Math 562 Class Notes

Ben Schneider

Note: Since this class is not following the book, the notes shall follow a daily routine.

Example 1:

$$X \sim Exp(\theta)$$
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}, x > 0$$
$$Y = \ln X$$

Want to find the pdf of Y.

Start by identifying the domain:

$$Dom(Y) = (-\infty, \infty)$$
$$\ln(x) \in (-\infty, \infty), \forall x > 0$$

Then for any $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$:

$$P[Y \le y] = P[\ln(X) \le y]$$
$$= P[X \le e^y]$$

8 January: Syllabus and "Warm-Up" Quiz

10 January

Recall: Cantor's Intersection Property

Given a descending sequence of nonempty sets $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset E_3 \supset \ldots$, when is $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \neq \emptyset$? If $E_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \emptyset$. Note that each E_n is bounded, but not closed. If $E_n = [n, \infty)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \emptyset$. Note that each E_n is closed, but not bounded.

Theorem (Cantor): Let $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset E_3 \supset \dots$ be a descending sequence of nonempty closed and bounded (compact) subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Then $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is also closed and bounded (compact).

Corollary (Cantor's Intersection Theorem)

Define the diameter of a set by $diam(E_n) = \max(E_n) - \min(E_n)$.

Let $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset ...$ be a descending sequence of nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $diam(E_n) \to 0$. Then $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ consists of a single point.

CHAPTER 8: THE INTEGRAL

This part of the course is a combination of sections 8.6 (Riemann Integral) and 8.2 (Cauchy's First Method), plus extra material.

The Darboux Integral

Definition: Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. (The image of f is bounded.) For a nonempty set $S \subset [a,b]$, we denote **the maximum of** f **over** S by M(f,S) and **the minimum of** f **over** S by m(f,S).

$$M(f,S) = \sup\{f(x) : x \in S\}$$

$$m(f,S) = \inf\{f(x) : x \in S\}$$

A **partition** of [a, b] is any finite ordered subset P having the form

$$P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$$

not necessarily having equal width.

The **upper Darboux sum** U(f, P) of f with respect to P is the sum

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M(f, [x_{k-1}, x_k])(x_k - x_{k-1})$$

The **lower Darboux sum** L(f, P) of f with respect to P is the sum

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m(f, [x_{k-1}, x_k])(x_k - x_{k-1})$$

Remarks: (i) If f is continuous on [a, b], then $m(f, [x_{k-1}, x_k]) = \min\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$ and $M(f, [x_{k-1}, x_k]) = \max\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$.

(ii) Note that $U(f,P) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} M(f,[a,b])(x_k - x_{k-1}) = M(f,[a,b])(b-a)$ and $L(f,P) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n} m(f,[a,b])(x_k - x_{k-1}) = m(f,[a,b])(b-a)$.

$$m(f, [a, b])(b - a) \le L(f, P) \le U(f, P) \le M(f, [a, b])(b - a)$$
 (1)

Definition (Not in book): The **upper Darboux integral** U(f) of f over [a, b] is defined by

$$U(f) = \inf\{U(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$$

and the **lower Darboux integral** L(f) of f over [a, b] is defined by

$$L(f) = \sup\{L(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}.$$

12 January: Snow Day

15 January: Martin Luther King, Jr. Holiday

17 January

HW: 8.2: #2, 3, 4, 5, 14, 17a - d

Definition: We say that f is **Darboux integrable on** [a, b] if U(f) = L(f).

Example: [a,b] = [0,1]. $P = \{0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} < \dots < \frac{n-1}{n} < 1\}$. U(f) is always larger than or equal to f, so it is the sum of the infima of our partitioned intervals. Similarly, L(f) is always less than or equal to f, so it is the sum of the suprema of our partitioned intervals.

Example: Consider $f : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R}, b > 0$, defined by $f(x) = x^2$. Let $P = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < ... < x_n = b\}$.

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M(f,[x_{k-1},x_k])(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2(x_k - x_{k-1})$$

Suppose $P = \{0 < \frac{b}{n} < \frac{2b}{n} < \dots < \frac{nb}{n} = b\}$. So $x_k = \frac{kb}{n}$ and $x_k - x_{k-1} = \frac{b}{n}$. Now

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k^2 b^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{b}{n} = \frac{b^3}{n^3} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 = \frac{b^3}{n^3} \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \to \frac{1}{3}b^3$$

So $U(f) \leq \frac{b^3}{3}$. Similarly,

$$L(f,P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k-1}^{2} (x_{k} - x_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(k-1)^{2} b^{2}}{n^{2}} \frac{b}{n} = \frac{b^{3}}{n^{3}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k-1)^{2} = \frac{b^{3}}{n^{3}} \frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} \to \frac{1}{3} b^{3}$$

So $L(f) \ge \frac{b^3}{3}$. Since $\frac{b^3}{3} \le L(f) \le U(f) \le \frac{b^3}{3}$, $f(x) = x^2$ is Darboux integrable and $\int_0^b x^2 dx = \frac{b^3}{3}$.

Example: Let $f:[0,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x)=\begin{cases} 1,x\in\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,b]\\ 0,x\in\mathbb{I}\cap[0,b] \end{cases}$ for any partition

 $P = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}.$

 $U(f,P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1 \cdot (x_k - x_{k-1}) = b$, since $\sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = 1$. Hence U(f) = 1. $L(f,P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 0 \cdot (x_k - x_{k-1}) = 0$, since $\inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = 0$. Hence L(f) = 0. Since $L(f) \neq U(f)$, f is not Darboux integrable.

Lemma 1: Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be bounded. If P and Q are partitions of [a,b] and $P\subset Q$, then

$$L(f, P) \le L(f, Q) \le U(f, Q) \le U(f, P) \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2: If $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is bounded and P and Q are partitions of [a,b], then $L(f,P)\leq U(f,Q)$.

Theorem 1: If $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then $L(f) \le U(f)$.

Proof. Fix a partition P of [a, b].

By Lemma 2, L(f, P) is a lower bound of $\{U(f, Q) : Q \text{ is any partition of } [a, b]\}$.

Thus, $L(f, P) \leq U(f)$.

Similarly, U(f) is an upper bound of $\{L(f,P): P \text{ is any partition of } [a,b]\}.$

Hence, $L(f) \leq U(f)$.