

# CH2\_Notes

August 8, 2019

## 1 Statistical Learning

---

### 1.1 What is Statistical Learning?

Given paired data  $(X, Y)$ , assume a relationship between  $X$  and  $Y$  modeled by

$$Y = f(X) + \epsilon$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $\epsilon$  is a random error term with  $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon) = 0$ .

**Statistical learning** is a set of approaches for estimating  $f$

#### 1.1.1 Why Estimate $f$ ?

##### Prediction

- We may want to *predict* the output  $Y$  from an estimate  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$ . The predicted value for a given  $X$  is then

$$\hat{Y} = \hat{f}(X)$$

. In prediction, we often treat  $f$  as a **black-box**

- The mean squared-error  $\text{mse}(\hat{Y}) = \mathbb{E}(Y - \hat{Y})^2$  is a good measure of the accuracy of  $\hat{Y}$  as a predictor for  $Y$ .
- One can write

$$\text{mse}(\hat{Y}) = \left( f(X) - \hat{f}(X) \right)^2 + \mathbb{V}(\epsilon)$$

These two terms are known as the **reducible error** and **irreducible error**, respectively

##### Inference

- Instead of predicting  $Y$  from  $X$ , we may be more interested how  $Y$  changes as a function of  $X$ . In inference, we usually do not treat  $f$  as a black box.

Examples of important inference questions:

- Which predictors have the largest influence on the response?
- What is the relationship between the response and each predictor?
- Is  $f$  linear or non-linear?

### 1.1.2 How to Estimate $f$ ?

**Parametric methods** Steps for parametric method:

1. Assume a parametric model for  $f$ , that is assume a specific functional form

$$f = f(X, \beta)$$

for some vector of *parameters*  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^T$

2. Use the training data to *fit* or *train* the model, that is to choose  $\beta_i$  such that

$$Y \approx f(X, \beta)$$

**Non-parametric methods** These methods make no assumptions about the functional form of  $f$ .

### 1.1.3 Accuracy vs. Interpretability

- In inference, generally speaking the more flexible the method, the less interpretable.
- In prediction, generally speaking the more flexible the method, the less accurate

### 1.1.4 Supervised vs. Unsupervised Learning

- In *supervised learning*, training data consists of pairs  $(X, Y)$  where  $X$  is a vector of predictors and  $Y$  a response. Prediction and inference are supervised learning problems, and the response variable (or the relationship between the response and the predictors) *supervises* the analysis of model
- In *unsupervised learning*, training data lacks a response variable.

### 1.1.5 Regression vs. Classification

- Problems with a quantitative response ( $Y \in S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ) tend to be called *regression* problems
- Problems with a qualitative, or categorical response ( $Y \in \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ) tend to be called *classification* problems

## 1.2 Assessing Model Accuracy

There is no free lunch in statistics

### 1.2.1 Measuring Quality of Fit

- To evaluate the performance of a method on a data set, we need measure model accuracy (how well predictions match observed data).
- In regression, the most common measure is the *mean-squared error*

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2$$

where  $y_i$  and  $\hat{f}(x_i)$  are the  $i$  true and predicting responses, respectively.

- We are usually not interested in minimizing MSE with respect to training data but rather to test data.
- There is no guarantee low training MSE will translate to low test MSE.
- Having low training MSE but high test MSE is called *overfitting*

### 1.2.2 The Bias-Variance Tradeoff

- For a given  $x_0$ , the expected MSE can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( y_0 - \hat{f}(x_0) \right)^2 \right] &= \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{f}(x) \right] - f(x) \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \hat{f}(x_0) - \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{f}(x_0) \right] \right)^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ (\epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\epsilon])^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbf{bias}^2 \left( \hat{f}(x_0) \right) + \mathbb{V} \left( \hat{f}(x_0) \right) + \mathbb{V}(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

- A good method minimizes variance and bias simultaneously.
- As a general rule, these quantities are inversely proportional. More flexible methods have lower bias but higher variance, while less flexible methods have the opposite. This is the *bias-variance tradeoff*
- In practice the mse, variance and bias cannot be calculated exactly but one must keep the bias-variance tradeoff in mind.

### 1.2.3 The Classification Setting

- In the classification setting, the most common measure of model accuracy is the *error rate*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(y_i \neq \hat{y}_i)$$

- As with the regression, we are interested in minimizing the test error rate, not the training error rate.

## The Bayes Classifier

- Given  $K$  classes, the *Bayes Classifier* predicts

$$\hat{y}_0 = \operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq j \leq K} \mathbb{P}(Y = j \mid X = x_0)$$

- The set of points

$$\{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid \mathbb{P}(Y = j \mid X = x_0) = \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x_0) \text{ for all } 1 \leq j, k \leq K\}$$

is called the *Bayes decision boundary*

- The test error rate of the Bayes classifier is the *Bayes error rate*, which is minimal among classifiers. It is given by

$$1 - \mathbb{E} \left( \max_j \mathbb{P}(Y = j \mid X) \right)$$

- The Bayes classifier is optimal, but in practice we don't know  $\mathbb{P}(Y \mid X)$ .

## K-Nearest Neighbors

- The *K-nearest neighbors* classifier works by estimating  $\mathbb{P}(Y \mid X)$  as follows.

- Given  $K \geq 1$  and  $x_0$ , find the set of points

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{K \text{ nearest points to } x_0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$$

- For each class  $j$  set

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = j \mid X) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{N}_0} I(y_i = j)$$

- Predict

$$\hat{y}_0 = \operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq j \leq K} \mathbb{P}(Y = j \mid X = x_0)$$

---

### 1.3 Footnotes

0. Reading the rest of the chapter, one realized this is the situation for *supervised* learning, which is the vast majority of this book is concerned with.
1. Here  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)^T$  is a vector.
2. This is usual definition of the mean squared-error of  $\hat{Y}$  as an estimator of the (non-parametric) quantity  $Y = f(X)$ .
3. We can in principle control the reducible error by improving the estimate  $\hat{f}$ , but we cannot control the irreducible error.
4. For example, a simple but popular assumption is that  $f$  is linear in both the parameters and the features, that is:

$$f(X) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p$$

This is linear regression.

5. Here the random variable is  $\hat{f}(x_0)$ , so the average is taken over all data sets
6. This is just the proportion of misclassified observations.