

The “urban-*adapted*” bird: Does dietary plasticity allow some species of birds to thrive in urban habitats?

1. BACKGROUND: Urbanization has altered habitats, restructured avian communities, and influenced the range sizes and population dynamics of hundreds of bird species [1-3]. While urban environments comprise just 5.6 percent of the total land cover of the United States[4], the shift from rural to urban land use is considered to be the second leading cause of species endangerment and extinction during the twentieth century[5]. As the proportion of developed land is projected to increase by as much as 63 percent in the first half of this century[6], urbanization is expected to become the primary driver of species extinction and thus understanding how organisms respond to urban habitats is of paramount importance[2]. Despite the urgency to minimize the impacts of urbanization, our ability to apply effective conservation strategies is hindered by a limited understanding of the mechanisms by which urban habitats influences bird populations [7].

The interaction between human land use and the life history traits of species determines whether a given species will be a “winner” (urban-adapted species) or “loser” in human-dominated landscapes (urban-avoiding species)[1,8]. It is hypothesized that bird populations and communities in anthropogenic environments are largely structured by the distribution of resources accessible to a given dietary guild[9,10]. Species with specialist dietary requirements, especially obligate insectivores[11], are expected to be sensitive to human-induced habitat modification and may therefore experience high rates of local extinction across the urban habitat matrix[12]. Conversely, species with generalist dietary habitats and those that have the ability to use anthropogenic resources (e.g., granivores using bird feeders[13]) are expected to be positively associated with urban environments[1]. For example, urban landscapes dominated by ornamental and non-native species provide essential resources for frugivorous birds, especially during winter months[14], but are expected to negatively in-

fluence insectivores due to reduced abundances of insects during the growing season[15]. While studies of avian community composition[16] and survival[10] suggest the influence of resources on avian response, the direct connection between avian health and the use of resources across the rural-to-urban gradient has not yet been empirically tested.

Herein, I seek to examine the influence of urban land cover on avian diet and condition across the rural-to-urban gradient at local and regional scales in metropolitan Washington D.C. I expect that the composition and abundance of avian food resources vary temporally and spatially along this gradient with resource subsidization as the primary driver of higher food availability at intermediate degrees of urbanization[17,18]. As such, I expect that the maintainance of populations in urban environments is contingent on the ability to utilize these resources. Specifically, the proposed research will address: 1) Do birds vary their diet across the rural-to-urban gradient? 2) Do birds exhibit increased omnivory with increasing urban land cover? 3) What are the consequences of diet on bird health in urban environments? 4) Do local-scale habitat features moderate the influence of urbanization on avian diet and health?

To address these questions, I will take feather and claw samples from birds across the rural-to-urban gradient and measure the stable isotope ratios of Carbon and Nitrogen to assess avian diet and concentrations of the stress hormone corticosterone (CORT) as an index of the condition of individuals. CORT has been found to be predictive of several biologically important indicators of bird health, with low CORT values associated with breeding success[19], body condition[20], and survival[21]. Analysis of stable isotope ratios of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ has been applied to estimate the trophic position of prey items in feather[22,23] and claw [24] material. Tail feathers are molted immediately following the end of the breeding cycle and thus $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ratios represent a snapshot of an individual's diet during the growth of the feather. While claw material is grown continuously, samples collected at the start of the breeding season are representative of bird diet prior to the breeding period. As feather and claw samples are representative of avian diet at different points in a bird's annual

cycle, assessing isotopic ratios within both claw and feather samples provides a key temporal signature of avian diet [25] and allows researchers to assess the width of a bird’s dietary niche [24,26]. Moreover, the combined analysis of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, and CORT in feather and claw samples allows us to evaluate the influence of urbanization on dietary niche position and width and the relationship between diet and avian health [27].

3. PROPOSED RESEARCH: I propose to assess variation in diet and condition across the rural-to-urban gradient in two species of birds: the Northern Cardinal (NOCA, *Cardinalis cardinalis*) and Carolina Chickadee (CACH, *Poecile carolinensis*). Differences and similarities in the life histories of these species, both of which are common to the rural and suburban habitats of metropolitan Washington, D.C., provide an ideal study system with which to assess the influence of urbanization on avian diet and health. Both species exhibit some degree of omnivory, are non-migratory, and utilize bird feeders in suburban and urban habitats. Key differences between the life history of the species include nesting habits (NOCA are cup nesting and CACH cavity nesting species) and response to urbanization (NOCA are found at more urban sites than CACH).

GENERAL METHODS. *Field sampling:* This study will utilize data collected as a part of the Neighborhood Nestwatch Program (NN), a citizen science project run by the Smithsonian Migratory Bird Center. NN has established a network of over 200 sampling sites within metropolitan Washington D.C., with sampling predominantly located at the homes of project participants. Habitats represented by study sites range from rural open and forested areas, to suburban and urban environments. From the NN network, I will select 50-70 sites, using the proportion of impervious surface to maintain as broad a representation of the rural-to-urban gradient as possible (see local and regional habitat variables, below). Technicians will visit participant properties at the start of avian breeding season and capture birds using target netting. Tail feather and claw samples will be taken from adult male birds ($n = 50$ of each species). All potential dietary sources, including samples available fruits, arthropods, and supplemental food sources, will be collected from sites and their surrounding areas (\leq

100 m) at three periods over the course of a year – during the banding visit, at the end of the breeding season, and during winter months. *Stable Isotopes and CORT*: Samples of potential dietary sources will be dried in ovens prior to analysis. All samples will be washed in a 2:1 chloroform:methanol solution to remove surface oils. For each tissue and prey item, a 0.3 - 0.4 mg sample will be combusted at 1350°C in an elemental analyzer and analyzed in a continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer following the methods of Rushing et al.[29]. Isotopic ratios ^{13}C and ^{15}N will be evaluated relative to the Pee Dee Belemnite and atmospheric nitrogen standards, respectively, and reported per mil using δ notation using the equation: $\delta X = (R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}} - 1) \times 1000\text{‰}$. Accuracy and precision of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is expected to be within $\pm 2.0\text{‰}$ [30]. We will use the Bayesian stable isotope mixing model (SIMM) of Hopkins and Ferguson[31] to evaluate the proportional composition of dietary sources for each individual. To examine the adult condition of birds, we will measure CORT in feather and claw samples using a methanol based extraction, modified from Bortolotti et al.[32], and a commercial ELISA kit (Corticosterone ELISA kit; Neogen Corporation, Ayr, UK) following Carbajal et al.[33]. *Local and regional habitat variables*: I will assess the variation in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, and CORT as a function of local and regional scale habitat features. Regional habitat features include the proportion of impervious surface and canopy cover within 500 and 1000 m of a given site to approximate the position of the site along the rural-to-urban gradient. These proxy variable and scales of analysis have been shown to be predictive of a demographic response in birds to urban land cover[10,34]. Local habitat features, sampled at NN sites between 2010 and 2015, include shrub cover, canopy height and proportional cover, and ground cover. Additional local-scale habitat features will be derived from participant surveys and include supplemental feeding habits (i.e., presence and maintenance of bird feeders) and the presence or absence of outdoor cats and dogs. It is expected that some local habitat features (e.g., shrub cover) buffer the response of birds to urbanization while others (e.g., free-roaming cats) negatively influence avian health in urban habitats [35]. *Analysis*: I will use Structural Equation Modeling (SEM[36]) to examine

hypothesized relationships between habitat features, bird health, and dietary habits (stable isotopes, below). SEM is a multivariate technique that allows us to simultaneously analyze the complex, and often correlated, inter-relationships among measured (e.g., CORT and land-cover) and latent variables (i.e., those estimated from measured values such as niche width). I will use a Bayesian framework to develop and test *a priori* SEM in JAGS, implemented in Program R[37]. I will evaluate the influence of structural linkages between local and regional scale environmental attributes, CORT, and dietary traits using Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling and use Bayesian model selection to compare *a priori* models[38].

PREDICTIONS. We hypothesize that **the condition of birds is influenced by variation in the composition and breadth of the dietary habits of conspecific birds across the rural-to-urban gradient.** Prediction 1.1: *The ratios of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in feathers will reflect dietary shifts from insect to plant-based and anthropogenic food sources.* SIMMs of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in feather, claw, and potential food items provide an estimate of the proportional composition of plant-based foods and insects in the diet. We expect that, with increasing urbanization the ratio of insects to plant-based foods, especially anthropogenic food sources, will decline. Furthermore, we expect that NOCA will exhibit higher dietary plasticity than CACH due to differential rates of decline abundance between these species across the rural-to-urban gradient in Washington D.C.[28]. Prediction 1.2: *The dietary niche width among conspecifics will increase with increasing urban land cover.* Combined claw and tissue samples provide a measure of individual variance in dietary habits. Species with higher degrees of dietary specialization are expected to exhibit low variance in δ -values[39]. We will use dietary estimates obtained from SIMMs to evaluate dietary diversity using the Shannon-Weiner as a metric of niche width[26]. As above, we expect that NOCA exhibits a wider niche breadth relative to CACH. Prediction 1.3: *Avian condition decreases with increasing omnivory for NOCA but not CACH.* Survival of NOCA was shown to increase across the rural-to-urban gradient in Washington, D.C. while urban land cover had no measurable effect on CACH survival [10]. Using CORT as a proxy of avian condition, we expect that

urban environments are beneficial for species exhibiting high dietary plasticity (NOCA) but not those exhibiting low plasticity (e.g., CACH). Additionally, we expect that CORT concentrations will be especially responsive to local rather than regional habitat variables. For example, preliminary analysis of CORT in CACH feathers at NN sites ($n = 22$) revealed elevated CORT concentrations in sites dominated by non-native plants ($\beta = -3.2 \pm 1.4$, $p\text{-value} = 0.04$) but no response to the degree of urbanization at a given site (proportion of impervious surface within 500 m, $\beta = 0.2 \pm 0.3$, $p\text{-value} = 0.47$).

4. RELEVANCE OF THE PROPOSED RESEARCH: My previous research has addressed the influence of urbanization on avian dispersal [28], community composition [28], and survival [34,10] in metropolitan Washington, D.C. Despite emergent patterns that have clearly demonstrated by these studies, the influence of urban habitat on these biological processes, the mechanisms driving these patterns remain largely unknown. By addressing the distribution and influence of resources in avian diet, the proposed research project builds upon my previous work by addressing the mechanism by which urbanization is expected to have the greatest impact on bird populations. This study will greatly expand our understanding of how urban environments shape wildlife populations and communities.

5. ROLE OF THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION: The Smithsonian Migratory Bird Center's NN project design provides unprecedented access to suburban and urban matrix and therefore unmatched in its ability to assess the impacts of urbanization on wildlife. Dr. Peter Marra, who developed NN, will serve as my principle advisor for this work. The ability to interact on a regular basis with Dr. Marra, an expert in using stable isotope analysis, urban ecology and migratory birds, will be invaluable to completing this study and preparing manuscripts. In addition, I will benefit from interacting regularly with Dr. Brandt Ryder and Dr. Scott Sillett. Furthermore, the facilities available, specifically the mass-spectrometry lab in Suitland, are integral to completing the research outlined in this proposal.

WORKS CITED

1. McKinney, M.L. and J.L. Lockwood. 1999. Biotic homogenization: a few winners replacing many losers in the next mass extinction. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14: 450-453.
2. Marzluff, J.M., R. Bowman and R.E. Donnelly. 2001. *Avian Ecology and Conservation in an Urbanizing World*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA.
3. Chace, J.F. and J.J. Walsh. 2006. Urban effects on native avifauna: a review. *Landscape and urban planning* 74: 46-69.
4. U.S. Census Bureau. 2012. *Statistical abstracts of the United States*. GPO, Washington, D.C., USA.
5. Czech, B., and P. R. Krausman. 1997. Distribution and causation of species endangerment in the United States. *Science* 277:1116-1117.
6. Lawler, J.J., D. J. Lewis, E. Nelson, A.J. Plantinga, S. Polasky, J.C. Withey, D.P. Helmers, S. Martinuzzi, D. Pennington, and V.C. Radeloff. 2014. Projected land-use change impacts on ecosystem services in the United States *PNAS* 111: 7492-7497.
7. Shochat, E. P.S. Warren, S.H. Faeth, N.E. McIntyre and D. Hope. 2006. From patterns to emerging processes in mechanistic urban ecology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 21: 2-7.
8. Blair RB. 2001. Birds and butterflies along urban gradients in two ecoregions of the U.S. Pages. 3356. in Lockwood JL, McKinney ML, eds. *Biotic Homogenization*. Norwell (MA): Kluwer.
9. Shochat, E., S. Lerman, and E. Fernandez-Juricic. 2010. Birds in urban ecosystems: Population dynamics, community structure, biodiversity, and conservation. *Urban Ecosystem Ecology*: 7586.
10. Evans, B.S., T.B. Ryder, Reitsma, R., Hurlbert, A.H., and P.P. Marra. 2014. Characterizing avian survival along a rural-to-urban land use gradient. *Ecology* 96: 1631-1640.
11. Lancaster R.K. and W.E. Rees. 1979. Bird communities and the structure of urban habitats. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 57: 2358-2368.
12. Devictor, V., R. Julliard, D. Couvet, A. Lee, and F. Jiguet. 2007. Functional homogenization effect of urbanization on bird communities. *Conservation Biology* 21: 741-751.
13. Robb, G. N., R.A. McDonald, D.E. Chamberlain, and S. Bearhop. 2008. Food for thought: supplementary feeding as a driver of ecological change in avian populations. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 6: 476-484.

14. McCusker, C.E., M.P. Ward, and J.D. Brawn. 2010. Seasonal responses of avian communities to invasive bush honeysuckles (*Lonicera* spp.). *Biological Invasions* 12: 2459-2470.
15. Tallamy, D. W. 2004. Do alien plants reduce insect biomass? *Conservation Biology* 18: 1689-1692.
16. Blair, R.B. and E.M. Johnson. 2008. Suburban habitats and their role for birds in the urbanrural habitat network: points of local invasion and extinction? *Landscape Ecology* 23: 1157-1169.
17. Shochat, E., 2004. Credit or debit? Resource input changes population dynamics of city slicker birds. *Oikos* 106: 622-626.
18. Raupp, M.J., P.M. Shrewsbury, and D.A. Herms. Ecology of Herbivorous Arthropods in Urban Landscapes. 2010. *Annual Review of Entomology* 55: 19-38.
19. Crossin, G.T., R.A. Phillips, C.R. Lattin, L.M. Romero, and T.D. Williams. 2013. Corticosterone mediated costs link current to future breeding. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 193: 112-120.
20. Harms, N.J., G.D. Fairhurst, G.R. Bortolotti, J.E.G. Smits. 2010. Variation in immune function, body condition, and feather corticosterone in nestling Tree Swallows (*Tachycineta bicolor*) on reclaimed wetlands in the Athabasca oil sands, Alberta, Canada. *Environmental Pollution* 158: 841-848.
21. Koren, L., S. Nakagawa, T. Burke, K.K. Soma, K.E. Wynne-Edwards, and E. Geffen. 2012. Feather concentrations of testosterone, corticosterone, and cortisol are associated with over-winter survival in wild House Sparrows. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 279: 1560-1566.
22. Pearson, S., D. Levey, D., and C. Greenberg. 2003. Effects of elemental composition on the incorporation of dietary nitrogen and carbon isotopic signatures in an omnivorous songbird. *Oecologia* 135: 516-523.
23. Hobson, K.A. 1999. Stable-carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios of songbird feathers grown in two terrestrial biomes: implications for evaluating trophic relationships and breeding origins. *Condor* 101: 799-805.
24. Bearhop, S., R.W. Furness, G.M. Hilton, S.C. Votier, and S. Waldron. 2003. A forensic approach to understanding diet and habitat use from stable isotope analysis of (avian) claw material. *Functional Ecology* 17: 270-275.
25. del Rio, C.M., P. Sabat, R. Anderson-Sprecher, and S.P. Gonzalez. 2009. Dietary and isotopic specialization: the isotopic niche of three *Cinclodes* ovenbirds. *Oecologia* 161:

149-159.

26. Newsome, S.D, C. del Rio, S. Bearhop, and D.L. Phillips. 2007. A niche for isotopic ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 5: 429-436.
27. Fairhurst, G.D., A.L. Bond, K.A. Hobson, and R.A. Ronconi. 2015. Feather-based measures of stable isotopes and corticosterone reveal a relationship between trophic position and physiology in a pelagic seabird over a 153-year period. *Ibis* 157: 273-28.
28. Evans, B.S., A.H. Hurlbert, and P.P. Marra. 2015b. Environmental filtering of avian communities along a rural-to-urban gradient in greater Washington, D.C. in *The ecology of birds in the urban landscape: avian community composition, dispersal, and survival across the rural-to-urban gradient in metropolitan Washington DC* (doctoral dissertation). pp. 3-38.
29. Rushing, C.S., T.B. Ryder, J.F. Saracco, and P.P. Marra. 2014. Assessing migratory connectivity for a long-distance migratory bird using multiple intrinsic markers. *Ecological Applications* 24: 445-456.
30. Bearhop, S., S. Waldron, S.C. Votier, and R.W. Furness. 2002. Factors that influence assimilation rates and fractionation of nitrogen and carbon stable isotopes in avian blood and feathers. *Physiological and biochemical zoology* 75: 451-458.
31. Hopkins, J.B. and J.M. Ferguson. 2012. Estimating the diets of animals using stable isotopes and a comprehensive Bayesian mixing model. *PloS one* 7: e28478.
32. Bortolotti, G. R., Marchant, T. A., Blas, J., German, T. (2008). Corticosterone in feathers is a long-term, integrated measure of avian stress physiology. *Functional Ecology*, 22(3), 494-500.
33. Carbajal, A., Tallo-Parra, O., Sabes-Alsina, M., Mular, I., and Lopez-Bejar, M. 2014. Feather corticosterone evaluated by ELISA in broilers: A potential tool to evaluate broiler welfare. *Poultry science* 93: 2884-2886.
34. Ryder, T.B., R. Reitsma, B. Evans, and P.P. Marra. 2010. Quantifying avian nest success along an urbanization gradient using citizen and scientist generated data. *Ecological Applications* 20:419-426.
35. Belaire, J.A., C.J. Whelan, and E.S. Minor. 2014. Having our yards and sharing them too: The collective effects of yards on native bird species in an urban landscape. *Ecological Applications* 24: 2132-2143.
36. McCune, B. and J.B. Grace. 2002. *Analysis of Ecological Communities*. MjM Software, Gleneden Beach, Oregon, USA. pp. 233-256.

37. Plummer, M. 2015. rjags: Bayesian Graphical Models using MCMC. R package version 4-4. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rjags>
38. Raftery, A.E. 1993. Bayesian model selection in structural equation models. Sage Focus Editions 154: 163-163.
39. Bearhop, S., C.E. Adams, S. Waldron, R.A. Fuller, and H MacLeod. 2004. Determining trophic niche width: a novel approach using stable isotope analysis. Journal of Animal Ecology 73: 1007-1012.