FE630 - Final Project

Author: Sid Bhatia

Date: May 14th, 2024

Pledge: I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System.

Professor: Papa Momar Ndiaye

1. Overview

11 Goal

The goal of this project to build and compare two factor-based long short allocation models with constraints on their betas. The first strategy considers a target Beta in the interval [-0.5, 0.5], while the second has a target Beta in the interval [-2, +2].

The first strategy operates similar to a Value-at-Risk Utility corresponding to Robust Optimization; the second strategy incorporates an Information Ratio term to limit the deviations from a benchmark, provided those deviations yield a 'high return.'

Once the optimization models are built, we want to compare the outcomes of the two models while simultaneously evaluating their sensitivity to the length of the estimators for the covariance matrix in tandem with the expected returns under various market regimes/scenarios.

1.2 Reallocation

The portfolios will be reallocated or, in other words, 'reoptimized' weekly from the beginning of March 2007 to the end of March 2024. Our investment universe encompasses a set of exchange-traded funds (ETFs) which is large enough to represent the 'Global World **Economy**' (as according to some).

We will utilize the Fama-French Three-Factor Model which incorporates the following factors:

- Momentum
- Value
- Size.

Regarding data accessability, these factors have historical values available for free from Ken **French's** personal website in tandem with Yahoo Finance.

1.3 Performance Evaluation

Naturally, the performance as well as the risk profiles of the aforementioned strategies may be (relatively) sensitive to the *target Beta* and the (current) market environment.

For example, a 'low Beta' (essentially) means that a strategy is created with the objective or aim to be 'decorrelated' (no linear relationship between entites) with the 'Global Market,' which, in our case, is represented by the S&P 500 (i.e., no systematic relationship).

A 'high Beta' is simply the antithesis, or opposite, of what we just discussed. In layman's terms, we have a (higher) appetite for 'risk' (in this case, let's keep it simple and define our premise as σ or **standard deviation**) and desire to ride or 'scale up' the *market risk* (systematic risk).

Moreover, it's imperative that one acknowledges that such a (described) strategy is more probable to be (quite) sensitive to the *estimators* used for the **Risk Model** and the **Alpha Model** (e.g., the length of the *look-back period* utilized); therefore, it is necessary to understand and, most importantly, *comprehend* the impact of said estimators on the **Portfolio's** characteristics:

- (Realized) Return : μ_h
- (Historical) Volatility) : σ_h
- Skewness : $(\mathbb{E}[(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})^3]) = \frac{\mu_3}{\sigma_3} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_o^{3/2}}$
- VaR / Expected Shortfall
- ullet Sharpe Ratio : $S_a = rac{\mathbb{E}[R_a R_b]}{\sigma_a} = rac{\mathbb{E}[R_a R_b]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(R_a R_b)}}$

1.4 Simplification

To make it easier, we assume that once the **Factor Model** (FM) has been constructed, we will use trend following estimators for the **Expected Returns**. Since the quality of the estimators depend on the **look-back period**, we define three cases:

- Long-Term Estimator (LTE) : $LT \Rightarrow LB \in \{180 \text{ Days}\}.$
- Mid-Term Estimator (MTE) : $MT \Rightarrow LB \in \{90 \text{ Days}\}.$
- Short-Term Estimator (STE) : $ST \Rightarrow LB \in \{40 \text{ Days}, 60 \text{ Days}\}.$

Specifically, we define a **Term-Structure** for the Covariance Σ and Expected Return μ .

1.5 Synthesis

To (briefly) summarize, the behavior of a (potential) 'optimal' portfolio built from a melting pot of estimators for **Covariance** and **Expected Return** may vary according to the cadence

of the 'Market' (environment/regime) or an aforementioned strategy.

For example, the (mathematical) notation S_{40}^{90} is just fancy jargon to visually illustrate that we are using **40 days** for the covariance estimation and **90 days** for the expected returns estimations—it's not that deep.

Overall, the goal of this fun, entertaining project is to conceptualize, visualize, understand, analyze, and compare the behavior of our ideas; we want to *see* if we can (actually) make some \$\$\$, especially during momentous, historical (time) periods such as the **Subprime**Mortgage Crisis of 2008, the horrendous commencement of Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2

Disease of 2019, et cetera.

2. (Investment) Strategy

Alrighty, let's get to the fun, juicy portion; shall we?

2.1 (Mathematical) Strategic Formulation

Let's make things interesting—spicy, one may say.

Consider two strats [(clipping) of 'strategies,' as embodied in *Morphology*)]:

$$\left(\text{Strategy I} \right) \quad \begin{cases} \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \ \rho^T \omega - \lambda \sqrt{\omega^T \Sigma \omega} \\ \\ -0.5 \le \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^m \omega_i \le 0.5 \\ \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1, \quad -2 \le \omega_i \le 2, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

and

$$egin{aligned} & \left\{ egin{aligned} & \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \ rac{
ho^T \omega}{ ext{TEV}(\omega)} - \lambda \sqrt{\omega^T \Sigma \omega} \ & -2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n eta_i^m \omega_i \leq 2 \ & \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1, \quad -2 \leq \omega_i \leq 2, \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

where we define the hieroglyphics used above:

- Σ is the covariance matrix between the securities returns (as computed from the FF3FM);
- $eta_i^m = rac{\mathrm{Cov}(r_i, r_M)}{\sigma^2(r_M)}$ is the Beta) (not to be confused with the colloquial slang usage) of some security) S_i as defined by the CAPM Model such that $eta_P^m = \sum_{i=1}^n eta_i^m \omega_i$ is the **Portfolio Beta**;

5/14/24, 4:44 PM fe630-fp-html-v13

• $\text{TEV}(\omega) = \sigma(r_P(\omega) - r_{\text{SPY}})$ is the '**Tracking Error Volatility**', which (if you're *really nerdy*) you can derive it as such:

$$\sigma(r_P(\omega) - r_{ ext{SPY}}) = \sqrt{\omega^\intercal \Sigma \omega - 2\omega^\intercal ext{Cov}(r, r_{ ext{SPY}}) + \sigma_{ ext{SPY}}^2}$$
 (3)

Oh yeah, I should probably define what 'FF3FM' means; that would (probably) be helpful.

2.2 Fama-French Three-Factor Model

So, to echo the previous sentiment, we should (almost surely) explain what is this *funky* model we kept referencing:

$$r_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (r_M - r_f) + b_i^s r_{\text{SMB}} + b_i^v r_{\text{HML}} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_i$$
 (4)

Sorry for writing (or, to be *really technical*, typesetting) more hieroglyphics. We gotta keep going for a bit—stay with me!

If we assume our white noise/error terms, on 'average', have a (numerical) value of 0 (i.e., $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i]=0$), we can derive a new goofy equation:

$$\rho_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (\rho_M - r_f) + b_i^s \rho_{\text{SMB}} + b_i^v \rho_{\text{HML}} + \alpha_i \tag{5}$$

In the new cursive script defined above, the 3 coefficients β_i^3 , b_i^s , and b_i^v are estimated by making a linear regression, or, in 'plain English', drawing a line of best fit of the time series $y_i=\rho_i-r_f$ against the other cool time series ρ_M-r_f (Momentum Factor), $r_{\rm SMB}$ (Size Factor), and $\rho_{\rm HML}$ (Value Factor).

I feel like I'm forgetting something ...

Oh yeah! There's an extra (nerdy) thingy we gotta verify: (generally), $\beta_i^m \neq \beta_i^3$ and needs to be estimated by a separate regression or directly computed.