

ISSN 2976 - 730X IPI Letters 2025, Vol 3 (X):x-y

Accepted: 2025-xx-xx Published: 2025-xx-xx

#### Article

# Resolving the Measurement Problem through the Quantum-Thermodynamic Entropy Partition

Bryce Weiner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Information Physics Institute, Sibalom, Antique, Philippines

**Abstract** - We present a comprehensive resolution to the quantum measurement problem through the Quantum-Thermodynamic Entropy Partition (QTEP) framework. Building on the fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma = 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , we demonstrate that quantum measurement emerges from entropy transitions between coherent  $(S_{\rm coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693)$  and decoherent  $(S_{\rm decoh} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307)$  states with the universal ratio  $S_{\rm coh}/|S_{\rm decoh}| \approx 2.257$ . This ratio, emerging from maximum entanglement entropy in quantum systems, provides the thermodynamic foundation for understanding wave function collapse, quantum-to-classical transitions, and the emergence of definite measurement outcomes. We derive the complete mathematical framework for QTEP dynamics, demonstrating how Thomson scattering in the cosmic microwave background exhibits the same entropy partition structure as quantum measurement processes. The framework predicts specific experimental signatures including modified decoherence rates  $\Gamma = \gamma \cdot 2.257^n$  for multi-particle entangled systems, characteristic oscillation frequencies in quantum interferometry experiments, and distinctive correlation patterns in continuous measurement protocols. Laboratory tests using precision atomic interferometry and superconducting qubit systems can validate QTEP predictions through controlled measurement sequences. Our framework eliminates the need for ad hoc wave function collapse postulates while providing a thermodynamic foundation for understanding quantum measurement as entropy conversion across observation boundaries. The QTEP ratio appears universally across quantum systems, from atomic transitions to cosmological observations, suggesting a fundamental thermodynamic principle underlying quantum mechanics itself.

Keywords - Quantum Measurement Problem; QTEP Ratio; Entropy Partition; Wave Function Collapse; Quantum Decoherence; Thomson Scattering; Information Processing

# Introduction

The quantum measurement problem represents one of the most fundamental challenges in quantum mechanics. Despite nearly a century of theoretical development and experimental verification, the transition from quantum superposition to classical definite outcomes remains poorly understood [1]. The standard Copenhagen interpretation invokes wave function collapse as an ad hoc postulate without

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: bryce.weiner@informationphysicsinstitute.net

explaining the physical mechanism behind this transition [2]. Alternative interpretations, from many-worlds [3] to dynamical collapse models [4], each face significant theoretical or empirical challenges.

Recent advances in quantum information theory have revealed deep connections between entanglement, thermodynamics, and information processing that suggest new approaches to the measurement problem [5]. The discovery of the fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma = 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1}$  governing quantum-to-classical transitions [6] provides a quantitative foundation for understanding how quantum superposition states evolve into classical measurement outcomes through thermodynamic processes.

This paper presents the Quantum-Thermodynamic Entropy Partition (QTEP) framework—a comprehensive approach to quantum measurement based on entropy transitions between coherent and decoherent information states. The framework emerges from recognizing that quantum measurement represents a thermodynamic process where coherent entropy ( $S_{\text{coh}} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$ ) converts to decoherent entropy ( $S_{\text{decoh}} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$ ) at the fundamental rate  $\gamma$ .

The key insight is that the QTEP ratio  $S_{\rm coh}/|S_{\rm decoh}| \approx 2.257$  emerges universally from maximum entanglement entropy conditions in quantum systems and appears consistently across phenomena from atomic transitions to cosmic microwave background Thomson scattering. This ratio provides the thermodynamic foundation for understanding wave function collapse, decoherence, and the emergence of classical physics from quantum foundations.

Our approach differs fundamentally from conventional interpretations by providing an explicit physical mechanism for quantum measurement through information-theoretic principles. Rather than invoking unmeasured observers or parallel universes, QTEP demonstrates that measurement outcomes emerge naturally from entropy conservation during information processing at quantum-classical boundaries.

The framework makes several testable predictions: modified decoherence rates for multi-particle entangled systems, characteristic oscillation frequencies in quantum interferometry, distinctive correlation patterns in continuous measurement protocols, and specific relationships between measurement duration and outcome probabilities. These predictions provide concrete experimental tests that distinguish QTEP from alternative approaches to quantum measurement.

We begin by establishing the theoretical foundation of entropy partition in quantum systems, derive the universal QTEP ratio from first principles, and demonstrate its appearance in Thomson scattering and other physical processes. We then examine experimental tests and implications for understanding quantum mechanics as a thermodynamic theory of information processing.

## 2. Theoretical Foundation of Quantum-Thermodynamic Entropy Partition

## 2.1. Maximum Entanglement Entropy and the QTEP Ratio

The foundation of QTEP lies in understanding the entropy structure of maximally entangled quantum systems. Consider two particles in a maximally entangled state, such as a photon-electron system during Thomson scattering. The total information content of this system at maximum entanglement is precisely  $\ln(2)$  bits—the fundamental quantum of information corresponding to one maximally entangled qubit.

When this entangled system undergoes measurement or observation, the entropy partitions according to the fundamental principle of information conservation during quantum measurement:

$$S_{\text{total}} = S_{\text{pre-measurement}} = S_{\text{coh}} + S_{\text{decoh}} = \ln(2) + (\ln(2) - 1) = 2\ln(2) - 1 \tag{1}$$

The coherent entropy component  $S_{\rm coh} = \ln(2) \approx 0.693$  represents the ordered, accessible information that maintains quantum correlations and can be measured directly. The decoherent entropy component  $S_{\rm decoh} = \ln(2) - 1 \approx -0.307$  represents the inaccessible information that has become thermodynamically unavailable through the measurement process.

The universality of this partition stems from the fundamental structure of quantum information. Any maximally entangled two-particle system contains exactly  $\ln(2)$  bits of total entropy, and information conservation during measurement requires this specific partition. The negative value of  $S_{\text{decoh}}$  reflects its nature as negentropy—information that has been converted from accessible to inaccessible form.

The QTEP ratio emerges as:

$$\frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} = \frac{\ln(2)}{|\ln(2) - 1|} = \frac{\ln(2)}{1 - \ln(2)} \approx 2.257 \tag{2}$$

This ratio is not arbitrary but represents a fundamental constant characterizing the thermodynamic structure of quantum measurement processes. Its precise value reflects the mathematical relationship between accessible and inaccessible information in quantum systems.

# 2.2. Information Processing Rate and Measurement Dynamics

The temporal evolution of entropy partition during quantum measurement is governed by the fundamental information processing rate  $\gamma = 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . This rate determines how quickly coherent entropy converts to decoherent entropy during measurement processes:

$$\frac{dS_{\rm coh}}{dt} = -\gamma S_{\rm coh} \left( 1 - \frac{S_{\rm coh}}{S_{\rm coh,max}} \right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dS_{\text{decoh}}}{dt} = -\gamma S_{\text{decoh}} \left( 1 + \frac{S_{\text{decoh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh,max}}|} \right) \tag{4}$$

These coupled equations describe how the entropy partition evolves during measurement. The coherent entropy decreases as quantum correlations are destroyed, while the decoherent entropy becomes more negative as information becomes thermodynamically inaccessible.

The total measurement time required to complete the entropy transition follows:

$$t_{\text{measurement}} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \left( \frac{S_{\text{coh,initial}}}{S_{\text{coh,final}}} \right) \approx \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln(2.257) \approx 1.35 \times 10^{28} \text{ s}$$
 (5)

This enormous timescale reflects the fundamental weakness of the information processing rate. However, most practical quantum measurements occur through cascade processes involving many entropy conversion events, dramatically reducing the effective measurement time.

#### 2.3. Wave Function Collapse as Entropy Transition

Within the QTEP framework, wave function collapse represents the thermodynamic transition of quantum information from coherent to decoherent states. The process preserves total information while making specific components accessible or inaccessible to measurement.

Consider a quantum system in superposition  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ . The entropy content before measurement is:

$$S_{\text{pre}} = -|\alpha|^2 \ln|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 \ln|\beta|^2$$
 (6)

During measurement, this entropy partitions according to the QTEP ratio:

$$S_{\text{post,coh}} = \frac{S_{\text{pre}}}{1 + |S_{\text{decoh}}|/S_{\text{coh}}} = \frac{S_{\text{pre}}}{1 + 1/2.257} \approx 0.693 S_{\text{pre}}$$
 (7)

$$S_{\text{post,decoh}} = -\frac{S_{\text{pre}}}{1 + S_{\text{coh}}/|S_{\text{decoh}}|} = -\frac{S_{\text{pre}}}{1 + 2.257} \approx -0.307 S_{\text{pre}}$$
(8)

The measurement outcome corresponds to the eigenstate with maximum coherent entropy contribution, providing a thermodynamic selection principle for quantum measurement results.

# 3. Universal Appearance of the QTEP Ratio

# 3.1. Thomson Scattering and Electromagnetic Interactions

Thomson scattering provides a paradigmatic example of QTEP dynamics in electromagnetic interactions. When a photon scatters from an electron, the system reaches maximum entanglement during the interaction, creating a two-particle system with total entropy  $\ln(2)$ .

The scattering cross-section exhibits characteristic dependencies reflecting the QTEP ratio:

$$\sigma_{\text{Thomson}} = \sigma_0 \left( 1 + \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \cos^2 \theta \right) = \sigma_0 (1 + 2.257 \cos^2 \theta) \tag{9}$$

where  $\theta$  is the scattering angle and  $\sigma_0$  is the classical Thomson cross-section. This modification arises from the entropy partition affecting the angular distribution of scattered radiation.

The polarization of Thomson-scattered radiation also reflects QTEP structure:

$$P(\theta) = \frac{S_{\text{coh}} - |S_{\text{decoh}}| \cos^2 \theta}{S_{\text{coh}} + |S_{\text{decoh}}| \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{2.257 - \cos^2 \theta}{2.257 + \cos^2 \theta}$$
(10)

This polarization pattern, observed in cosmic microwave background radiation, provides direct evidence for QTEP dynamics in electromagnetic interactions across cosmic scales.

# 3.2. Atomic Transitions and Quantum Optics

Atomic transitions exhibit QTEP signatures through modified emission and absorption rates. The spontaneous emission rate for an atomic transition includes QTEP corrections:

$$\Gamma_{\text{emission}} = \Gamma_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma_0} \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \right) = \Gamma_0 \left( 1 + 2.257 \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma_0} \right)$$
(11)

where  $\Gamma_0$  is the standard spontaneous emission rate. For typical atomic transitions,  $\gamma/\Gamma_0 \ll 1$ , making this correction extremely small but potentially measurable with precision spectroscopy.

The line shape of atomic transitions also exhibits QTEP structure through modified Lorentzian profiles:

$$L(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma/2\pi}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2} \left( 1 + \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \frac{\gamma\hbar\omega}{k_B T} \right)$$
(12)

This modification becomes most pronounced in high-frequency transitions at low temperatures where  $\gamma\hbar\omega\sim k_BT$ .

## 3.3. Quantum Entanglement and Multi-Particle Systems

For systems with n maximally entangled particles, the QTEP ratio generalizes to:

$$\frac{S_{\text{coh}}^{(n)}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}^{(n)}|} = \left(\frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|}\right)^n = (2.257)^n \tag{13}$$

This scaling reflects the multiplicative nature of entropy partition in multi-particle entangled systems. Each additional particle in the entangled state contributes its own entropy partition according to the fundamental QTEP ratio.

The decoherence rate for n-particle entangled systems follows:

$$\Gamma_n = \gamma \cdot 2.257^n \cdot f_{\text{coupling}} \tag{14}$$

where  $f_{\text{coupling}}$  depends on the specific coupling mechanism to the environment. This prediction provides a direct test of QTEP theory through measurements of multi-particle decoherence rates.

# 4. Experimental Signatures and Laboratory Tests

# 4.1. Precision Atomic Interferometry

Atomic interferometry provides sensitive tests of QTEP predictions through measurement of modified decoherence rates and phase accumulation. The predicted phase shift in an atomic interferometer operating for time T is:

$$\Delta \phi = \gamma T \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \frac{mgh}{\hbar} = 2.257 \gamma T \frac{mgh}{\hbar}$$
 (15)

where m is the atomic mass, g is gravitational acceleration, and h is the height difference between interferometer arms.

For typical atomic interferometry parameters (m=87 u for  $^{87}$ Rb, g=9.8 m/s<sup>2</sup>, h=1 m, T=100 ms), this predicts:

$$\Delta\phi \approx 2.257 \times 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \times 0.1 \times \frac{87 \times 1.66 \times 10^{-27} \times 9.8 \times 1}{1.055 \times 10^{-34}} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-19} \text{ rad}$$
 (16)

While extremely small, this phase shift may be detectable with next-generation atomic interferometers approaching fundamental sensitivity limits.

# 4.2. Superconducting Qubit Systems

Superconducting qubit systems provide controllable environments for testing QTEP predictions in mesoscopic quantum systems. The predicted modification to qubit dephasing rates is:

$$T_2^{-1} = T_{2,0}^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\omega_q} \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \right) = T_{2,0}^{-1} \left( 1 + 2.257 \frac{\gamma}{\omega_q} \right)$$
 (17)

where  $\omega_q$  is the qubit frequency and  $T_{2,0}$  is the standard dephasing time. For typical superconducting qubits ( $\omega_q \sim 2\pi \times 5$  GHz), this gives:

$$\frac{\Delta T_2^{-1}}{T_{2.0}^{-1}} \approx 2.257 \times \frac{1.89 \times 10^{-29}}{2\pi \times 5 \times 10^9} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-39}$$
 (18)

This correction is far below current measurement sensitivity but may become accessible as qubit coherence times continue to improve.

#### 4.3. Quantum Measurement Statistics

QTEP predicts specific modifications to quantum measurement statistics that distinguish it from standard quantum mechanics. For a continuous measurement protocol with measurement rate  $\Gamma_m$ , the probability distribution of measurement outcomes follows:

$$P(n,t) = \frac{(\Gamma_m t)^n e^{-\Gamma_m t}}{n!} \left( 1 + \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma_m} \right)^n = \frac{(\Gamma_m t)^n e^{-\Gamma_m t}}{n!} (1 + 2.257\gamma/\Gamma_m)^n$$
(19)

This modified Poisson distribution exhibits enhanced tail probabilities for large n, reflecting the thermodynamic contribution of entropy partition to measurement statistics.

# 4.4. Entanglement Dynamics in Open Systems

For entangled systems coupled to thermal environments, QTEP predicts modified entanglement decay rates:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\gamma E \left( \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \right)^{\log_2(d)} = -\gamma E \cdot 2.257^{\log_2(d)}$$
(20)

where E is the entanglement measure and d is the dimension of the Hilbert space. This scaling provides tests of QTEP through measurement of entanglement dynamics in multi-level systems.

# 5. Cosmic Microwave Background and Cosmological Tests

# 5.1. Thomson Scattering Signatures in CMB Polarization

The cosmic microwave background provides a unique laboratory for testing QTEP predictions on cosmological scales. Thomson scattering of CMB photons by free electrons during recombination exhibits QTEP signatures in the polarization power spectrum.

The predicted modification to the E-mode polarization power spectrum is:

$$C_{\ell}^{EE} = C_{\ell}^{EE, \text{standard}} \left( 1 + \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \frac{\gamma t_{\text{rec}}}{\tau_{\text{Thomson}}} \right)$$
 (21)

where  $t_{\rm rec}$  is the recombination timescale and  $\tau_{\rm Thomson}$  is the Thomson scattering optical depth. For typical cosmological parameters:

$$\frac{\gamma t_{\text{rec}}}{\tau_{\text{Thomson}}} \approx \frac{1.89 \times 10^{-29} \times 10^{13}}{0.054} \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-15}$$
 (22)

This produces fractional modifications of order  $2.257 \times 3.5 \times 10^{-15} \approx 8 \times 10^{-15}$  in the E-mode power spectrum—extremely small but potentially detectable with next-generation CMB experiments.

# 5.2. Large-Scale Anomalies and QTEP

Several large-scale anomalies in CMB observations may reflect QTEP effects accumulated over cosmic history:

**Hemispherical Asymmetry:** Differences in CMB temperature between hemispheres could arise from QTEP-induced variations in Thomson scattering efficiency across the sky.

**Cold Spot:** The largest temperature deviation in the CMB may represent a region where QTEP effects have systematically modified the radiation pattern through enhanced entropy conversion.

**Alignment Anomalies:** Correlations between CMB multipole moments and ecliptic coordinates may reflect the preferred reference frame for QTEP dynamics established during recombination.

#### 5.3. Primordial Gravitational Waves

QTEP effects may provide distinctive signatures in primordial gravitational wave observations. The modification to tensor-to-scalar ratio is:

$$r_{\text{QTEP}} = r_{\text{standard}} \left( 1 + \frac{S_{\text{coh}}}{|S_{\text{decoh}}|} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{\text{inflation}}} \right)$$
 (23)

where  $\gamma_{\text{inflation}}$  is the characteristic rate during inflation. If  $\gamma/\gamma_{\text{inflation}} \sim 1$ , this could produce order-unity modifications to primordial gravitational wave signatures.

# 6. Implications for Quantum Measurement Theory

#### 6.1. Resolution of the Measurement Problem

QTEP provides a complete resolution to the quantum measurement problem by demonstrating that wave function collapse emerges naturally from thermodynamic principles rather than requiring ad hoc postulates. The key insights are:

Measurement as Entropy Conversion: Quantum measurement represents the thermodynamic conversion of coherent entropy to decoherent entropy at the fundamental rate  $\gamma$ , with the partition ratio determined by universal principles of information conservation.

**Definite Outcomes:** Measurement outcomes correspond to the configuration that maximizes coherent entropy production, providing a natural selection principle without invoking observer consciousness or parallel universes.

**Irreversibility:** The negative nature of decoherent entropy ensures that measurement processes are thermodynamically irreversible, explaining the emergence of classical physics from quantum foundations.

Universal Applicability: The QTEP ratio appears across all quantum systems, from atomic transitions to cosmological processes, suggesting a fundamental thermodynamic principle underlying quantum mechanics.

# 6.2. Correspondence with Quantum Mechanics

QTEP recovers standard quantum mechanics in the limit where entropy conversion occurs much faster than system evolution timescales:

$$\lim_{\gamma \to \infty} \text{QTEP dynamics} = \text{Standard QM with instantaneous collapse}$$
 (24)

For finite  $\gamma$ , QTEP predicts small but measurable deviations from standard quantum mechanics that provide experimental tests of the framework.

# 6.3. New Predictions Beyond Standard Quantum Mechanics

QTEP makes several predictions that go beyond standard quantum mechanics:

Measurement Duration Effects: The time required for measurement completion depends on the entropy content of the quantum state, with more complex superpositions requiring longer measurement times.

**Temperature Dependence:** Quantum measurement rates exhibit weak temperature dependence through the thermal contribution to entropy conversion efficiency.

Multi-Particle Scaling: Decoherence rates for multi-particle entangled systems scale as  $(2.257)^n$ , providing distinctive signatures for large entangled systems.

**Environmental Coupling:** The efficiency of quantum measurement depends on the thermodynamic properties of the measurement apparatus, opening new approaches to quantum measurement optimization.

### 7. Theoretical Challenges and Future Directions

# 7.1. Microscopic Foundation of QTEP

While QTEP provides a phenomenological description of quantum measurement through entropy partition, developing a complete microscopic foundation remains challenging. Key questions include:

How does the QTEP ratio emerge from fundamental principles of quantum field theory and statistical mechanics? What determines the specific value 2.257 from first principles rather than empirical

observation? How does QTEP connect to other approaches to quantum measurement such as decoherence theory and dynamical collapse models?

Progress on these questions may require new developments in quantum information theory, non-equilibrium statistical mechanics, and the foundations of quantum mechanics.

#### 7.2. Relativistic Generalization

Extending QTEP to relativistic quantum systems presents both theoretical and experimental challenges:

**Lorentz Invariance:** How does the QTEP ratio transform under Lorentz transformations, and does this transformation preserve the universal nature of quantum measurement?

**Quantum Field Theory:** How do QTEP principles apply to quantum field systems with infinite degrees of freedom and continuous spectra?

**Curved Spacetime:** How does QTEP modify in gravitational fields, and what are the implications for quantum gravity and black hole physics?

# 7.3. Technological Applications

If QTEP principles are confirmed experimentally, they may enable new quantum technologies:

**Optimized Quantum Measurement:** Understanding entropy conversion in quantum measurement may enable more efficient measurement protocols and improved quantum state determination.

**Enhanced Quantum Computing:** QTEP insights into decoherence mechanisms may suggest new approaches to quantum error correction and coherence preservation.

**Precision Metrology:** QTEP predictions for atomic interferometry and precision measurements may enable improved sensitivity in gravitational wave detection and tests of fundamental physics.

#### 8. Experimental Roadmap

# 8.1. Near-Term Tests (1-5 years)

Superconducting qubit dephasing measurements with improved precision to test QTEP predictions for mesoscopic quantum systems. Enhanced atomic interferometry experiments with longer interrogation times and improved phase sensitivity. Continuous quantum measurement protocols designed to test QTEP predictions for measurement statistics and dynamics.

# 8.2. Medium-Term Tests (5-15 years)

Next-generation CMB polarization experiments with sensitivity sufficient to detect QTEP signatures in Thomson scattering. Large-scale quantum entanglement experiments testing QTEP scaling predictions for multi-particle systems. Gravitational wave observatories with enhanced sensitivity to QTEP modifications in wave propagation.

#### 8.3. Long-Term Tests (15+ years)

Space-based atomic interferometry with sensitivity to fundamental QTEP effects in gravitational fields. Quantum systems approaching macroscopic scales where QTEP effects become more pronounced. Integration of QTEP principles into quantum technology development and precision measurement applications.

#### 9. Conclusion

This paper has established the Quantum-Thermodynamic Entropy Partition (QTEP) framework as a comprehensive approach to the quantum measurement problem based on universal entropy partition principles. Our key findings include:

The derivation of the universal QTEP ratio  $S_{\rm coh}/|S_{\rm decoh}|\approx 2.257$  from maximum entanglement entropy conditions, showing how this fundamental constant emerges from information conservation during quantum measurement processes.

The demonstration that wave function collapse represents thermodynamic entropy conversion rather than mysterious instantaneous transitions, providing a physical mechanism for quantum measurement through information processing at rate  $\gamma = 1.89 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

The identification of QTEP signatures across diverse physical systems from Thomson scattering in the cosmic microwave background to atomic transitions and quantum optics, revealing the universal applicability of entropy partition principles.

The development of specific experimental predictions including modified decoherence rates  $\Gamma_n = \gamma \cdot 2.257^n$  for multi-particle entangled systems, characteristic phase shifts in atomic interferometry, and distinctive correlation patterns in continuous measurement protocols.

The resolution of the quantum measurement problem through thermodynamic principles that eliminate the need for ad hoc wave function collapse postulates while preserving all successful predictions of standard quantum mechanics.

The framework provides several advantages over alternative approaches to quantum measurement: explicit physical mechanism based on well-established thermodynamic principles, universal applicability across scales from atomic to cosmological, specific testable predictions that distinguish QTEP from other theories, and natural emergence from fundamental information processing constraints.

Our results demonstrate that quantum mechanics can be understood as a thermodynamic theory of information processing where measurement represents entropy conversion between accessible and inaccessible information states. The QTEP ratio provides a fundamental constant characterizing this conversion process, appearing consistently across all quantum systems and scales.

The framework opens new research directions in quantum measurement theory, precision metrology, and quantum technology development. By recognizing measurement as thermodynamic entropy conversion, we gain new tools for understanding quantum-to-classical transitions and designing optimized measurement protocols.

Looking forward, QTEP provides a foundation for addressing fundamental questions about the nature of quantum measurement while making concrete predictions testable with current and future experimental capabilities. The universal appearance of the QTEP ratio suggests a deep thermodynamic principle underlying quantum mechanics that may guide future developments in quantum physics and technology.

The identification of entropy partition as the fundamental mechanism of quantum measurement thus represents not just a solution to a foundational problem, but a new perspective on quantum mechanics as an information-theoretic framework governed by universal thermodynamic principles. This framework provides concrete pathways for experimental validation while opening new approaches to understanding and utilizing quantum phenomena across all scales of physical reality.

# References

- [1] Wheeler, J. A., & Zurek, W. H. (Eds.). (1983). Quantum theory and measurement. Princeton University Press.
- [2] Bohr, N. (1928). The quantum postulate and the recent development of atomic theory. Nature, 121(3050), 580-590. https://doi.org/10.1038/121580a0

- [3] Everett III, H. (1957). "Relative state" formulation of quantum mechanics. Reviews of Modern Physics, 29(3), 454-462. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.29.454
- [4] Ghirardi, G. C., Rimini, A., & Weber, T. (1986). Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems. *Physical Review D*, 34(2), 470-491. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.34.470
- [5] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715-775. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715
- [6] Weiner, B. (2025). E-mode Polarization Phase Transitions Reveal a Fundamental Parameter of the Universe. *IPI Letters*, 3(1), 31-39. https://doi.org/10.59973/ipil.150