

# Classical Non-Linear Methods: Asymptotic Normality of M-Estimators:

Bertel Schjerning

University of Copenhagen, Department of Economics

January 29, 2026

# Plan for Classical Non-Linear Methods

Lecture 4: M-estimation, Intro, Non-linear LS (W.12)

Lecture 5: Asymptotic properties of M-estimators (W.12)

- ▶ Consistency, Asymptotic Normality

Lecture 6: M-estimator inference, Variance estimation (W.12)

Lecture 7: Maximum likelihood estimation (W.13)

# Outline

Recap: M-Estimation Framework

Asymptotic Properties of M-Estimators

Recap: Consistency  
Normality

## Recap: M-Estimation Framework

## Recap: M-Estimand

Let  $q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  denote loss function, depending on

1. random vector  $\mathbf{w}$  [observables, e.g.  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ ],
2. parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

“True” parameter  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$  assumed solution to population problem

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_o \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} E[q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]. \quad (\text{PP})$$

M is for minimization/maximization.

## Recap: M-Estimator

Given random (as in i.i.d.) sample  $\{\mathbf{w}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ .

Analogy principle suggests solving sample problem

$$\hat{\theta}_N \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N q(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta). \quad (\text{SP})$$

**Definition:** Any SP solution  $(\hat{\theta}_N)$  is an M-estimator of  $\theta_o$ .

# Asymptotic Properties of M-Estimators

# Recap: Setting

M-estimand solves population problem,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_o \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} E [q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]. \quad (\text{PP})$$

M-estimator solves sample problem,

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N q(\mathbf{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (\text{SP})$$

**Q:** Properties of such  $\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ ?

## Recap: Consistency

# M-Estimator Consistency with Compactness

Theorem (W. Theorem 12.2)

If

1.  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$  is the unique solution to  $PP$  (“identification”)
2.  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^P$  compact (i.e.  $\Theta$  closed + bounded),
3.  $q(\mathbf{w}, \cdot)$  continuous (in  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ),

(+ technical conditions), then

1.  $SP$  has a solution (i.e.  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N$  exists), and
2. any selection  $\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  of minimizers is consistent for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$ ,  
 $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \rightarrow_p \boldsymbol{\theta}_o$ .

# M-Estimator Consistency without Compactness

Theorem (Newey and McFadden, 1994)

Let

1.  $Q : \mathbb{R}^P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniquely minimized at  $\theta_o$ ; (ID'n)
2. each (random)  $\{\widehat{Q}_N : \mathbb{R}^P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{N=1}^\infty$  convex; and,
3.  $\widehat{Q}_N(\theta) \rightarrow_p Q(\theta)$  for each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^P$ .

Then

1. a minimizer  $\widehat{\theta}_N$  of  $\widehat{Q}_N$  exists with probability  $\rightarrow 1$ ; and
2. for any selection  $\{\widehat{\theta}_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$  of minimizers,  $\widehat{\theta}_N \rightarrow_p \theta_o$ .

If  $q(\mathbf{w}, \theta)$  convex in  $\theta$ , so is  $N^{-1} \sum_i q(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta) [= \widehat{Q}_N(\theta)]$ .

# Normality

# Additional Assumptions

Have for consistency (as in W. Thm. 12.1) invoked:

- ▶  $\theta_o$  identified
- ▶  $\Theta$  compact
- ▶  $q(\mathbf{w}, \cdot)$  continuous

(+ technical...)

Asymptotic normality requires *stronger* assumptions.

# Additional Assumptions

For **asymptotic normality**, add:

- ▶  $\theta_o$  interior to  $\Theta$ . [Draw]
- ▶  $q(\mathbf{w}, \cdot)$  twice continuously differentiable on  $\text{int } \Theta$

**Remarks:**

- ▶ Interiority requires  $\text{int } \Theta$  non-empty
- ▶ ... used to expand around  $\theta_o$
- ▶ **Twice** cont' diff' facilitates **second**-order expansion.

# Additional Assumptions

Abbreviate

$$\text{Score: } \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (P \times 1)$$

$$\text{Hessian : } \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (P \times P)$$

Further add:

- ▶  $E[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)] = \mathbf{0}$ ,
- ▶  $E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)]$  positive definite.
- ▶ Essentially FOC/SOC for minimization.

# Asymptotic Normality of M-Estimators

Theorem (W. Theorem 12.3)

*Provided*

- ▶  $\theta_o$  unique PP solution + interior to  $\Theta$  compact,
- ▶  $q(\mathbf{w}, \cdot)$  cont's + twice cont'ly differentiable on  $\text{int } \Theta$ ,
- ▶  $E[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)] = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)]$  positive definite,

(+ technical), any selection  $\{\hat{\theta}_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  of minimizers satisfies

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta}_N - \theta_o) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1}),$$

$$\mathbf{A}_o := E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)],$$

$$\mathbf{B}_o := E[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)'].$$

# Mean Value Theorem

- ▶ Normality proof relies on *mean value theorem*.
- ▶ Consider *scalar* case ( $P = 1$ ).

## Mean Value Theorem (MVT):

- ▶ Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous + differentiable on  $(a, b)$ .
- ▶ Then for some  $c \in (a, b)$ ,

$$f(b) - f(a) = f'(c)(b - a).$$

- ▶ Slope of secant attained somewhere in between. [Draw]

# Proof Sketch: Mean Value Theorem

In scalar ( $P = 1$ ) case,

$$s(\mathbf{w}, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} q(\mathbf{w}, \theta), \quad H(\mathbf{w}, \theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \theta} q(\mathbf{w}, \theta).$$

We know that  $\widehat{\theta}_N \in \text{int } \Theta$  wp  $\rightarrow 1$ . (Why?)

So: Twice cont' diff' + MVT with  $f = \text{score average}$  yields

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \widehat{\theta}_N) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_N) (\widehat{\theta}_N - \theta_o).$$

$\widehat{\theta}_N$  solves SP, so LHS vanishes. (FOC.)

## Proof Sketch: Rearrange

Have argued:

$$-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_N) (\hat{\theta}_N - \theta_o).$$

Isolate  $\hat{\theta}_N - \theta_o$  and  $\times \sqrt{N}$ :

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta}_N - \theta_o) = \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) \right] \Bigg/ \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_N) \right].$$

Analyze each RHS factor in turn.

## Proof Sketch: Denominator

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta}_N - \theta_o) = \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) \right] \Bigg/ \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_N) \right].$$

$\bar{\theta}_N$  trapped between  $\hat{\theta}_N$  and  $\theta_o \Rightarrow \bar{\theta}_N \rightarrow_p \theta_o$ .

So  $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_N) \approx N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o)$ . (sketch)

$N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) \rightarrow_p E[H(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)] = A_o > 0$ . (p.d.)

$\Rightarrow 1 \Bigg/ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}) \xrightarrow{P} 1/A_o$ . (CMT/Slutsky)

## Proof Sketch: Numerator

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta}_N - \theta_o) = \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) \right] \Bigg/ \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_N) \right].$$

I.i.d. + mean-zero scores + CLT combine to yield

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, B_o), \quad B_o = E[s(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)^2].$$

# Proof Sketch

Harvesting our results,

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta}_N - \theta_o) &= \underbrace{\left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N s(\mathbf{w}_i, \theta_o) \right]}_{\rightarrow_d N(0, B_o)} \Bigg/ \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N H(\mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\theta}_N) \right]}_{\rightarrow_p A_o} \\ &\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(0, B_o) / A_o \quad (\text{product rule + Slutsky}) \\ &\stackrel{d}{=} N(0, B_o / A_o^2). \quad (\text{linear(normal)=normal})\end{aligned}$$

Proof in vector-case analogous:

1. Linear approximation of score average (MVT)
2. Convergence of inverse Hessian (ULLN+CMT)
3. Convergence of scaled score average (CLT + product rule)

## Discussion

- ▶ Thm. gives conditions for *any* M-estimator to be asymptotically normal.
- ▶ Implies sandwich form

$$\text{Avar}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N.$$

- ▶ Akin to earlier results (with estimators in closed form).
- ▶ Note:  $\text{Avar}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$  depends on  $q$ .
- ▶ Ideally: Choose  $q$  to get small variance.

## Discussion

- ▶  $\mathbf{A}_o = E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)]$  assumed positive definite.
- ▶ Zero on diagonal  $\approx$  infinite variance (through  $\mathbf{A}_o^{-1}$ )
- ▶ Failure of p.d  $\approx$  P minimand flat around  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$
- ▶  $\approx$  Identification failure.

# Role of Interiority

We used  $\theta_o \in \text{int } \Theta$  for differentiation (Where?)

**Q:** What if  $\theta_o$  on boundary of parameter space?

**A:** No reason to expect  $\sqrt{N}$ -asymptotic normality.

## Example: Parameter on Boundary

Let  $y_i \sim \text{i.i.d. } (\theta_o, 1)$  with  $\theta_o$  known  $\geq 0$ .

Nonnegativity enforced

$$\hat{\theta}_N := \max(0, \bar{y}_N) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta \geq 0} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \theta)^2,$$

If  $\theta_o = 0$  (boundary case), then  $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta}_N - 0) \geq 0$ .

$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta}_N - 0)$  does  $\rightarrow_d \dots$  but not to normal.