

Classical Non-Linear Methods: Inference with M-Estimators

Bertel Schjerning

University of Copenhagen, Department of Economics

February 10, 2026

Plan for Classical Non-Linear Methods

Lecture 4: M-estimation, Intro, Non-linear LS (W.12)

Lecture 5: Asymptotic properties of M-estimators (W.12)

Lecture 6: M-estimator inference, Variance estimation (W.12)

Lecture 7: Maximum likelihood estimation (W.13)

Recap: Setting

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_o \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} E [q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta})], \quad (\text{M-estimand})$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N q(\mathbf{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (\text{M-estimator})$$

Know sufficient conditions for $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N$ \sqrt{N} -asymptotically normal,

$$\sqrt{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}_o), \quad \mathbf{V}_o := \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1}.$$

To do inference (CIs, hypothesis testing, etc.)...

Q: How to estimate $\text{Avar}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N) = \mathbf{V}_o/N$?

Outline

Variance Estimation

Example: Non-Linear Regression

Multivariate Nonlinear Least Squares

Non-Linear Hypothesis Testing

Variance Estimation

How to Estimate Asymptotic Variance?

$$\text{Avar}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N.$$

Recall:

$$\mathbf{A}_o = \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)],$$

$$\mathbf{B}_o = \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)'],$$

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (P \times 1)$$

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (P \times P)$$

Q: How to consistently estimate \mathbf{A}_o and \mathbf{B}_o ?

Estimator 1: Most Structural

One (naïve) **idea**:

1. Analytically solve for expectations

$$\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) := E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)],$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) := E[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)'].$$

2. Substitute $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$ for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$.

Drawbacks:

- ▶ Requires complete specification of \mathbf{w} distribution.
- ▶ Obtaining closed-form expression difficult.

Rarely an option...

Estimator 2: Least Structural

- ▶ \mathbf{A}_o and \mathbf{B}_o expectations of functions of θ_o .
- ▶ Invoke analogy principle:
 1. Replace expectations with averages.
 2. Insert $\hat{\theta}$ for θ_o .

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i := \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i, \hat{\theta}),$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{B}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i', \quad \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i := \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}_i, \hat{\theta}).$$

- + $\widehat{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow_p \mathbf{A}_o$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow_p \mathbf{B}_o$ under mild (add'l) cond's.

Estimator 2: Least Structural

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i := \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}),$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{B}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i', \quad \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i := \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}_i, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

- + With twice cont diff q , always available.
- + If $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ interior, $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$ at least positive semi-definite.
- ÷ Requires calculation of second-order derivatives.
- If $q(\mathbf{w}, \cdot)$ strictly convex, $N^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ p.d., all $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Estimator 3: Semistructural

Let $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$.

Let θ_o index feature of $D(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$.

- ▶ E.g. cond'l mean, median, whole distribution.

Define **conditional Hessian**

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o) := \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o) | \mathbf{x}] .$$

Then estimator given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i := \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_i, \widehat{\theta}).$$

Estimator 3: Semistructural

Recall:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i, \quad \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

- + Usually positive definite in sample.
- ▶ Useful if $E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) | \mathbf{x}]$ available in closed form
 - ▶ ... or easily approximated.
- ÷ Relies on more structure. Could be wrong.
 - ▶ Even more important for fully structural approach.

Asymptotic Variance Estimators

Least structural approach \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &:= \widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i \widehat{\mathbf{s}}'_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i \right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Semistructural approach \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &:= \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i \widehat{\mathbf{s}}'_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Robust/semi-robust variance estimators.

Example: Non-Linear Regression

Recap: Non-Linear Mean Regression Model

$$E[y | \mathbf{x}] = m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \quad (1)$$

y : random scalar outcome variable

\mathbf{x} : random k-vector of explanatory variables

m : Nonlinear *parametric* model for $E(y | \mathbf{x})$, i.e.

$m(\cdot, \cdot)$ is known up to a set of parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$

$\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$: $P \times 1$ vector of that index the model for $E[y | \mathbf{x}]$,
 $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o \in \Theta \subset R^P$

- ▶ $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^P$ parameter space. (P fixed!)
- ▶ $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$ often called “true value of theta.”
- ▶ If $E[y|\mathbf{x}] = m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)$ holds for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \in \Theta$, we say that the model is *correctly specified* [NLS.1].

Recap: Non-Linear Least Squares

Correct specification \Rightarrow true theta solves population problem

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_o \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} E[q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta})], \quad (\text{PP})$$

with $\mathbf{w} = (y, \mathbf{x})$ and the square loss

$$q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = [y - m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]^2.$$

If given i.i.d. observations $\{(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)\}_{i=1}^N$.

Suggests non-linear least squares (NLS) estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})]^2. \quad (\text{SP})$$

NLS Score and Hessian

In NLS,

$$q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = [y - m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]^2.$$

Chain and product rules \Rightarrow

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -2[y - m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})] \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &\quad - 2[y - m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}).\end{aligned}$$

Only one $D(y \mid \mathbf{x})$ feature specified.

($E[y \mid \mathbf{x}]$)

\Rightarrow fully structural impossible.

NLS Variance Estimator: $\mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N$

Evaluate $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_o$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) &= -2u \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o), \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_o &= 4\mathbb{E} \left[u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Abbreviating

$$\hat{u}_i := y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \quad (\text{NLS residuals})$$

$$\widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \quad (1 \times P)$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{4}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}_i' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}_i.$$

NLS Variance Estimator: $\mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N$

Evaluate $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_o$,

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) - 2u \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o).$$

Abbreviate:

$$\widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^2 m}_i := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}_i, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

Least structural approach \Rightarrow

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i = 2 \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}'_i \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}_i - 2 \widehat{u}_i \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^2 m}_i.$$

\Rightarrow Fully robust estimator: $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N$.

NLS Variance Estimator: $\mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N$

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) - 2 \textcolor{red}{u} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o).$$

Model well-specified $\Rightarrow E[u | \mathbf{x}] = 0.$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) | \mathbf{x}] = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o).$$

Semistructural approach \Rightarrow

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i = 2 \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}.$$

\Rightarrow Semirobust estimator: $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N.$

NLS Variance Estimator

Semirobust estimator $\widetilde{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) =$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_i' \widehat{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_i' \widehat{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_i' \widehat{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \widehat{\mathbf{m}}_i \right)^{-1}.$$

No restrictions on $\text{var}(y | \mathbf{x})$.

[\Rightarrow] Heteroskedasticity-robust variance estimator for NLS.

- ▶ Output of canned software packages.

Asymptotic standard errors = square root of diagonal.

NLS Variance Estimator: Special Cases

$$\widetilde{\text{Avar}(\hat{\theta})} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

Exponential regression:

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \exp(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \Rightarrow \nabla_{\theta} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) &= \exp(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)\mathbf{x}, \\ \Rightarrow \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} &= \exp(2\mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\mathbf{x}_i'\mathbf{x}_i, \\ \text{and } \hat{u}_i &= y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}). \end{aligned}$$

NLS Variance Estimator: Special Cases

$$\widetilde{\text{Avar}(\hat{\theta})} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

Linear regression:

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\theta}, \\ \Rightarrow \nabla_{\theta} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) &= \mathbf{x}, \\ \Rightarrow \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} &= \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i, \\ \text{and } \hat{u}_i &= y_i - \mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{OLS residuals})$$

...usual heteroskedasticity-robust variance estimator for OLS.

Multivariate Nonlinear Least Squares

Nonlinear Vector Regression

- ▶ Now: outcome \mathbf{y} ($G \times 1$).
- ▶ Parametric model $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ for $E(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x})$.
- ▶ Multivariate NLS estimator = M-estimator with

$$q(\mathbf{w}, \theta) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)\|^2 = \sum_{g=1}^G [y_g - m_g(\mathbf{x}, \theta)]^2.$$

⇒ general theorems apply.

Nonlinear Vector Regression

- ▶ Now $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_0)$.
- ▶ Asymptotic variance of sandwich form,

$$A_0 := E[\nabla_{\theta}\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_0)'\nabla_{\theta}\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_0)] ,$$

$$B_0 := E[\nabla_{\theta}\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_0)'\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'\nabla_{\theta}\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_0)] .$$

- ▶ Robust estimation analogous to scalar case.
- ▶ Also robust to cross-equation correlation.

Example: Linear Panel Model

Consider linear panel model with strict exogeneity

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\beta_0 + c_i + u_{it}, \quad E(u_{it} | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i) = 0, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T.$$

First-differencing and stacking:

$$\Delta \mathbf{y}_i = \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \beta_0 + \Delta \mathbf{u}_i, \quad ((T - 1) \times 1).$$

Strict exogeneity $\Rightarrow E(\Delta \mathbf{u}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = 0$, so

$$E(\Delta \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \beta_0.$$

\Rightarrow Suggests multivariate NLS!

Example: Linear Panel Model

- Here $\nabla_{\theta} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta) = \Delta \mathbf{X}_i$.

\Rightarrow (semi)robust variance estimator:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{X}'_i \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{\Delta \mathbf{u}}_i \widehat{\Delta \mathbf{u}}'_i \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{X}'_i \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \right)^{-1}.$$

- Just robust estimator of $\text{Avar}(\hat{\beta}_{FD})$!
- Robust to both heteroskedasticity and serial correlation.
- FE estimation similarly embedded. (Check!)

Non-Linear Hypothesis Testing

Non-linear Hypotheses

Want to test $Q (\leq P)$ nonlinear restrictions

$$H_0 : \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (Q \times 1)$$

Ex. 1: $\theta_{o1} = \theta_{o2}^2.$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 - \theta_2^2.$$

Ex. 2: $\theta_{o1}\theta_{o2} = 1.$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1\theta_2 - 1.$$

Wald Statistic

- ▶ Suppose \mathbf{c} continuously differentiable.
- ▶ Let $\nabla \mathbf{c}$ denotes its Jacobian ($Q \times P$)
- ▶ Suppose $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N$ \sqrt{N} -asymptotically normal.
- ▶ Let $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_N$ be consistent for $\text{Avar}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N)$.
- ▶ Wald statistic:

$$W_N := \mathbf{c}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N)' \widehat{[\mathbf{C}_N \text{Avar}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N) \mathbf{C}'_N]}^{-1} \mathbf{c}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_N := \nabla \mathbf{c}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N).$$

Wald Test

$$H_0 : \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (Q \times 1)$$

- ▶ Under $H_0 : W_N \rightarrow_d \chi_Q^2$.
- ▶ Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ denote significance level.
- ▶ Wald test:

Reject $H_0 \Leftrightarrow W_N > (1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of χ_Q^2 .

Discussion

Above testing procedure presumes:

1. \mathbf{c} continuously differentiable.
2. $\nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)$ full rank (Q).

Ex. 1: $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 - \theta_2^2 \Rightarrow \nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = [\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -2\theta_{o2} \end{array}]$.

- ▶ Rank? In this case, always full rank (1) since $\nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Ex. 2: $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1\theta_2 - 1 \Rightarrow \nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = [\begin{array}{c} \theta_{o2} \\ \theta_{o1} \end{array}]$.

- ▶ Rank? Can rank $< Q = 1$ if $\theta_{o1} = \theta_{o2} = 0$.

Why Chi Square?

Q: Where does $W_N \rightarrow_d \chi_Q^2$ under null come from?

Two ingredients

(1) Normal/Chi-Square relation:

- ▶ If $\mathbf{Z} \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{G \times 1}, \mathbf{V})$ then $\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} \sim \chi_G^2$.
- ▶ Multivariate version of $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow (Z/\sigma)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$.

(2) Delta Method...

Delta Method

Suppose interest lies in $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)$, where

- ▶ $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}_{P \times 1}, \mathbf{V})$
- ▶ $\mathbf{c} : \mathbb{R}^P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^Q$, continuously differentiable at $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$.

Then

$$\sqrt{N}[\mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N) - \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}_{Q \times 1}, \mathbf{C} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{C}'), \quad \mathbf{C} := \nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o).$$

Why?

- ▶ Taylor expansion of $\mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N)$
- ▶ Slutsky's theorem.
- ▶ Multivariate CLT.
- ▶ ... and some algebra.
- ▶ Delta method is workhorse for inference in M-estimation.

Our Case

Have assumed

- ▶ $\hat{\theta}_N \sqrt{N}$ -asymptotically normal
- ▶ \mathbf{c} cont diff at θ_o
- ▶ $\mathbf{C} = \nabla \mathbf{c}(\theta_o)$ full rank (Q)

Hence Wald arises from the usual Normal/Chi-Square relation

$$\sqrt{N}[\mathbf{c}(\hat{\theta}_N) - \mathbf{c}(\theta_o)]' (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{C}')^{-1} \sqrt{N}[\mathbf{c}(\hat{\theta}_N) - \mathbf{c}(\theta_o)] \xrightarrow{d} \chi_Q^2.$$

where we estimate $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{C}'$ using $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_N \widehat{\text{Avar}}(\hat{\theta}_N) \widehat{\mathbf{C}}'_N$.