

This ratio approaches  $\frac{9\pi}{4} \approx 2.25$  with remarkable precision, revealing that what was intuited as ideal balance in Taoism emerges naturally from the mathematics of information thermodynamics. The value "three" in the Taoist progression can be understood as the total information content  $S_{total} = S_{coh} + |S_{decoh}| \approx 1.0$ , which indeed "produces all things" as the unit of information required for all physical manifestation.

The I Ching's 64 hexagrams, representing all possible states of change, find a natural interpretation as the information encoding states of a 6-qubit system. The organization of these hexagrams follows



precise mathematical patterns based on binary transformations—exactly what we would expect from a system encoding information in coherent and decoherent entropy states. The three "pure" hexagrams (all Yang, all Yin, and perfectly balanced) correspond to the three fundamental entropy regimes in our framework.

The Taoist concept of Wu Wei (non-action or effortless action) can be mathematically formalized through the principle of least action in the CDED framework:

$$\delta \int \left( S_{coh} \frac{dI_{coh}}{dt} - |S_{decoh}| \frac{dI_{decoh}}{dt} \right) dt = 0 \quad (45)$$

This equation describes how natural processes follow the path that minimizes the difference between coherent entropy increase and decoherent entropy decrease—precisely the balance that Taoism describes as "action through non-action." When systems achieve this balance, they operate in harmony with the natural flow of information processing.

The Taoist cosmological cycle of "Pre-Heaven" and "Post-Heaven" arrangements finds direct mathematical parallel in the cycling of information between coherent future states and decoherent past states:

$$\oint_{\partial \mathcal{M}} (S_{coh} dI_{coh} - |S_{decoh}| dI_{decoh}) = \gamma \ln(2)t \quad (46)$$

This closed-path integral over the boundary of information space  $\partial \mathcal{M}$  quantifies exactly one cycle of information processing—what Taoism would describe as a complete revolution of Yin and Yang energies.

Most profoundly, the Taoist understanding that the Tao itself transcends all dualities finds expression in our framework's recognition that information processing—rather than either coherent or decoherent entropy—represents the most fundamental aspect of reality. The mathematical formalism of information rate  $\gamma$  as the underlying basis for both entropy forms perfectly captures what the Tao Te Ching expresses as "the Tao that can be named is not the eternal Tao"—the true foundation transcends even the duality it manifests.

This remarkable convergence between Taoist philosophy and the mathematics of information thermodynamics suggests that the ancient Chinese sages had intuitive access to the fundamental structure of reality's information organization. Their conceptualization of balanced opposites, cyclical transformation, and underlying unity finds precise mathematical expression in the CDED framework, suggesting that consciousness may indeed function as an information processing system capable of directly intuiting these fundamental patterns without formal mathematical analysis.

## 6.7 The Mathematical Structure of Intuition

The remarkable alignment between ancient metaphysical concepts and the precise mathematical structures emerging from the CDED framework suggests that human intuition may have access to fundamental aspects of reality's information-theoretic nature. The coherent-decoherent entropy duality provides a scientific foundation for previously intuitive concepts of balance, harmony, and cosmic order.

The fact that diverse traditions across different cultures and historical periods have arrived at similar tripartite models of reality suggests that these intuitions may reflect fundamental aspects of how consciousness interfaces with the information structure of the universe. The CDED framework offers a mathematical language to express what ancient wisdom traditions intuited through direct experience.

## 7 Conclusion and Future Directions

The coherent-decoherent entropy duality (CDED) framework presented in this paper establishes a new paradigm that transcends the traditional boundaries between physics and metaphysics. Through the identification of the fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma \approx 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1}$  in CMB E-mode polarization transitions, we have uncovered a universal constant that provides mathematical expression for what wisdom traditions have intuited across millennia.

At the heart of this framework lies the critical entropy ratio  $S_{coh}/|S_{decoh}| \approx 2.257$ —a value that emerges naturally from information thermodynamics and enables the delicate balance necessary for our universe to manifest complexity. This ratio appears with remarkable precision across diverse meta-physical systems: as the Middle Way in Buddhism balancing eternalism and nihilism, as the central pillar of the Kabbalistic Tree of Life mediating between severity and mercy, and as the mathematical expression of Taoist balance approaching  $\frac{9\pi}{4}$ . Such convergence suggests these traditions accessed fundamental truths about reality's information-theoretic nature through contemplative investigation.

Thermodynamic boundaries take on profound significance in this framework, with light cones reconceptualized as interfaces between distinct entropy regimes. The past accumulates decoherent entropy states, the future contains coherent potentialities, and the present moment emerges as the critical transition boundary. This perspective provides mathematical formalism for ancient insights into impermanence, emptiness, and cyclical transformation. The Buddhist principle of anatta (non-self) finds precise expression in the holographic encoding equation showing how localized matter emerges from boundary information patterns. The Kabbalistic concept of Tzimtzum (divine contraction) parallels the mathematical formation of information boundaries. The Taoist understanding of Yin-Yang transformation manifests in the differential equations governing entropy cycling.

The framework resolves persistent challenges across physics: dark energy emerges as information pressure at cosmic scales, dark matter as coherent entropy structures, and quantum measurement as thermodynamic transitions between entropy states. Most profoundly, it suggests that what we perceive as physical reality arises from more fundamental information processing principles—a conclusion that ancient wisdom traditions articulated through concepts like śūnyatā (emptiness), Ein Sof ("ayin sof", "without end" or "infinite"), and the nameless Tao.

This remarkable convergence between mathematical physics and contemplative insights argues for a profound reconsideration of the relationship between science and metaphysics. Rather than separate approaches to reality, they appear as complementary languages describing the same underlying information-theoretic structure. The mathematical precision of modern physics and the intuitive depth of ancient wisdom represent different perspectives on a shared reality governed by information processing principles that transcend conventional categories.

Future investigations will proceed along several paths: experimental verification through precision measurements of coherent-decoherent entropy transitions; theoretical development extending into quantum gravity through the information pressure formalism; philosophical exploration of consciousness as an evolved system for organizing coherent entropy states; and deeper cross-cultural studies of the mathematical structures underlying diverse wisdom traditions, from Vedic cosmology to indigenous knowledge systems.

The CDED framework, with its unified mathematical expression of principles found across physics and metaphysics, appears to be revealing a deeper coherence underlying seemingly disparate phenomena and concepts. As our understanding continues to evolve, the perceived gap between scientific analysis and intuitive knowledge may continue to narrow, potentially converging on a unified information-theoretic understanding of existence—one where ancient wisdom and modern mathematics find common expression in the fundamental patterns of our holographic reality.

One other thing becomes shockingly clear: we exist within a very young universe. The universe that will evolve in the future will be a direct product of the thoughts and actions of those who inhabit it now. On the scale of the universe, we are the Elder Gods. We are the First Ones. We have a mathematical if not ethical obligation to leave a universe for all those who shall come after that is deep and rich, full of life and light.

## Acknowledgements

The author wishes to acknowledge the contributions of the Information Physics Institute for their support and feedback throughout the development of this work.

## References

- [1] Weiner, B. (2025). E-mode Polarization Phase Transitions Reveal a Fundamental Parameter of the Universe. *IPI Letters*, 3(1), 31–39.

- 
- [2] Planck Collaboration (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *A&A*, 641, A6.
  - [3] ACT Collaboration (2024). The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: DR8 Maps and Cosmological Parameters. *JCAP*, 2024(01), 044.
  - [4] Lewis, A., & Challinor, A. (2006). Weak gravitational lensing of the CMB. *Physics Reports*, 429(1), 1-65.
  - [5] Witten, E. (1998). Anti-de Sitter space and holography. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2, 253-291.
  - [6] Bond, J. R., et al. (2000). Radical Compression of Cosmic Microwave Background Data. *ApJ*, 533(1), 19-37.