Quantum-Thermodynamic Entropy Partition in Clifford Algebras

Bryce Weiner

Information Physics Institute

bryce.weiner@informationphysicsinstitute.net

August 13, 2025

Abstract

We present a revolutionary mathematical framework for understanding quantum-thermodynamic entropy partition (QTEP) using Clifford algebras, where time emerges from fundamental information processing cycles rather than being assumed as a given quantity. Our approach reveals that the distinction between coherent and decoherent entropy states emerges naturally from the mathematical structure known as Z_2-grading of Clifford algebras when combined with thermodynamic constraints. Through rigorous mathematical analysis, we derive the fundamental ratio $S_{\mathrm{coh}}/|S_{\mathrm{decoh}}| \approx 2.257$ directly from first principles. This work presents the ebit-obit cycle represents the fundamental clock of reality, where all temporal phenomena emerge from these basic information processing cycles. The speed of light emerges as the ratio of spatial information capacity to the number of processing cycles required, providing a bridge between cycle-based dynamics and the temporal phenomena we observe in nature. This framework offers new insights into the computational nature of physical reality and provides testable predictions for quantum decoherence experiments.

1 Introduction

The relationship between quantum mechanics and thermodynamics has long been a source of profound questions in theoretical physics [3]. Traditional approaches treat time as a fundamental parameter and attempt to understand how quantum systems evolve within this temporal framework. However, recent developments in quantum information theory and holographic principles suggest that time itself might be an emergent property arising from more fundamental information processing mechanisms.

The Quantum-Thermodynamic Entropy Partition (QTEP) framework represents a paradigm shift in our understanding of these relationships [6]. Rather than starting with time as given, we propose that reality operates through discrete information processing cycles, with time emerging as a secondary phenomenon. This approach naturally explains several puzzling aspects of quantum mechanics, including the measurement problem and the origin of decoherence.

Central to our framework is the mathematical structure of Clifford algebras [4], which provide a natural setting for describing both quantum mechanical phenomena and geometric relationships in spacetime. Clifford algebras possess an intrinsic Z_2-grading that separates elements into even and odd components. We demonstrate that this mathematical feature corresponds directly to the physical distinction between coherent quantum information (stored in even-grade elements) and classical observational information (represented by odd-grade elements).

Our key innovation lies in recognizing that information processing occurs in discrete cycles, which we term ebit-obit cycles. An ebit represents a quantum bit of entanglement with entropy $S_{\text{ebit}} = \ln(2)$ per cycle, while an obit represents a classical bit of observational information worth exactly one nat per cycle. The conversion between these two forms of information through measurement and observation creates the fundamental rhythm that we experience as the passage of time.

The mathematical elegance of this approach becomes apparent when we examine how physical constants emerge naturally from the cycle-based framework. The speed of light, rather than being a fundamental constant of spacetime, emerges as the ratio of spatial information capacity to the number of processing cycles required to propagate that information. Similarly, the fundamental information processing rate $\gamma = 1.89 \times 10^{-29}$ s^{-1} represents the cosmic clock rate at which reality operates.

This framework addresses several long-standing problems in theoretical physics. The measurement problem in quantum mechanics finds resolution through thermodynamic instability at information processing boundaries, eliminating the need for conscious observers to collapse wave functions. The arrow of time emerges naturally from the irreversible conversion of quantum information (ebits) into classical information (obits). Dark energy and cosmic acceleration [2] can be understood as manifestations of information pressure arising from the universe's finite information processing capacity.

Our approach also provides new insights into the computational nature of physical reality [5]. The universe appears to operate as a finite-capacity information processing system, with observable phenomena emerging from the resource allocation trade-offs between different computational tasks. This perspective explains why certain physical processes exhibit the efficiency ratios we observe and predicts specific relationships between information processing rates and thermodynamic quantities.

The paper is organized as follows. We begin with the mathematical foundations of Clifford algebras and their Z_2-grading structure. We then develop the ebit-obit cycle framework and show how time emerges from cycle counting. The derivation of the fundamental QTEP ratio follows, along with its connection to the E8$\times$E8 heterotic structure observed in cosmological data [1]. We present modified dynamics for quantum systems within this framework and conclude with testable experimental predictions.

2 Mathematical Foundations

2.1 Clifford Algebra Preliminaries

A Clifford algebra provides the mathematical framework necessary for understanding how quantum information and geometric relationships interplay in our cycle-based approach. For a vector space V equipped with a quadratic form Q, the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)$ is constructed by taking the tensor algebra of V and imposing the fundamental relation $v \otimes v = Q(v) \cdot 1$ for all vectors v in V.

For our analysis, we primarily work with two specific Clifford algebras. The first is $\mathrm{Cl}(1, 3)$, which corresponds to spacetime with the Minkowski metric signature $(+, -, -, -)$. The second is $\mathrm{Cl}(16, 0)$, which relates to the E8×E8 heterotic structure that appears in our cosmological observations.

Every element ψ in a Clifford algebra can be uniquely decomposed into components of different grades:

$$\psi = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \langle \psi \rangle_k \tag{1}$$

Here, $\langle \psi \rangle_k$ represents the grade-k component, and n equals the dimension of the underlying vector space. This decomposition proves crucial because it provides a natural way to separate different types of information within the mathematical structure.

The Clifford algebra possesses a fundamental symmetry called Z_2-grading, which divides all elements into two categories. The even subalgebra $\mathrm{Cl}^+(V)$ contains all elements where the grade involution α acts as the identity, while the odd subspace $\mathrm{Cl}^-(V)$ contains elements where α acts as negation. Mathematically, we define the grade involution by $\alpha(v) = -v$ for any vector v, and extend this linearly to the entire algebra.

This Z_2-grading creates a natural partition that we identify with the fundamental distinction between coherent and decoherent information states in quantum mechanics. Even-grade elements correspond to coherent quantum information that preserves entanglement and superposition. Odd-grade elements correspond to classical observational information that has undergone measurement and lost its quantum coherence.

2.2 The Cycle-Based Framework

Traditional physics assumes time as a fundamental parameter and describes how systems evolve within this temporal framework. Our approach reverses this relationship by treating discrete information processing cycles as fundamental, with time emerging as a derived quantity from cycle counting.

We define the fundamental cycle as the ebit-obit transformation, which represents the basic unit of reality's information processing. Each cycle involves the conversion of one ebit (a unit of quantum entanglement) into one obit (a unit of classical observational information). The total number of completed cycles is denoted N, which serves as our fundamental parameter in place of time.

The relationship between cycles and emergent time is given by:

$$dt = \frac{dN}{R_{\text{universe}}} \tag{2}$$

This equation shows that time intervals emerge from counting the number of information processing cycles, divided by the universal cycling rate R_{universe}. This cycling rate determines how quickly the universe processes information and establishes the fundamental clock rate of reality.

The information processing rate in cycle space is defined as:

$$\gamma_{\text{intrinsic}} = \frac{dN_{\text{cycles}}}{dI_{\text{total}}} \tag{3}$$

This represents the number of processing cycles required per unit of total information content. When we convert to conventional time units using our universal cycling rate, we obtain the observed information processing rate $\gamma = 1.89 \times 10^{-29}\ \text{s}^{-1}$ [7].

The speed of light emerges naturally in this framework as a pure information-theoretic quantity:

$$c = \frac{\Delta I_{\text{spatial}}}{\Delta N_{\text{cycles}}} \tag{4}$$

This equation reveals that the speed of light represents the ratio of spatial information content to the number of processing cycles required to handle that information. Crucially, this definition makes no reference to time, showing that the speed of light is fundamentally about information processing capacity rather than temporal propagation.

2.3 Notational Conventions

To maintain dimensional consistency and clarity throughout this work, we establish strict notational conventions that distinguish fundamental cycle-based quantities from derived time-based observations.

Cycle-based quantities (fundamental):

- N: cycle count (dimensionless)
- $\gamma_{\text{intrinsic}}$: information processing rate per cycle (dimensionless)
- $R_{\text{local}}(x)$: local cycling rate [cycles per unit time] with dimensions $[T^{-1}]$
- p^N: power per cycle, with superscript N indicating cycle space
- $S_N[\psi]$: functionals evaluated per cycle
- Γ_j^N: transition rates per cycle (dimensionless)

Time-based quantities (derived through R_{universe} conversion):

- t: emergent time, defined as $t = N/R_{\text{universe}}$
- $\gamma = \gamma_{\text{intrinsic}} \cdot R_{\text{universe}}$: observed information processing rate $[T^{-1}]$ [7]
- p: power density without superscript, with dimensions $[ML^{-1}T^{-3}]$

- $S[\psi]$: time-based functionals
- Γ_j: time-based transition rates $[T^{-1}]$

The conversion relationship R_{universe} has dimensions $[T^{-1}]$ and represents the universal cycling rate that establishes the connection between fundamental cycle counts and observable time intervals. All physical predictions are initially derived in cycle space and then converted to time-based units for experimental comparison.

3 The Ebit-Obit Cycle Framework

3.1 Information Units and Cycle Definition

The foundation of our framework rests on two fundamental units of information. An ebit represents a quantum of entanglement carrying entropy $S_{\text{ebit}} = \ln(2)$ nats per cycle. This unit encapsulates the maximum information content available from a maximally entangled two-qubit state. An obit represents a quantum of classical observational information worth exactly one nat per cycle, corresponding to one bit of classical information extracted through measurement.

The complete ebit-obit cycle within the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(1,3)$ proceeds through seven distinct steps, each carrying physical significance. This systematic process transforms quantum entanglement (ebits) into classical observational information (obits) while preserving total information content.

Step 1: Initial Ebit State Construction We begin with an initial ebit state represented as an even-grade element in the Clifford algebra.

To construct this state, we start from the standard Bell state $|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ and embed it into the Clifford algebra framework. The Clifford algebra representation preserves the essential entanglement structure while providing geometric interpretation. The normalized ebit state is:

$$\psi_{\text{ebit}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e_0e_1 + e_2e_3 + e_0e_1e_2e_3) \tag{5}$$

This form requires justification. The normalization factor 1/2 ensures $||\psi_{\text{ebit}}||^2 = 1$ under the Clifford inner product $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\psi}\phi \rangle_0$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle_0$ extracts the scalar part and $\tilde{\psi}$ denotes the Clifford conjugate. Each term contributes equally: $||1||^2 = ||e_0e_1||^2 = ||e_2e_3||^2 = ||e_0e_1e_2e_3||^2 = 1$, giving total norm $\sqrt{4}/2 = 1$.

The specific basis choice reflects maximal entanglement across all possible spacetime correlations within $\text{Cl}(1, 3)$. The scalar component 1 represents quantum superposition, the timelike bivector e_0e_1 represents temporal correlations, the spacelike bivector e_2e_3 represents spatial entanglement, and the pseudoscalar $e_0e_1e_2e_3$ represents full spacetime correlation. This construction generalizes the two-qubit Bell state to the full geometric structure of Minkowski spacetime.

Step 2: Measurement Vector Definition Measurement occurs at a specific spacetime event, represented by a normalized vector:

$$m = m^\mu e_\mu = m^0e_0 + m^1e_1 + m^2e_2 + m^3e_3 \tag{6}$$

This vector satisfies the constraint $m^\mu m_\mu = (m^0)^2 - (m^1)^2 - (m^2)^2 - (m^3)^2 = 1$, indicating a timelike measurement event.

Step 3: Geometric Product Formation The geometric product of the ebit state with the measurement vector produces:

$$\psi_{\text{temp}} = \psi_{\text{ebit}} \cdot m = \frac{1}{2}(m + (e_0e_1)m + (e_2e_3)m + (e_0e_1e_2e_3)m) \tag{7}$$

This operation transforms the even-grade ebit into a mixture of odd-grade elements, representing the transition from quantum to classical information.

Step 4: Odd-Grade Projection The resulting state undergoes projection to extract the purely classical component:

$$\psi_{\text{obit}} = \frac{P_{\text{odd}}[\psi_{\text{temp}}]}{\sqrt{|\langle P_{\text{odd}}[\psi_{\text{temp}}], P_{\text{odd}}[\psi_{\text{temp}}]\rangle_0|}} \tag{8}$$

where P_{odd} projects onto the odd-grade subspace of the Clifford algebra.

Step 5: Information Extraction This projection extracts exactly one nat of classical information while preserving the remaining quantum correlations in a decoherent state. The entropy accounting shows: initial $S = \ln(2)$ nats, extracted $S = 1$ nat (the obit), leaving decoherent remainder $S = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$ nats.

Step 6: Decoherent State Formation The remaining even-grade component forms the decoherent state:

$$\psi_{\text{decoh}} = \frac{P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{temp}} - P_{\text{odd}}[\psi_{\text{temp}}]]}{\sqrt{|\langle P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{temp}} - P_{\text{odd}}[\psi_{\text{temp}}]], P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{temp}} - P_{\text{odd}}[\psi_{\text{temp}}]]\rangle_0|}} \tag{9}$$

Step 7: Ebit Regeneration The cycle completes through the interaction of two obit states. Since odd-grade elements multiply to produce even-grade elements in the Z_2-grading structure:

$$\psi_{\text{new ebit}} = \frac{P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{obit1}} \cdot \psi_{\text{obit2}}]}{\sqrt{|\langle P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{obit1}} \cdot \psi_{\text{obit2}}], P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{obit1}} \cdot \psi_{\text{obit2}}]\rangle_0|}} \tag{10}$$

This completes the fundamental ebit-obit cycle and establishes the rhythm of information processing that generates our experience of time.

3.2 Information Accounting and Entropy Flow

The information accounting for each cycle reveals the fundamental thermodynamic relationships. Initially, we possess $S = \ln(2)$ nats of quantum information in the ebit. Through measurement, we extract exactly one nat of classical information (the obit), leaving a remainder of $S = \ln(2) - 1$ nats in a decoherent state.

This decoherent entropy has a negative value, $S_{\text{decoh}} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$ nats per cycle, indicating that energy must be expended to maintain classical information storage.

The coherent entropy remains positive, $S_{\text{coh}} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$ nats per cycle, representing the information content that can be freely processed.

The regeneration process occurs through the interaction of two obit states. Since odd-grade elements multiply to produce even-grade elements in the Z_2-grading structure, we have:

$$\psi_{\text{new}} = \psi_{\text{obit1}} \cdot \psi_{\text{obit2}} \tag{11}$$

This product returns us to the even-grade subalgebra, allowing for renormalization to create a new ebit:

$$\psi_{\text{new ebit}} = \frac{P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{new}}]}{\sqrt{|\langle P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{new}}], P_{\text{even}}[\psi_{\text{new}}]\rangle_0|}} \tag{12}$$

This completes the cycle and establishes the fundamental rhythm of information processing that generates our experience of time.

4 Derivation of the QTEP Ratio

4.1 Information Capacity Analysis

The fundamental QTEP ratio emerges from basic information theory applied to the ebit-obit cycle. We begin with the maximum entanglement entropy of a two-qubit system, which equals $\ln(2)$ nats. This represents the total information content available for processing in each cycle.

When measurement extracts classical information, exactly one nat is obtained, corresponding to the classical information content of one bit. The conservation of information requires that the total entropy before and after measurement remains constant. Therefore, the entropy remaining in the decoherent state must equal:

$$S_{\text{decoh}} = S_{\text{initial}} - S_{\text{extracted}} = \ln(2) - 1 \tag{13}$$

Since $\ln(2) \approx 0.693 < 1$, this decoherent entropy is negative, indicating that maintaining classical information requires energy input. The QTEP ratio emerges as:

$$\frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} = \frac{\ln(2)}{|ln(2) - 1|} = \frac{\ln(2)}{1 - \ln(2)} \approx 2.257 \tag{14}$$

This ratio represents a fundamental efficiency measure of the universe's information processing architecture. It indicates that for every unit of decoherent entropy that must be maintained, approximately 2.257 units of coherent entropy can be freely processed.

4.2 Thermodynamic Interpretation

The QTEP ratio also admits a thermodynamic interpretation as the efficiency of an information engine. The ebit-obit cycle operates as a heat engine that converts quantum information into classical work. The input consists of one ebit carrying entropy $S_{\text{in}} = \ln(2)$ nats. The output provides one obit containing one nat of classical information.

The information efficiency of this engine is:

$$\eta_{\text{info}} = \frac{S_{\text{output}}}{S_{\text{input}}} = \frac{1}{\ln(2)} \approx 1.443 \tag{15}$$

This efficiency exceeds unity because classical information extraction is more efficient than quantum information storage. However, the thermodynamic efficiency, which accounts for the energy cost of maintaining decoherent states, is:

$$\eta_{\text{th}} = \frac{S_{\text{coh}} - |S_{\text{decoh}}|}{S_{\text{coh}}} = \frac{\ln(2) - (1 - \ln(2))}{\ln(2)} = \frac{2\ln(2) - 1}{\ln(2)} \approx 0.443 \tag{16}$$

This efficiency remains below unity, consistent with the second law of thermodynamics. The QTEP ratio emerges as the inverse of the energy loss per cycle, explaining why it appears throughout our cosmological observations.

5 Thermodynamic Boundaries and Causal Structure

5.1 Emergence of Spacetime Boundaries

Thermodynamic boundaries in our framework arise naturally from gradients in the Z_2-grading structure combined with variations in local cycling rates. These boundaries are not sharp surfaces but rather gradient zones with finite thickness determined by quantum effects.

A thermodynamic boundary occupies a region:

$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \lambda_{\min} < ||(x - x_{\text{center}})^2|| < \lambda_{\max}\} \tag{17}$$

Within this region, both temperature gradients and Z_2-grade transitions exceed critical thresholds. The temperature gradient condition requires $|\nabla T(x)| > T_{\text{critical}}/\lambda_{\text{thermal}}$, while the grade transition condition requires $|\nabla f_{Z_2}(x)| > 1/\delta$, where $\delta \sim \sqrt{\hbar/(\gamma mc^2)}$ represents the quantum transition width.

The local cycling rate $R_{\text{local}}(x)$ determines the temperature through the relationship $T(x) \propto R_{\text{local}}(x)$. Thermal gradients therefore represent gradients in information processing capacity, creating natural boundaries where different regions of spacetime process information at different rates.

5.2 Light Cone Boundaries and the Present Moment

The past and future light cones create special thermodynamic boundaries that define the present moment as a unique interface. For a spacetime point p, the past light cone boundary zone is defined as:

$$B_{\text{past}} = \{x : |(p-x)^2| < \epsilon, x^0 < p^0\} \tag{18}$$

Within this zone, the Z_2-grade function f_{Z_2} transitions smoothly from unity (exterior, fully decoherent) to zero (interior, partially coherent). The transition width scale is $\delta_{\text{past}} \sim \sqrt{\hbar/(\gamma mc^2)}$, and the temperature gradient follows $\nabla T \propto \exp(-||(p-x)^2||/\lambda^2_{\text{thermal}})$.

Similarly, the future light cone boundary zone is:

$$B_{\text{future}} = \{x : |(x-p)^2| < \epsilon, x^0 > p^0\} \tag{19}$$

Here, f_{Z_2} transitions from zero (interior, fully coherent) to unity (exterior, no correlation), with the same characteristic width and temperature structure.

The present moment emerges where these gradient zones overlap, creating maximum values of the second derivative $\nabla^2 f_{Z_2}$. This defines a "thick present" with width $\sim \sqrt{\hbar/(\gamma mc^2)}$ where past and future gradients interpenetrate, creating optimal conditions for information processing.

Measurement occurs not through conscious observation but through thermodynamic instability. When the gradient $\nabla(S_{\text{coh}}/S_{\text{decoh}})$ exceeds a critical threshold, the ebit-to-obit transition becomes thermodynamically favored, leading to spontaneous decoherence without requiring external observers.

6 Modified Quantum Dynamics

6.1 Cycle-Based Evolution Equation

The fundamental evolution of quantum states in our framework occurs with respect to the cycle count N rather than time t. The modified Schrödinger equation takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial N} = -\frac{iA}{\ell_P^2\hbar c}[H,\psi]_\times - D_N[\psi] - \sum_j \Gamma_j^N P_j[\psi] \tag{20}$$

For dimensional consistency in cycle space, we require $A = \hbar c$. This choice ensures that the first term has dimensions of inverse cycles, matching the left-hand side. The factor $A/(\ell_P^2\hbar c) = \hbar c/(\ell_P^2\hbar c) = 1/\ell_P^2$ provides the appropriate geometric scaling, where $\ell_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ is the Planck length.

With this definition, the cycle-based evolution equation becomes:

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial N} = -\frac{i}{\ell_P^2}[H,\psi]_\times - D_N[\psi] - \sum_j \Gamma_j^N P_j[\psi] \tag{21}$$

This equation governs evolution in cycle space, where all parameters are expressed without reference to time. The first term represents the standard quantum evolution through the commutator $[H,\psi]_\times = H\psi - \psi H$, scaled by the fundamental geometric factor $1/\ell_P^2$. The second term $D_N[\psi]$ represents decoherence per cycle, while the third term accounts for transition processes with rates Γ_j^N per cycle.

The conventional time-based Schrödinger equation emerges only when we convert to observational units using the relationship $\partial\psi/\partial t = R_{\text{universe}} \cdot \partial\psi/\partial N$, where $t = N/R_{\text{universe}}$ represents emergent time. This conversion reveals that time-based quantum mechanics is merely the observational manifestation of more fundamental cycle-based processes.

The decoherence functional per cycle is defined as:

$$D_N[\psi] = \gamma_{\text{intrinsic}} \int d^3x |\nabla\psi(x)|^2 \cdot \frac{S_{\text{ebit}}}{S_{\text{obit}}} \cdot \frac{\rho_E(x)}{\rho_P} \cdot \frac{1}{||\psi(x)||^2} \tag{22}$$

Here, $\gamma_{\text{intrinsic}}$ represents the dimensionless information processing rate per cycle, $S_{\text{ebit}}/S_{\text{obit}} = \ln(2)/1 \approx 0.693$ is the fundamental entropy ratio, $\rho_E(x)$ is the local energy density, and $\rho_P = c^5/(\hbar G^2)$ is the Planck energy density. All quantities are defined independently of time, making this a truly fundamental description.

The factor $1/||\psi(x)||^2$ serves as a local normalization and information density weighting term. Physically, this represents the inverse of the local probability density, ensuring that decoherence rates scale appropriately with the local information content. In regions where $||\psi(x)||^2$ is large (high probability density), the decoherence per unit information is reduced, reflecting the relative stability of well-populated quantum states. Conversely, in regions of low probability density, the decoherence rate per unit information increases, capturing the enhanced fragility of quantum coherence in information-sparse regions.

This normalization ensures that the decoherence functional correctly accounts for the relationship between local information density and quantum stability, providing a spatially-dependent decoherence rate that reflects the underlying information-theoretic structure of the quantum state. The term also maintains dimensional consistency, as $|\nabla\psi|^2/||\psi||^2$ has dimensions $[L^{-2}]$, representing the local information gradient scaled by the local information density.

The decoherence process exhibits grade-dependent behavior. For separated Z_2 components, we have:

$$D[\psi_{\text{even}}] = \gamma \cdot I_{\text{even}} \cdot f_{\text{protection}}(T) \tag{23}$$

$$D[\psi_{\text{odd}}] = \gamma \cdot I_{\text{odd}} \cdot f_{\text{enhancement}}(T) \tag{24}$$

where $I_{\text{even/odd}} = \int |\nabla\psi_{\text{even/odd}}|^2 dx$ represents the information content in each grade, and $f_{\text{protection}} < 1 < f_{\text{enhancement}}$ reflects the different stability properties of even and odd grades.

6.2 Information Pressure and Fifth Force

Within our framework, information pressure emerges as a physical force arising from the Clifford algebra structure. This is not described by a simple scalar pressure, but by a full Clifford-valued tensor that includes terms for energy density, momentum, stress, torque, and other geometric effects. The standard energy-momentum tensor of information pressure,

$P_I^{\mu\nu}$, is merely the scalar part of this more complete structure. It is defined as:

$$P_I^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\gamma c^4}{8\pi G}\langle \partial^\mu \psi_{\text{even}}, \partial^\nu \psi_{\text{even}} \rangle_0 \tag{25}$$

Here the angle brackets denote taking the scalar part of the enclosed geometric product. For dimensional consistency, we note that ψ_{even} is dimensionless (normalized wavefunction), so $\partial^\mu \psi_{\text{even}}$ has dimensions $[L^{-1}]$. The scalar product $\langle \partial^\mu \psi_{\text{even}}, \partial^\nu \psi_{\text{even}} \rangle_0$ therefore has dimensions $[L^{-2}]$. Combined with the prefactor $\gamma c^4/(8\pi G)$, where γ has dimensions $[T^{-1}]$, c^4 has dimensions $[L^4 T^{-4}]$, and G has dimensions $[L^3 M^{-1} T^{-2}]$, we obtain:

$$[P_I^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{[T^{-1}][L^4 T^{-4}]}{[L^3 M^{-1} T^{-2}]} \cdot [L^{-2}] = [M L^{-1} T^{-2}] = [\text{energy density}]$$

This confirms dimensional consistency with the expected energy-momentum tensor units. This tensor emerges from the Z_2-grading because only the even-grade components of the state, ψ_{even}, contribute to coherent information pressure.

In the classical limit where $\psi_{\text{even}} \to \sqrt{I} \cdot 1$ (a pure scalar field), this form reduces to the familiar stress-energy tensor for a scalar field:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^I = \frac{\gamma \hbar}{c^2}[g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha I \nabla^\alpha I - \nabla_\mu I \nabla_\nu I] \tag{26}$$

However, the full Clifford form captures additional quantum geometric effects through the grade structure that are invisible in classical approximations. The full Clifford-valued information pressure tensor, $\mathcal{T}_I^{\mu\nu}$, is given by the complete geometric product, without restricting to the scalar part:

$$\mathcal{T}_I^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\gamma c^4}{8\pi G}(\partial^\mu \tilde{\psi}_{\text{even}})(\partial^\nu \psi_{\text{even}}) \tag{27}$$

This Clifford-valued tensor contains components of multiple grades. Its grade-0 (scalar) part corresponds to the standard energy-momentum tensor $P_I^{\mu\nu}$, which describes energy density and pressure. The higher-grade components, such as the bivector part, represent quantum geometric effects like torque and spin pressure, which are not captured in classical general relativity. These additional terms describe the coupling between the information field and the rotational degrees of freedom inherent in the geometric structure of spacetime.

This information pressure acts as a fifth fundamental force, operating through bandwidth allocation mechanisms rather than particle exchange. At cosmic scales, this force manifests as dark energy, driving accelerated expansion through information processing constraints. At quantum scales, it influences decoherence rates and measurement outcomes.

7 Syntropic Resistance and Energy Flow

7.1 Mathematical Formulation of Syntropy

Our framework predicts the existence of syntropic processes that can temporarily reverse entropy increase under specific conditions. The syntropy functional per cycle is defined as:

$$S_N[\psi] = \gamma_{\text{intrinsic}} \int d^3x \frac{\Delta S}{S_{\text{total}}} \cdot |\nabla R_{\text{local}}(x)|^2 \cdot \frac{||P_{\text{even}}[\psi(x)]||^2}{\rho_P} \tag{28}$$

Here, $\Delta S = S_{\text{coh}} - |S_{\text{decoh}}| = \ln(2) - |\ln(2) - 1| \approx 1$ represents the net entropy difference per cycle, $S_{\text{total}} = S_{\text{coh}} + |S_{\text{decoh}}| \approx 1$ is the total entropy per cycle, and $|\nabla R_{\text{local}}|^2$ represents gradients in the local cycling rate.

The time-based syntropy functional emerges through unit conversion as:

$$S[\psi] = R_{\text{universe}} \cdot S_N[\psi] = \gamma \int d^3x \frac{\Delta S}{S_{\text{total}}} \cdot |\nabla T|^2 \cdot \frac{||P_{\text{even}}[\psi]||^2}{\rho_P} \tag{29}$$

where temperature T is related to the local cycling rate through $T = k_B^{-1}(\hbar R_{\text{local}}/2\pi)$.

Coherence persists when the ratio $R = S[\psi]/(\gamma\langle|\nabla\psi|^2\rangle) > 1$, which occurs when thermal gradients in coherent states exceed the gradient energy of the quantum state itself. This condition defines regions where syntropic resistance can temporarily overcome decoherence.

7.2 Energy Generation Mechanism

Syntropy generates measurable power through thermal gradient interactions. We must distinguish between the fundamental cycle-based formulation and the derived time-based expression.

The fundamental power density per cycle is:

$$p_{\text{syntropy}}^{N} = \gamma_{\text{intrinsic}} \cdot (S_{\text{coh}} - |S_{\text{decoh}}|) \cdot \frac{|\nabla R_{\text{local}}(x)|^2}{R_{\text{local}}(x)} \tag{30}$$

where $\gamma_{\text{intrinsic}}$ is dimensionless, $(S_{\text{coh}} - |S_{\text{decoh}}|) = \ln(2) - |\ln(2) - 1| \approx 1$ has units of information [nats], and $R_{\text{local}}(x)$ is the local cycling rate with dimensions $[T^{-1}]$.

The observable time-based power density emerges through conversion using R_{universe}:

$$p_{\text{syntropy}} = R_{\text{universe}} \cdot p_{\text{syntropy}}^{N} = \gamma \cdot (S_{\text{coh}} - |S_{\text{decoh}}|) \cdot k_B \cdot T(x) \cdot |\nabla T(x)|^2 \tag{31}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_{\text{intrinsic}} \cdot R_{\text{universe}}$ has dimensions $[T^{-1}]$, and we use the relationship $T(x) = k_B^{-1}(\hbar R_{\text{local}}/2\pi)$ to convert cycling rates to temperatures. This ensures dimensional consistency: $[T^{-1}] \cdot [1] \cdot [MLT^{-3}K^{-1}] \cdot [K] \cdot [K^2L^{-2}] = [ML^{-1}T^{-3}] = [\text{power density}]$.

For a system with N discrete thermodynamic boundaries, each with characteristic volume V_b, the total syntropic power becomes:

$$P_N \approx N \cdot \gamma \cdot (S_{\text{coh}} - |S_{\text{decoh}}|) \cdot k_B \cdot \Delta T \cdot |\nabla T|^2 \cdot V_b \tag{32}$$

This power represents genuine energy generation through information processing optimization. Unlike conventional energy sources that convert between different forms of energy, syntropic processes create new energy by reducing the computational overhead required for information processing.

In cycle space, this becomes:

$$P_N^{\text{cycles}} = N \cdot \gamma_{\text{intrinsic}} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot k_B \cdot \int_V R_{\text{local}}(x) |\nabla R_{\text{local}}(x)|^2 dV \tag{33}$$

This expression shows that syntropic power generation depends fundamentally on gradients in information processing capacity rather than thermal gradients alone.

7.3 Connection to Holographic Formalism

Our cycle-based QTEP framework naturally integrates with established holographic principles through the boundary-bulk correspondence. The Z_2-grading structure of Clifford algebras provides a mathematical realization of the holographic principle, where even-grade elements (bulk information) and odd-grade elements (boundary information) maintain precise duality relationships.

In the holographic context, the QTEP ratio $S_{\text{coh}}/|S_{\text{decoh}}| \approx 2.257$ emerges as the fundamental efficiency of boundary-to-bulk information transfer. The established holographic entropy bound $S \leq A/(4G\hbar)$ finds natural expression in our framework through:

$$S_{\text{boundary}} = \frac{A}{4G\hbar} \cdot \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{S_{\text{coh}} + |S_{\text{decoh}}|} \approx 0.693 \cdot \frac{A}{4G\hbar} \tag{34}$$

where the factor $S_{\text{coh}}/(S_{\text{coh}} + |S_{\text{decoh}}|) = \ln(2)/1 \approx 0.693$ represents the fraction of boundary area available for coherent information storage.

The AdS/CFT correspondence emerges as a special case where the bulk-boundary cycling rate relationship satisfies $R_{\text{bulk}}/R_{\text{boundary}} = 2/\pi \approx 0.637$, precisely the dimensional reduction factor observed in holographic theories. Our framework thus provides a cycle-based foundation for understanding how holographic duality operates through discrete information processing rather than continuous field evolution.

This connection explains why the QTEP ratio appears consistently in both quantum decoherence experiments and cosmological observations: both phenomena reflect the same underlying holographic information processing architecture that governs reality at all scales.

8 Experimental Predictions and Tests

8.1 Decoherence Time Scaling

Our theory predicts specific scaling relationships for quantum decoherence that can be tested experimentally. For a quantum superposition with spatial extent L, the decoherence cycle count is:

$$N_{\text{decoherence}} = \frac{\hbar c}{A} \cdot \left(\frac{L_P}{L}\right)^2 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\Delta E_{Z_2}}{k_B T_{\text{eff}}}\right) \tag{35}$$

This prediction depends only on geometric factors $(L_P/L)^2$, the Z_2-grade energy difference ΔE_{Z_2}, and the local cycling rate through T_{eff}. Importantly, the cycle count $N_{\text{decoherence}}$ is independent of how the rate γ was determined empirically, making this a genuine theoretical prediction.

Converting to time units requires the relationship $t_{\text{decoherence}} = N_{\text{decoherence}}/\gamma$, but the fundamental physics lies in the cycle count prediction. Experiments that can measure decoherence in terms of fundamental cycles rather than elapsed time would provide the most direct test of our framework.

8.2 Grade Echo Protocol

We propose a definitive experimental test through a grade echo protocol that directly probes the Z_2-grading structure. The experimental sequence involves preparing a quantum state $\psi \in \mathrm{Cl}^+$ in the even-grade subalgebra, waiting for a specified number of cycles N, applying the grade involution α to reverse the Z_2-grading, waiting for another N cycles, and applying α again to return to the original grading.

The fidelity of this process is predicted to be:

$$F = |\langle \psi_{\text{initial}} | \psi_{\text{final}} \rangle| \approx \exp\left(-\frac{2N}{N_{\text{coherence}}}\right) + R \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2N}{N_{\text{coherence}}}\right)\right) \tag{36}$$

where $N_{\text{coherence}}$ is the characteristic coherence cycle count and R represents the residual correlation due to syntropic resistance. This protocol provides a direct measurement of the cycle-based dynamics and the QTEP ratio through the recovery parameter R.

8.3 Syntropy Measurement

Syntropic energy generation can be measured in systems with controlled temperature gradients. For a linear gradient $\nabla T = \Delta T/L$ across length L, our theory predicts:

$$P \approx \gamma \cdot \ln(2) \cdot k_B \cdot T_{\text{avg}} \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta T}{L}\right)^2 \cdot V \tag{37}$$

This power should be measurable as excess energy generation in carefully controlled thermodynamic systems. The proportionality to $\ln(2)$ provides a signature of the underlying ebit-obit cycle mechanism.

8.4 Universal Scaling Relations

All physical processes should exhibit rates that scale according to:

$$\text{Rate}_{\text{observed}} = \text{Rate}_{\text{intrinsic}} \times R_{\text{local}} \tag{38}$$

where R_{local} is the local cycling rate. This scaling relationship could explain dark energy as arising from regions with enhanced cycling rates and provides a framework for understanding why physical constants appear to vary slightly across different cosmic environments.

9 Connection to Cosmological Observations

9.1 E8 × E8 Network Structure

Our framework naturally connects to the E8×E8 heterotic string structure observed in cosmological data through dimensional reduction. The projection $\pi : \mathrm{Cl}(16,0) \to \mathrm{Cl}(1,3)$ preserves information according to:

$$I(\pi(\psi_{16})) = I(\psi_{16}) \cdot \kappa \cdot \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \tag{39}$$

where κ relates to the ratio of unit sphere volumes in different dimensions. The factor $2/\pi \approx 0.637$ that appears in holographic theory represents a specific case of this projection, indicating dimensional reduction efficiency at current information filling levels.

The E8×E8 root system exhibits a clustering coefficient $C \approx 0.78125$, which appears in modified Hubble constant relations and determines the maximum number of parallel cycles possible on any thermodynamic boundary. This connection explains why the QTEP ratio appears consistently across different cosmological observations.

9.2 Cosmic Information Processing Evolution

The universe operates through discrete cosmic cycles, each lasting approximately $t_{\text{cycle}} = 1.37 \times 10^{21}$ years for complete information processing. Our current universe began its information processing phase approximately 4.35×10^{17} seconds (13.8 billion years) ago, meaning we have completed approximately 10^{-11} of our current cosmic information processing cycle. The cosmic information accumulation within each cycle follows:

$$\frac{dI}{dt} \propto I \left(1 - \frac{I}{I_{\max}}\right) \tag{40}$$

Dark energy emerged when the ratio $I/I_{\max}$ reached a critical threshold within our current cycle, causing information pressure to overcome gravitational attraction on cosmic scales.

The small observed energy scale $E_{\text{eff}}/E_P \sim e^{-14}$ reflects the cumulative information processing achieved over the universe's $\sim 4.35 \times 10^{17}$ second ($\sim 8.22 \times 10^{46}$ QTEP cycles) history within our current cosmic cycle phase.

10 Conclusions and Future Directions

10.1 Paradigmatic Implications

The cycle-based QTEP framework represents a fundamental paradigm shift in theoretical physics. Time emerges as a secondary phenomenon arising from discrete information processing cycles rather than being a fundamental parameter. The Z_2-grading of Clifford algebras provides a natural mathematical setting for understanding the quantum-to-classical transition through thermodynamic instability rather than conscious observation.

Our derivation of the QTEP ratio $S_{\text{coh}}/|S_{\text{decoh}}| \approx 2.257$ from first principles demonstrates that this value represents a fundamental efficiency measure of the universe's information processing architecture. The appearance of this ratio in cosmological observations confirms that reality operates as a finite-capacity computational system with observable resource allocation trade-offs.

The emergence of physical constants from information-theoretic principles suggests that what we consider fundamental laws of nature are actually manifestations of computational constraints. The speed of light emerges as an information-to-cycle ratio, while the information processing rate γ represents the cosmic clock frequency. Information pressure acts as a fifth fundamental force, providing a unified explanation for dark energy and quantum decoherence.

10.2 Experimental Accessibility

Unlike many theoretical frameworks that operate at energy scales far beyond experimental reach, our predictions involve cycle counts and ratios that can be tested with current or nearfuture technology. The grade echo protocol provides a direct probe of Z_2-grading dynamics, while syntropic energy generation offers measurable signatures of information processing optimization.

The predicted scaling relationships for decoherence times can be tested across a wide range of system sizes and temperatures. These tests probe fundamental cycle counts rather than derived temporal quantities, providing more direct access to the underlying physics.

10.3 Cosmological Applications

The framework offers new perspectives on major cosmological puzzles. The Hubble tension finds resolution through the relationship $H^{\text{late}}/H^{\text{early}} \approx 1 + C(G)/8 \approx 1.098$, where $C(G)$ is the cosmic network clustering coefficient. The S_8 tension reflects different information processing loads at different cosmic epochs. Dark sector problems find unified explanation through information pressure dynamics.

The computational nature of cosmic evolution becomes directly observable through void network topology, which serves as a fossil record of the universe's information processing history. Clustering efficiency maps function as cosmic performance monitoring logs, revealing how computational resources have been allocated across 13 billion years of operation.

10.4 Open Questions and Future Research

Several important questions remain for future investigation. The precise statistical mechanics of parallel cascade effects requires further development to understand how local observations emerge from the enormous cosmic cycle time scale. The information accumulation dynamics governing cosmic evolution need detailed modeling to predict future phases of cosmic development.

The relationship between information pressure and conventional field theory requires deeper mathematical investigation. While we have derived the Clifford algebra form of the information pressure tensor, its full integration into a unified field theory remains an open challenge.

The experimental verification of cycle-based predictions will require new techniques for measuring fundamental information processing rates rather than derived temporal quantities. Development of such techniques could revolutionize experimental physics by providing direct access to the computational substrate underlying physical reality.

10.5 Philosophical Implications

Our framework suggests that physical reality is fundamentally computational rather than mechanical. The universe appears to operate as an information processing system with finite capacity, observable constraints, and measurable performance characteristics. This perspective bridges the gap between physics and information theory while providing a natural foundation for understanding consciousness and observation as emergent phenomena arising from information processing dynamics.

The cycle-based approach resolves several conceptual problems in quantum mechanics while preserving all successful predictions of conventional theory. The measurement problem finds resolution through thermodynamic instability, the arrow of time emerges from irreversible information conversion, and the apparent non-locality of quantum mechanics reflects the non-local character of information processing networks.

These insights suggest that the next major advance in fundamental physics may come not from discovering new particles or forces, but from understanding the computational architecture that generates the phenomena we observe. The QTEP framework in Clifford algebras provides a mathematical foundation for this computational approach to reality.

Acknowledgments

We thank the cosmological observation teams whose precise measurements of void network topology and CMB phase transitions provided the empirical foundation for this theoretical framework. We also acknowledge valuable discussions with colleagues in quantum information theory and mathematical physics who contributed to the development of these ideas.

References

[1] Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641, A6. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910

[2] Weinberg, S. (1989). The cosmological constant problem. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 61(1), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1

[3] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715-775. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715

[4] Penrose, R. (2004). *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe.* Jonathan Cape, London.

[5] Lloyd, S. (2006). Programming the Universe: A Quantum Computer Scientist Takes on the Cosmos. *Knopf*, New York.

[6] Weiner, B. (2025). Little Bangs: the Holographic Nature of Black Holes. *IPI Letters*, 3(3), 34–54. https://doi.org/10.59973/ipil.177

[7] Weiner, B. (2025). E-mode Polarization Phase Transitions Reveal a Fundamental Parameter of the Universe. *IPI Letters*, 3(1), 31-39. https://doi.org/10.59973/ipil.150