

You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: On a Bottcher functional equation and its application for evaluation of a well-known improper integral

Author: Marek Cezary Zdun

Citation style: Zdun Marek Cezary. (1973). On a Bottcher functional equation and its application for evaluation of a well-known improper integral. "Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach. Prace Matematyczne" (Nr 3 (1973), s. 79-85)



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).







MAREK CEZARY ZDUN

ON A BÖTTCHER FUNCTIONAL EQUATION AND ITS APPLICATION FOR EVALUATION OF A WELL-KNOWN IMPROPER INTEGRAL

§ 1. We consider Böttcher's functional equation in the form

$$\varphi (ax) = \varphi (x)^p,$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is an unknown function, $\varphi: R \to R$, whilst a and p are real positive numbers different from one. Write $r = log_a p$. Equation (1) will have the form

$$\varphi(ax) = \varphi(x)^{ar}.$$

Every solution of equation (1) must fulfil the condition $\varphi(0) = \varphi(0)^p$ (this follows immediately from (1) on setting x = 0). Hence we have that $\varphi(0) = 0$ or $\varphi(0) = 1$ or, for some rational numbers p, $\varphi(0) = -1$ (in the latter case, if the function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies equation (1), then the function $-\varphi(x)$ also satisfies (1) — therefore we may leaveout the case $\varphi(0) = -1$).

Remark 1. Considering equation (1) we may suppose that $a \le 1$ or a > 1 (according to the need).

In fact, if a < 1, then we may write equation (2) in the form $\varphi(x)^{1/a^r} = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{a}x\right)$, thus we receive this equation in the form (2) with the coefficient $\frac{1}{a} > 1$. If a > 1, we proceed similarly.

Remark 2. If a function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies equation (1) and p is irrational, or rational in the form $\frac{m}{l}$, where m and l are relatively prime integers (i.e. (m, l) = 1) and 1 is even, then $\varphi(x) \ge 0$, since otherwise equation (1) would be meaningless. Furthermore, if m is even, then from equation (1) we see that similarly $\varphi(x) \ge 0$.

Further suppose that r > 0.

LEMMA 1. If a function $\varphi(x)$ continuous at zero satisfies equation (1) and fulfils the condition $\varphi(0) = 0$, then $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$.

Proof. We may suppose that a > 1. We write equation (2) in the form

(3)
$$\varphi(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{a}x\right)\varphi\left(\frac{1}{a}x\right)^{ar-1}.$$

The function $\varphi(x)$ is continuous at zero, so there exists a neighbourhood U of zero such that $|\varphi(x)| \le 1$ for every $x \in U$. Since $a^r - 1 > 0$, we have $|\varphi(x)|^{ar-1} \le 1$ for every $x \in U$. Consequently, it follows from equality (3) that $|\varphi(x)| \le \left|\psi\left(\frac{1}{a}x\right)\right|$ for every $x \in U$. Thus by induction we have

 $|\varphi(x)| \leq |\varphi(\frac{1}{a^n}x)|$ for $x \in U$ and n = 1, 2, ... It follows from the continuity of the function $\varphi(x)$ at zero that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(x) = 0$ for $x \in U$, and hence it follows that $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$.

LEMMA 2. If the function $\varphi(x)$ is continuous at zero and satisfies equation (1), and $\varphi(x_0) = 0$ for a certain x_0 , then $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Now we assume that a < 1. It follows by induction from equation (2) that

$$\varphi(a^n x) = \varphi(x)^{anr}.$$

If $\varphi(x_0) = 0$, then $\varphi(a^n x_0) = 0$. From the continuity of the function $\varphi(x)$ at zero we have $\varphi(0) = 0$. Hence and from Lemma 1 we get $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$.

LEMMA 3. If a function $\varphi(x)$ continuous at zero satisfies equation (1) and fulfils the condition $\varphi(0) = 1$, then $\varphi(x) > 0$.

Proof. Suppose that a < 1 and that $p = \frac{m}{l}$, where m and l are odd integers such that (m, l) = 1. It follows from equation (1) by induction that $\varphi(a^n x) = \varphi(x)^{p^n}$. Hence we have that if there existed an x_1 such that $\varphi(x_1) \leq 0$, then $\varphi(a^n x_1) \leq 0$ for all non-negatives integers n. By the continuity of the function $\varphi(x)$ at zero we have $\varphi(0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(a^n x_1) \leq 0$, which is a contradiction with the condition $\varphi(0) = 1$. If p is of the previous form, then by Remark 2 and Lemma 2 we get that $\varphi(x) > 0$.

Let [s] denotes the entire part of the real number s. By K^s we denote the function $\varphi(x)$ defined in the interval $[0, \infty)$ and fulfilling the condition:

$$\varphi(x) = a_o + a_1 x + \ldots + a_{1s1} x^{[s]} + x^s g(x)$$

where g(x) is continuous at zero. (If the number s is the integer then the function $\varphi(x)$ may be considered in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$). We may assume that if [s] = s, then g(0) = 0.

THEOREM 1. The functions $\varphi(x) = \exp cx^r$ are the unique solutions of equation (1) in the class K^r fulfilling the condition $\varphi(0) = 1$.

Proof. Let a function $\varphi \in K^r$ satisfy equation (2) and fulfil the condition $\varphi(0) = 1$. First we show that the function $\varphi(x)$ has the form $\varphi(x) = 1 + x^r g(x)$, where the function g(x) is continuous at zero. It follows from the condition $\varphi(0) = 1$ that $a_0 = 1$. Inserting the function $\varphi(x) = 1 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_{[r]} x^{[r]} + x^r g(x)$ into equation (1) we get the following equation

(5)
$$1 + a_1(ax) + \ldots + a_{[r]}(ax)^{[r]} + a^r x^r g(ax) = (1 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_{[r]} x^{[r]} + x^r g(x)^p.$$

We have the well-known formula

$$(1+y)^p = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{p}{k} y^k$$

for $y \in (-1, 1)$. Let us put in (6) $y = a_1x + \ldots + a_{[r]} x^{[r]} + x^r g(x)$. Hence, for x belonging to a certain neighbourhood of zero, we obtain the relation

(7)
$$1 + a_{1} ax + a_{2} (ax)^{2} + \ldots + a_{[r]} (ax)^{[r]} + (ax)^{r} g (ax) =$$

$$= 1 + pa_{1} x + pa_{2} x^{2} + \ldots + pa_{[r]} x^{[r]} + px^{r} g (x) +$$

$$+ \binom{p}{2} (a_{1} x + a_{2} x^{2} + \ldots + a_{[r]} x^{[r]} + x^{r} g (x))^{2} +$$

$$+ \binom{p}{[r]} (a_{1} x + a_{2} x^{2} + \ldots + x^{r} g (x))^{[r]} + o (x^{[r]})$$

where $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{o(x)}{x} = 0$.

Comparing the coefficients of x we have $a^{j}a_{1}=a_{1}a$ (note that $p=a^{r}$), whence it follows that $a_{1}=0$ (if 1 < r), because $a \ne 1$ and a > 0. Next we put $a_{1}=0$ in equality (7), and comparing the coefficients of x^{2} we get $a^{r}a_{2}=a_{2}a^{2}$, which similarly implies that $a_{2}=0$ (if 2 < r). Proceeding in the same manner with the next coefficients, we get $a_{i}a^{i}=a_{i}a^{r}$ for all integers $i \le [r]$. Hence we have $a_{i}=0$ for i < [r], and $a_{[r]}=0$ whenever $r \ne [r]$. If, however, r=[r], then $\varphi(x)=1+a_{r}x^{r}+x^{r}g(x)$, thus $\varphi(x)=1+x^{r}(a_{r}+g(x))$. Thus we have $\varphi(x)=1+x^{r}g(x)$, where $g(x)=a_{r}+g(x)$ is continuous at zero.

It is known that $\log (x + 1) = x + o(x)$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $\varphi(x) > 0$. Put $\psi(x) = \log \varphi(x)$. Equation (2) may by written in the form

$$\psi(ax) = a^r \psi(x).$$

Moreover, we have $\psi(x) = \log(1 + x^r g(x)) = x^r g(x) + o(x^r g(x))$. (In the sequel we write g(x) instead of g(x)). We have $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{o(x^r g(x))}{x^r} = 0$. Hence

we may write $\psi(x) = x^r g(x) + o(x^r)$. Therefore we have $\lim_{x\to c} \frac{\psi(x)}{x^r} = g(0) = c$. Consequently the function $\Phi(x) = \frac{\psi(x)}{x^r}$ for x > 0 and $\Phi(0) = c$.

= c is continuous at zero. From equation (8) we obtain

$$\Phi (ax) = \Phi (x).$$

The unique solutions of this equation which are continuous at zero are constant functions (cf. [4] theorem 2.2 and comp. the remark at end of § 4 Chapter II, p. 53 in [4]). If $\Phi(0) = c$, then $\widehat{\Phi}(x) \equiv c$, and thus we get $\Phi(x) = \exp(cx^r)$.

Remark 3. If the function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies equation (2) with an integer r, and $\varphi(x)$ has derivatives up to the order r at zero, and fulfils the condition $\varphi(0) = 1$ then $\varphi(x) = exp \ cx^r$.

In fact, it follows by Taylor's formula with Peano's remainder that the function $\varphi(x)$ which has dreivatives up to the order r at zero belongs to the class K^r .

COROLLARY 1. The function $\varphi(x) = exp \ cx$ is the unique function differentiable at zero, satisfying the equation

$$\varphi (2x) = \varphi (x)^2,$$

and fulfilling the conditions $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi'(0) = c$.

It is possible to obtain this Corollary in another way (cf. [6]). Under the assumption that $\varphi \in C^1$ equation (10) was considered in paper [3].

COROLLARY 2. The function $\varphi(x) = \exp cx^2$ is the unique function defined in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, twice differentiable at zero, satisfying the equation

$$\varphi\left(\sqrt{2}\,x\right) = \varphi\left(x\right)^{2}$$

and fulfilling the conditions $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi''(0) = 2c$.

This Corollary follows also immediately from a theorem of E. Vincze (cf. [5], and [4] theorem 12.11).

Now we prove the following

THEOREM 2. If 0 < s < r, then equation (2) has in the class K^s the solution φ depending on an arbitrary function. More precisely, the solution may be expressed by the formula

(12)
$$\varphi(x) = \exp\{x^r \gamma(\log_a x)\},\,$$

where γ is an arbitrary function defined in $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, periodic with period 1 and bounded in R; or $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Suppose that a function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies equation (2) and belongs to the class K^s . If $\varphi \in K^s$, then $\varphi(x)$ is continuous at zero. If $|\varphi(0)| \neq 1$, then $\varphi(0) = 0$ and it follows from Lemma 1 that we have $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$. We shall assume further that $\varphi(0) = 1$. Using the notation from the proof of Theorem 1 we have

$$\psi(x) = x^s g(x) + o(x^s)$$

and $\psi(x)$ satisfies equation (8). Let us write $h(x) = g(x) + \frac{o(x)}{x^{\epsilon}}$ for x > 0

and h(0) = g(0). Then the function $\psi(x)$ has the form $\psi(x) = x^s h(x)$, where the function h(x) is continuous at zero. Let $\Phi(x) = \frac{\Psi(x)}{x^r}$ for x > 0. The function $\Phi(x)$ satisfies equation (9) except at zero. Since x > 0, we may write $x = a^t$ and $\gamma(t) = \Phi(a^t)$. The function $\gamma(t)$ satisfies the equation $\gamma(t+1) = \gamma(t)$. From the relation $\Phi(x) = \frac{\Psi(x)}{x^r}$ we obtain $\psi(x) = x^r \gamma(\log_a x)$, therefore $\varphi(x) = \exp\{x^r \gamma(\log_a x)\}$.

We shall prove the boundedness of the function $\gamma(x)$ in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$. By the continuity of the function $\psi(x)$ at zero there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the function $\psi(x)$ i bounded in the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$. Hence for each $\eta > 0$, $\eta < \varepsilon$, there exists an M such that $|\Phi(x)| < M$ for $x \in [\eta, \varepsilon]$. We may assume that a > 1 and we put $\eta = \frac{\varepsilon}{a}$. If $t \in [\log_a \frac{\varepsilon}{a}]$, $\log_a \varepsilon$, then $|\gamma(t)| = |\Phi(a^t)| < M_{\eta}$, since $a^t \in \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{a}, \varepsilon\right]$. Hence, from the fact that the function $\gamma(t)$ is periodic with period 1 and from the equality $\log_a \varepsilon - \log \frac{\varepsilon}{a} = 1$, we obtain that the function $\gamma(t)$ is bounded in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$.

It is obvious that every function $\varphi(x)$ defined by formula (12), where the function γ is bounded and period uith period 1, satisfies equation (2) and belongs to the class K^s for every s < r.

From Theorem 2 the following corollary results immediately:

COROLLARY 3. If a function $\varphi(x)$ satisfies equation (2) and $\varphi \in K^s$ for a certain s, 0 < s < r, then $\varphi \in K^{s'}$ for each s' such that 0 < s' < r.

Remark 4. If r < 0, then we define the class K^r as follows: $\varphi(x)$ belongs to K^r iff $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ belongs to K^{-r} . It follows from this definition that Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid for r < 0 and s < 0.

§ 2. Now we are going to give an application of Corollary 2 to the evaluation of an improper integral.

We consider the well-known improper integral (t real)

(13)
$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-x^2) \cos tx \, dx.$$

Now we shall show that $f(t) = exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{4}\right)$ using the theory of functional equations.

Note that the function f(a) defined by integral (13) satisfies functional equation (11). In fact, we have by (13)

$$[f(t)]^{2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-x^{2}-y^{2})\cos tx \cos ty \, dxdy =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-x^{2}-y^{2})\cos t (x + y) \, dxdy +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-x^{2}-y^{2})\cos t (x - y) \, dxdy = \frac{1}{2\pi} [I_{1} + I_{2}]$$

Putting in I1

$$x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v, y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v$$

we have (Jacobian = 1, $x^2 + y^2 = u^2 + v^2$)

$$I_1 = \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-u^2 - v^2) \cos 2 tu \, du dv =$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-v^2) \, dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-u^2) \cos 2 tu \, du = \pi f(\sqrt{2} t),$$

since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-x^2) dx = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Analogously we have $I_2 = \pi f(\sqrt{2}t)$. Thus the function f(t) satisfies equation (11) and moreover

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} exp(-x^2) dx = 1.$$

It follows from well-known criteria of the differentiation of improper integrals that the function f(t) is twice differentiable for each t (cf. [1], p. 610, theorem 3) as well as that

$$f''(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \exp(-x^2) \cos tx \, dx,$$

whence

$$f''(0) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \exp(-x^2) dx = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

By Corollary 2 we have $f(t) = exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{4}\right)$

It is also possible to evaluate integral (13) using functional equations in several variables (cf. [2]).

The author thanks Professor M. Kuczma for his sugestions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- G.M. Fichtenholtz, Rachunek różniczkowy i całkowy, t. II (Warszawa 1965).
- H. Haruki, On a well-known improper integral, Amer. Math. Monthly 74
 (1967) p.p. 847—848.
- 3. M. Kuczma, A characterization of the exponential and logarithmic function by functional equations, Fund. Math. 52, 283—288 (1963).
- 4. M. Kuczma, Functional equations in a single variable, (Monografie Mat. 46, Warszawa 1968).
- E. Vincze, Bemerkung zur charakterisierung des Gauss'schen Fehlergesetzes, Mag. Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. 7(1962).
- 6. M. Z dun, On the uniqueness of solutions of the functional equation $\varphi(x + f(x)) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x))$, Aequationes Math. (to appear).

MAREK CEZARY ZDUN

O RÓWNANIU FUNKCYJNYM BÖTTCHERA I JEGO ZASTOSOWANIU DO OBLICZANIA ZNANEJ CAŁKI NIEWŁAŚCIWEJ

Streszczenie

W pierwszej części rozpatruje się równanie funkcyjne (1) w klasie funkcji K^s postaci $\varphi(x) = a_o + a_1 x + \ldots + a_{1s1} x_{1s1} + x^s g(x)$, gdzie g(x) jest pewną funkcją ciągłą w zerze. Oznaczamy $r = log_a p$.

Zostały udowodnione następujące twierdzenia.

TWIERDZENIE 1. Jedynymi rozwiązaniami równania (1) w klasie funkcji K^r spełniającymi warunek φ (0) = 1 są funkcje φ (x) = exp cx^r .

TWIERDZENIE 2. Jeżeli 0 < s < r, to rozwiązanie równania (1) w klasie funkcji K^s zależy od dowolnej funkcji. Wyraża się ono wzorem

$$\varphi(x) = exp\{x^r \gamma(\log_a x)\},\,$$

gdzie γ jest dowolną funkcją okresową o okresie 1 i ograniczoną lub $\varphi(x)=0$.

Okazuje się, że jeżeli funkcja φ spełnia równanie (1) oraz $\varphi \in K^s$ dla pewnego s, 0 < s < r, to $\varphi \in K^{s'}$ takiego, że 0 < s' < r.

W drugiej części pokazane jest zastosowanie równań funkcyjnych o jednej zmiennej do obliczania całek niewłaściwych na przykładzie całki danej wzorem (13).

Okazuje się, że funkcja określona wzorem (13) spełnia równanie funkcyjne (10) oraz należy do klasy K^i . Na podstawie Twierdzenia 1 możemy obliczyć wartość całki (13).

Oddano do Redakcji 12.5.1971.