FE630 - Final Project (Revision)

Author: Sid Bhatia

Date: May 15th, 2024

Pledge: I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System.

Professor: Papa Momar Ndiaye

0 Disclaimer

This work is in response to the original (numerical) grade I received as a 25% due to my imprudence and deviation from the requested prompt.

I (profusely) apologize for my incompetence; This is my corrective action.

1 Overview

1.1 Goal

The goal of this project to build and compare *two factor-based long short allocation models* with constraints on their *betas*. The first strategy considers a **target Beta** in the interval [-0.5, 0.5], while the second has a target Beta in the interval [-2, +2].

The first strategy operates similar to a **Value-at-Risk Utility** corresponding to **Robust Optimization**; the second strategy incorporates an **Information Ratio** term to limit the deviations from a benchmark, provided those deviations yield a 'high return.'

Once the optimization models are built, we want to *compare* the outcomes of the two models while simultaneously evaluating their sensitivity to the *length* of the estimators for the **covariance matrix** in tandem with the **expected returns** under various market regimes/scenarios.

1.2 Reallocation

The portfolios will be *reallocated* or, in other words, 'reoptimized' weekly from the beginning of **March 2007** to the end of **March 2024**. Our *investment universe* encompasses a set of exchange-traded funds (**ETFs**) which is large enough to represent the '**Global World Economy**.'

We will utilize the Fama-French Three-Factor Model which incorporates the following factors:

- Momentum
- Value
- Size.

Regarding data accessability, these factors have historical values available for *free* from *Ken French's* personal website in tandem with Yahoo Finance.

1.3 Performance Evaluation

Naturally, the performance as well as the risk profiles of the aforementioned strategies may be (relatively) sensitive to the *target Beta* and the (current) market environment.

For example, a 'low Beta' (essentially) means that a strategy is created with the objective or aim to be 'decorrelated' (no linear relationship between entites) with the 'Global Market,' which, in our case, is represented by the **S&P 500** (i.e., no *systematic relationship*).

A 'high Beta' is simply the antithesis, or opposite, of what we just discussed. In layman's terms, we have a (higher) appetite for 'risk' (in this case, let's keep it simple and define our premise as σ or standard deviation) and desire to ride or 'scale up' the $market\ risk$ (systematic risk).

Moreover, it's imperative that one acknowledges that such a (described) strategy is more probable to be (quite) sensitive to the *estimators* used for the **Risk Model** and the **Alpha Model** (e.g., the length of the *look-back period* utilized); therefore, it is necessary to understand and, most importantly, *comprehend* the impact of said estimators on the **Portfolio's** characteristics:

- (Realized) Return : μ_h
- (Historical) Volatility) : σ_h
- Skewness : $(\mathbb{E}[(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})^3]) = \frac{\mu_3}{\sigma_3} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2^{3/2}}$
- VaR / Expected Shortfall
- ullet Sharpe Ratio : $S_a = rac{\mathbb{E}[R_a R_b]}{\sigma_a} = rac{\mathbb{E}[R_a R_b]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(R_a R_b)}}$

1.4 Simplification

To make it easier, we assume that once the **Factor Model** (FM) has been constructed, we will use trend following estimators for the **Expected Returns**. Since the quality of the estimators depend on the **look-back period**, we define three cases:

- Long-Term Estimator (LTE) : $LT \Rightarrow LB \in \{180 \text{ Days}\}.$
- Mid-Term Estimator (MTE) : $MT \Rightarrow LB \in \{90 \text{ Days}\}.$
- Short-Term Estimator (STE) : $ST \Rightarrow LB \in \{40 \text{ Days}, 60 \text{ Days}\}.$

Specifically, we define a **Term-Structure** for the Covariance Σ and Expected Return μ .

1.5 Synthesis

To (briefly) summarize, the behavior of a (potential) 'optimal' portfolio built from a melting pot of estimators for **Covariance** and **Expected Return** may vary according to the cadence of the '**Market**' (environment/regime) or an aforementioned strategy.

For example, the (mathematical) notation S_{40}^{90} is implemented to illustrate that we are using **40 days** for the covariance estimation and **90 days** for the expected returns estimations.

Overall, the goal of this experiment is to conceptualize, visualize, understand, analyze, and compare the behavior of our hypotheses; we want to see if we can deliver robust risk-adjusted performance, especially during momentous, historical periods such as the **Subprime Mortgage Crisis** of *2008*, the horrendous commencement of **Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Disease** of *2019*, et cetera.

2 (Investment) Strategy

This section delves into the theoretical and mathematical formulations for the investment strategy employed; it is not for the faint of heart.

2.1 (Mathematical) Strategic Formulation

Consider the following two strategies:

$$egin{aligned} & \left\{egin{aligned} & \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \;
ho^T \omega - \lambda \sqrt{\omega^T \Sigma \omega} \ & -0.5 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n eta_i^m \omega_i \leq 0.5 \ & \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1, \quad -2 \leq \omega_i \leq 2, \end{aligned}
ight. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \; \frac{\rho^T \omega}{\text{TEV}(\omega)} - \lambda \sqrt{\omega^T \Sigma \omega} \\ -2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^m \omega_i \leq 2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1, \quad -2 \leq \omega_i \leq 2, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where we define the constructions above:

Σ is the covariance matrix between the securities returns (as computed from the FF3FM);

- $eta_i^m = rac{\mathrm{Cov}(r_i, r_M)}{\sigma^2(r_M)}$ is the Beta) of some security) S_i as defined by the CAPM Model such that $eta_P^m = \sum_{i=1}^n eta_i^m \omega_i$ is the **Portfolio Beta**;
- $\text{TEV}(\omega) = \sigma(r_P(\omega) r_{\text{SPY}})$ is the '**Tracking Error Volatility**'; the derivation is trivial and left as an exercise to the reader:

$$\sigma(r_P(\omega) - r_{\mathrm{SPY}}) = \sqrt{\omega^\intercal \Sigma \omega - 2\omega^\intercal \mathrm{Cov}(r, r_{\mathrm{SPY}}) + \sigma_{\mathrm{SPY}}^2}.$$
 (3)

2.2 Fama-French Three-Factor Model (FF3FM)

The Fama-French Three-Factor Model (FF3FM) is defined as follows:

$$r_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (r_M - r_f) + b_i^s r_{\mathrm{SMB}} + b_i^v r_{\mathrm{HML}} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_i$$
 (4)

Assume $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i]=0$; therefore,

$$\rho_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (\rho_M - r_f) + b_i^s \rho_{\text{SMB}} + b_i^v \rho_{\text{HML}} + \alpha_i$$
 (5)

The 3 coefficients β_i^3 , b_i^s , and b_i^v are estimated by making a linear regression of the time series $y_i=\rho_i-r_f$ against the time series ρ_M-r_f (Momentum Factor), $r_{\rm SMB}$ (Size Factor), and $\rho_{\rm HML}$ (Value Factor).

 $eta_i^m
eq eta_i^3$ in tandem with the requirement to be estimated via a separate regression or directly computed.

2.3 Executive Summary Formulation

This section elaborates on the mathematical formulation established in Sections 2.1 but for executives (innumerate):

2.3.1 Strategy I Breakdown

- 1. **Objective**: Maximize returns while considering risk.
- 2. Constraints:
 - The portfolio's beta must be between -0.5 and 0.5.
 - The sum of the weights assigned to each asset in the portfolio must equal 1.
 - Each individual weight can range from -2 to 2.

2.3.2 Strategy II Breakdown

- Objective: Maximize returns relative to the portfolio's tracking error volatility (TEV), which measures how much the portfolio's returns deviate from a benchmark.
- 2. Constraints:
 - The portfolio's beta must be between -2 and 2.

5/15/24, 2:44 PM fe630-fpr-html-v12

- The sum of the weights assigned to each asset in the portfolio must equal 1.
- Each individual weight can range from -2 to 2.

The next section establishes the necessary assumptions considered for strategic formulation and implementation.

Assumptions and (Analysis) Setup