0 Amendment

Response to
$$25\%$$
; (-1)

This is my corrective action. (0)

1 Overview

1.1 Goal

Objective: Build / Compare Two Factor-Based L/S Allocation Models (1)

Beta
$$(\beta)$$
 Constraints (2)

First Strategy
$$(S_{\{1\}})$$
: Target Beta $\beta_T \in [-0.5, 0.5]$ (3)

Second Strategy
$$(S_{\{2\}})$$
: Target Beta $\beta_T \in [-2, 2]$ (4)

$$S_{\{1\}} \cong \text{Value-at-Risk Utility (Robust Optimization)}$$
 (5)

$$S_{\{1\}} \Leftarrow \text{Information Ratio}$$
 (6)

Post optimization, I compare model outcomes while evaluating estimator length se

[covariance matrix $\Sigma \wedge \text{expected returns } \mu$] across market regimes (8)

1.2 Reallocation

Portfolio Allocation
$$\{P_t\} \Leftarrow \text{`03-01-2007'} \sim \text{`03-31-2024'}$$
 (9)

$$P_t \quad orall \, t \in \{t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} \quad ext{where} \quad t_0 = ext{03-01-2007}, \quad t_n = ext{03-31-2024} \quad (10)$$

$$t_i = t_{i-1} + 7 \text{ days} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (11)

1.3 Performance Evaluation

The performance / risk profiles are sensitive to the target Beta and the market en

Low Beta
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Decorrelation; (16)

$$High Beta \equiv Antithesis. \tag{17}$$

5/16/24. 12:10 AM fe630-fpr-html-v42

Portfolio Characteristics:

• Return:
$$\mu$$
 (18)

• Volatility (Vol):
$$\sigma$$
 (19)

• Skewness (Skew) :
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^3\right] = \frac{\mu_3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2^{3/2}}$$
 (20)

• Sharpe Ratio :
$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[R_a - R_b]}{\sigma_a} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_a - R_b]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(R_a - R_b)}}$$
 (22)

1.4 Simplification

Look-Back μ Estimators :

• Long-Term Estimator (LTE) : LT
$$\Rightarrow$$
 LB \in {180 Days} (23)

• Mid-Term Estimator (MTE) :
$$MT \Rightarrow LB \in \{90 \text{ Days}\}\$$
 (24)

• Short-Term Estimator (STE) :
$$ST \Rightarrow LB \in \{40 \text{ Days}, 60 \text{ Days}\}\$$
 (25)

Term-Structure for Covariance
$$\Sigma \wedge \text{Expected Return } \mu$$
. (26)

1.5 Synthesis

$$S_{40}^{90} \equiv \hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}} \Rightarrow 40 ext{ Days } \wedge \hat{oldsymbol{\mu}} \Rightarrow 90 ext{ Days}$$
 (30)

Objective:

2 Strategy

Theory \& Math

2.1 Strategic Formulation

Consider two strategies:

$$\begin{cases}
\max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \rho^T \omega - \lambda \sqrt{\omega^T \Sigma \omega} \\
-0.5 \le \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^m \omega_i \le 0.5 \\
\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1, \quad -2 \le \omega_i \le 2,
\end{cases}$$
(34)

and

- $\Sigma \equiv \text{covariance matrix between security returns (FF3FM)};$
- $eta_i^m = rac{\mathrm{Cov}(r_i, r_M)}{\sigma^2(r_M)} \equiv \mathrm{Beta} \ \mathrm{of} \ \mathrm{security} \ S_i \ (\mathrm{CAPM}) \ \mathrm{s.t.}$ $eta_P^m = \sum_{i=1}^n eta_i^m \omega_i \equiv \mathrm{Porfolio} \ \mathrm{Beta};$
- TEV(ω) = $\sigma(r_P(\omega) r_{SPY}) \equiv$ Tracking Error Volatility; trivial derivation (reader exercise):

$$\sigma(r_P(\omega) - r_{\text{SPY}}) = \sqrt{\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma \omega - 2\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \text{Cov}(r, r_{\text{SPY}}) + \sigma_{\text{SPY}}^2}.$$
 (36)

2.2 Fama-French Three-Factor Model (FF3FM)

Definition:

$$r_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (r_M - r_f) + b_i^s r_{\text{SMB}} + b_i^v r_{\text{HML}} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_i$$
 (8)

 $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i] = 0;$...

$$\rho_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (\rho_M - r_f) + b_i^s \rho_{\text{SMB}} + b_i^v \rho_{\text{HML}} + \alpha_i$$
(9)

Estimated Coefficient Vector:

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i^3, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}_i^s, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}_i^v)^{\mathsf{T}} \Leftarrow \boldsymbol{y}_i = \rho_i - r_f \tag{10}$$

Linear Regression:

$$= \hat{\beta}_i^3(\rho_M - r_f) + \hat{\beta}_i^s r_{\text{SMB}} + \hat{b}_i^v \rho_{\text{HML}} + \epsilon_i$$
(11)

 $\beta_i^m \neq \beta_i^3$ | estimated via separate regression / computed directly.

2.3 Executive Summary Formulation

This section elaborates on the mathematical formulation established in Sections 2.1 but for executives (innumerate):

2.3.1 Strategy I Breakdown

1. **Objective**: Maximize returns while considering risk.

2. Constraints:

- The portfolio's beta must be between -0.5 and 0.5.
- The sum of the weights assigned to each asset in the portfolio must equal 1.
- Each individual weight can range from -2 to 2.

2.3.2 Strategy II Breakdown

1. **Objective**: Maximize returns relative to the portfolio's **tracking error volatility** (**TEV**), which measures how much the portfolio's returns deviate from a benchmark.

2. Constraints:

- The portfolio's beta must be between -2 and 2.
- The sum of the weights assigned to each asset in the portfolio must equal 1.
- Each individual weight can range from -2 to 2.

The next section establishes the necessary assumptions considered for strategic formulation and implementation.

3 Assumptions and (Analysis) Setup

3.1 Setup

To simplify, we will make the following assumptions for this experiment:

- 1. The portfolios will be reallocated weekly from the beginning of **March 2007** to the end of **March 2024**.
- 2. I define three cases:
 - Long-Term Look-Back Period : 120 Data Points for estimation of a Sample Covariance & Sample Mean; i.e., Scenario LT $\equiv S_{120}$.
 - Medium-Term Look-Back Period : 90 Data Points for estimation of a Sample Covariance & Sample Mean; i.e., Scenario MT $\equiv S_{90}$.
 - Short-Term Look-Back Period : 40 Data Points for estimation of a Sample Covariance & Sample Mean; i.e., Scenario $ST \equiv S_{40}$.
- 3. Consider two possible values for the **Target Beta** (again, *not* the colloquial slang term) : 0 & 1.
- 4. Consider two possible values for the λ (the *risk aversion parameter*; i.e., how much are you putting on black?) : 0.10 & 0.50.