## 0 Amendment

#### Response to 25%;

This is my corrective action.

## 1 Overview

### 1.1 Goal

Objective: Build / Compare Two Factor-Based L/S Allocation Models

Beta  $(\beta)$  Constraints

First Strategy 
$$(S_{\{1\}})$$
: Target Beta  $\beta_T \in [-0.5, 0.5]$  (1)

Second Strategy 
$$(S_{\{2\}})$$
: Target Beta  $\beta_T \in [-2, 2]$  (2)

$$S_{\{1\}} \cong \text{Value-at-Risk Utility (Robust Optimization)}$$
 (3)

$$S_{\{1\}} \Leftarrow \text{Information Ratio}$$
 (4)

Post optimization, I compare model outcomes while evaluating estimator length se

[covariance matrix 
$$\Sigma \wedge \text{expected returns } \mu$$
] across market regimes (6)

### 1.2 Reallocation

Portfolio Allocation 
$$\{P_t\} \Leftarrow$$
 '03-01-2007'  $\sim$  '03-31-2024' (7)

$$P_t \quad orall \, t \in \{t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} \quad ext{where} \quad t_0 = ext{03-01-2007}, \quad t_n = ext{03-31-2024} \quad (8)$$

$$t_i = t_{i-1} + 7 \text{ days} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (9)

### 1.3 Performance Evaluation

The performance / risk profiles are sensitive to the target Beta and the market en

Low Beta 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Decorrelation; (14)

High Beta 
$$\equiv$$
 Antithesis. (15)

5/15/24, 9:37 PM fe630-fpr-html-v36

Portfolio Characteristics:

• Return: 
$$\mu$$
 (16)

• Volatility (Vol): 
$$\sigma$$
 (17)

• Skewness (Skew) : 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^3\right] = \frac{\mu_3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_2^{3/2}}$$
 (18)

• Sharpe Ratio : 
$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[R_a - R_b]}{\sigma_a} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_a - R_b]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(R_a - R_b)}}$$
(20)

## 1.4 Simplification

Post Factor Model (FM) construction,

I use trend following estimators for  $\mu$ .

The estimator quality depends on the look-back (LB) period; ::

• Long-Term Estimator (LTE) : LT 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 LB  $\in$  {180 Days}. (1)

• Mid-Term Estimator (MTE) : 
$$MT \Rightarrow LB \in \{90 \text{ Days}\}.$$
 (2)

• Short-Term Estimator (STE) : 
$$ST \Rightarrow LB \in \{40 \text{ Days}, 60 \text{ Days}\}.$$
 (3)

Term-Structure for Covariance  $\Sigma$   $\wedge$  Expected Return  $\mu$ .

### 1.5 Synthesis

Optimal portfolio behavior constructed from

covariance and expected return estimators

will vary due to strategic and market differences.

$$S_{40}^{90} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}} \Rightarrow 40 \; \mathrm{Days} \; \wedge \; \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \Rightarrow 90 \; \mathrm{Days}$$
 (4)

Goal:

- Evaluate Hypothesis
- Demonstrate Robustness (Or Lack Thereof)

• Market Regime Stratification

# 2 Strategy

Theory \& Math

### 2.1 Strategic Formulation

Consider two strategies:

$$\left( \text{Strategy I} \right) \quad \begin{cases} \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \, \rho^T \omega - \lambda \sqrt{\omega^T \Sigma \omega} \\ \\ -0.5 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^m \omega_i \leq 0.5 \\ \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1, \quad -2 \leq \omega_i \leq 2, \end{cases}$$
 (5)

and

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \max_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \; \frac{\rho^T \omega}{\text{TEV}(\omega)} - \lambda \sqrt{\omega^T \Sigma \omega} \\ -2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^m \omega_i \leq 2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1, \quad -2 \leq \omega_i \leq 2, \end{aligned} \right.$$
 (6)

- $\Sigma \equiv \text{covariance matrix between security returns (FF3FM)};$
- $eta_i^m = rac{ ext{Cov}(r_i, r_M)}{\sigma^2(r_M)} \equiv ext{Beta of security } S_i ext{ (CAPM) s.t.}$   $eta_P^m = \sum_{i=1}^n eta_i^m \omega_i \equiv ext{Porfolio Beta;}$
- TEV( $\omega$ ) =  $\sigma(r_P(\omega) r_{SPY}) \equiv$  Tracking Error Volatility; trivial derivation (reader exercise):

$$\sigma(r_P(\omega) - r_{\mathrm{SPY}}) = \sqrt{\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma \omega - 2\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \mathrm{Cov}(r, r_{\mathrm{SPY}}) + \sigma_{\mathrm{SPY}}^2}.$$
 (7)

# 2.2 Fama-French Three-Factor Model (FF3FM)

Definition:

$$r_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (r_M - r_f) + b_i^s r_{\mathrm{SMB}} + b_i^v r_{\mathrm{HML}} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_i$$
 (8)

$$\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i] = 0;$$
  $\therefore$ 

$$\rho_i = r_f + \beta_i^3 (\rho_M - r_f) + b_i^s \rho_{\text{SMB}} + b_i^v \rho_{\text{HML}} + \alpha_i \tag{9}$$

Estimated Coefficient Vector:

$$(\hat{\beta}_i^3, \hat{b}_i^s, \hat{b}_i^v)^{\mathsf{T}} \Leftarrow y_i = \rho_i - r_f \tag{10}$$

Linear Regression:

$$= \hat{\beta}_i^3(\rho_M - r_f) + \hat{\beta}_i^s r_{\text{SMB}} + \hat{b}_i^v \rho_{\text{HML}} + \epsilon_i$$
(11)

 $\beta_i^m \neq \beta_i^3$  | estimated via separate regression / computed directly.

### 2.3 Executive Summary Formulation

This section elaborates on the mathematical formulation established in Sections 2.1 but for executives (innumerate):

#### 2.3.1 Strategy I Breakdown

1. **Objective**: Maximize returns while considering risk.

#### 2. Constraints:

5/15/24. 9:37 PM

- The portfolio's beta must be between -0.5 and 0.5.
- The sum of the weights assigned to each asset in the portfolio must equal 1.
- Each individual weight can range from -2 to 2.

#### 2.3.2 Strategy II Breakdown

 Objective: Maximize returns relative to the portfolio's tracking error volatility (TEV), which measures how much the portfolio's returns deviate from a benchmark.

#### 2. Constraints:

- The portfolio's beta must be between -2 and 2.
- The sum of the weights assigned to each asset in the portfolio must equal 1.
- Each individual weight can range from -2 to 2.

The next section establishes the necessary assumptions considered for strategic formulation and implementation.

## 3 Assumptions and (Analysis) Setup

### 3.1 Setup

5/15/24, 9:37 PM fe630-fpr-html-v36

To simplify, we will make the following assumptions for this experiment:

- 1. The portfolios will be reallocated weekly from the beginning of **March 2007** to the end of **March 2024**.
- 2. I define three cases:
  - Long-Term Look-Back Period : 120 Data Points for estimation of a Sample Covariance & Sample Mean; i.e., Scenario LT  $\equiv S_{120}$ .
  - Medium-Term Look-Back Period : 90 Data Points for estimation of a Sample Covariance & Sample Mean; i.e., Scenario MT  $\equiv S_{90}$ .
  - Short-Term Look-Back Period : 40 Data Points for estimation of a Sample Covariance & Sample Mean; i.e., Scenario  $ST \equiv S_{40}$ .
- 3. Consider two possible values for the **Target Beta** (again, *not* the colloquial slang term) : 0 & 1.
- 4. Consider two possible values for the  $\lambda$  (the *risk aversion parameter*; i.e., how much are you putting on black?) : 0.10 & 0.50.