

Clark Methods of Phasing

Sorin Istrail

September 18, 2014

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Developed in 1990 by Andy Clark

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Developed in 1990 by Andy Clark
- The phasing problem now is related to PCR ambiguity in diploid individuals

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Developed in 1990 by Andy Clark
- The phasing problem now is related to PCR ambiguity in diploid individuals
- For example, suppose that the two chromosomes are:

— — — — —	*	— — — — —	*	— — — — —	mother
— — — — —	*	— — — — —	*	— — — — —	father
	A_0/A_1		B_0/B_1		

- How can we resolve this ambiguity?

The Clark Method of Phasing

- If there are k ambiguous sites, there are an exponential (in k) number of possible explanations of the ambiguity

The Clark Method of Phasing

- If there are k ambiguous sites, there are an exponential (in k) number of possible explanations of the ambiguity
- Assume that all sites have *at most* two alleles (the infinite sites model)

The Clark Method of Phasing

- If there are k ambiguous sites, there are an exponential (in k) number of possible explanations of the ambiguity
- Assume that all sites have *at most* two alleles (the infinite sites model)
- Denote the two alleles present by 0 and 1.

Haplotypes : 1) 001010
 2) 011000
 → Genotype : $0(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix})10(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix})0$

The Clark Method of Phasing

- If there are k ambiguous sites, there are an exponential (in k) number of possible explanations of the ambiguity
- Assume that all sites have *at most* two alleles (the infinite sites model)
- Denote the two alleles present by 0 and 1.

Haplotypes : 1) 001010
2) 011000 \longrightarrow Genotype : $0(\frac{0}{1})10(\frac{0}{1})0$

- If we were given just the genotype, then there are two possible explanations ($k = 2$)

001000 001010
011010 011000

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Notation: A genotype will be a sequence of 0, 1, and 2's [ex. 012120]

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Notation: A genotype will be a sequence of 0, 1, and 2's [ex. 012120]
- '2' denotes ambiguous site

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Notation: A genotype will be a sequence of 0, 1, and 2's [ex. 012120]
- '2' denotes ambiguous site
- haplotype will be a sequences of 0 and 1's [ex. 0101010]

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Notation: A genotype will be a sequence of 0, 1, and 2's [ex. 012120]
- '2' denotes ambiguous site
- haplotype will be a sequences of 0 and 1's [ex. 0101010]
- An explanation of a genotype will be a *pair* of haplotypes

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Haplotypes :} & \begin{array}{l} 1) \quad 001010 \\ 2) \quad 011000 \end{array} & \longrightarrow \text{Genotype : } \begin{array}{l} 0(\frac{0}{1})10(\frac{0}{1})0 \\ \quad \quad \quad 0(2)10(2)0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

- Two easy cases:
 - Homozygous: genotype with only 0's and 1's. The mother and the father chromosome have the same composition.
 - Single heterozygote: genotype with a single '2'. The explanation will still be unique.

- Two easy cases:
 - Homozygous: genotype with only 0's and 1's. The mother and the father chromosome have the same composition.
 - Single heterozygote: genotype with a single '2'. The explanation will still be unique.
- Multiple heterozygote case: More than single '2's in the genotype [ex. 01212]

- Two easy cases:
 - Homozygous: genotype with only 0's and 1's. The mother and the father chromosome have the same composition.
 - Single heterozygote: genotype with a single '2'. The explanation will still be unique.
- Multiple heterozygote case: More than single '2's in the genotype [ex. 01212]
- Number of explanations for a genotype with k ambiguous sites is 2^{k-1} [in this case 01010, 01011, 01110, 01111]

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Suppose that we are given 5 sites on 5 individuals

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Suppose that we are given 5 sites on 5 individuals
- Start with the easy cases, the homozygotes and single heterozygotes

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Suppose that we are given 5 sites on 5 individuals
- Start with the easy cases, the homozygotes and single heterozygotes

Example. Individuals: 01202, 20000, 12121, 00100, and 01000

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Suppose that we are given 5 sites on 5 individuals
- Start with the easy cases, the homozygotes and single heterozygotes

Example. Individuals: 01202, 20000, 12121, 00100, and 01000

- Starting with the 'easy' cases, we are able to resolve 3 of the 5 individuals:

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Suppose that we are given 5 sites on 5 individuals
- Start with the easy cases, the homozygotes and single heterozygotes

Example. Individuals: 01202, 20000, 12121, 00100, and 01000

- Starting with the 'easy' cases, we are able to resolve 3 of the 5 individuals:

<i>Individual</i>	<i>Inferred Mate – Pair</i>
20000 :	10000 – 00000
00100 :	00100 – 00100
01000 :	01000 – 01000

The Clark Method of Phasing

- For the unresolved chromosomes (01202, 12121), we have two possible explanations for each:

<i>Individual</i>	<i>Explanation 1</i>	<i>Explanation 2</i>
01202 :	01101	01001
	01000	01100
12121 :	10101	11101
	11111	10111

The Clark Method of Phasing

Now, if we look at the possible explanations together, we see that there is overlap between the inferred mate-pairs from the simple cases and the possible explanations for the ambiguous cases.

<i>Individual</i>	<i>Inferred Mate – Pair</i>
20000 :	10000 – 00000
00100 :	00100 – 00100
01000 :	01000 – 01000

<i>Individual</i>	<i>Explanation 1</i>	<i>Explanation 2</i>
01202 :	01101	01001
	01000	01100
12121 :	10101	11101
	11111	10111

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Notation: $G = H \oplus H'$ denotes that haplotype pair (H, H') is an explanation for G
- Notation: ' $H \xrightarrow{C} G$ ' denotes G can be resolved using $H \in C$, i.e., there exists H' s.t. $G = H \oplus H'$, and we call H' the *inferred haplotype*
- Example: For $G=02112$:

Possible Mate – Pairs

$G :$ $00110 \oplus 01111$
 $01110 \oplus 00111$

The Clark Method of Phasing

Clark Algorithm

- 1 Find all homozygotes and single heterozygotes and make a list of all of the haplotypes involved in the unique explanations.
- 2 While there are remaining genotypes that are unresolved, attempt to find a haplotype from the list that helps resolve some unresolved genotype. If such a haplotype exists, add the corresponding mate-pair to the list of haplotypes and label the genotype as resolved.

The Clark Method of Phasing

Clark Algorithm

- 1 Find all homozygotes and single heterozygotes and make a list of all of the haplotypes involved in the unique explanations.
- 2 While there are remaining genotypes that are unresolved, attempt to find a haplotype from the list that helps resolve some unresolved genotype. If such a haplotype exists, add the corresponding mate-pair to the list of haplotypes and label the genotype as resolved.

Example: If the genotype is 12121, then 10101 is the *mate-pair* of 11111 in the explanation of genotype 12121

- If we are able to find a haplotype in the list and thus explain the ambiguous haplotype, we have inferred a uniquely inferred additional haplotype, that of the mate-pair.

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Drawbacks to the Clark Methods:

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Drawbacks to the Clark Methods:
 - Possible that a genotype can be resolved in multiple ways from the list, thus yielding multiple explanations. Which one do you choose? (Associated problem: anomalous genotypes i.e., explanations that are not correct)

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Drawbacks to the Clark Methods:
 - Possible that a genotype can be resolved in multiple ways from the list, thus yielding multiple explanations. Which one do you choose? (Associated problem: anomalous genotypes i.e., explanations that are not correct)
 - Possible that unresolved genotypes are all incompatible with haplotypes in list. Then the algorithm will stop and leave behind “orphan”, or unresolved genotypes

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Drawbacks to the Clark Methods:
 - Possible that a genotype can be resolved in multiple ways from the list, thus yielding multiple explanations. Which one do you choose? (Associated problem: anomalous genotypes i.e., explanations that are not correct)
 - Possible that unresolved genotypes are all incompatible with haplotypes in list. Then the algorithm will stop and leave behind “orphan”, or unresolved genotypes
 - If there are no homozygotes or single heterozygotes, then the algorithm cannot start.

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Drawbacks to the Clark Methods:
 - Possible that a genotype can be resolved in multiple ways from the list, thus yielding multiple explanations. Which one do you choose? (Associated problem: anomalous genotypes i.e., explanations that are not correct)
 - Possible that unresolved genotypes are all incompatible with haplotypes in list. Then the algorithm will stop and leave behind “orphan”, or unresolved genotypes
 - If there are no homozygotes or single heterozygotes, then the algorithm cannot start.
 - The order in which you resolve the haplotypes matters. A different ordering may produce a different haplotype list.

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Drawbacks to the Clark Methods:
 - Possible that a genotype can be resolved in multiple ways from the list, thus yielding multiple explanations. Which one do you choose? (Associated problem: anomalous genotypes i.e., explanations that are not correct)
 - Possible that unresolved genotypes are all incompatible with haplotypes in list. Then the algorithm will stop and leave behind “orphan”, or unresolved genotypes
 - If there are no homozygotes or single heterozygotes, then the algorithm cannot start.
 - The order in which you resolve the haplotypes matters. A different ordering may produce a different haplotype list.
- What is the probability of the algorithm stopping prematurely or not being able to start?

The Clark Method of Phasing

- How can we estimate the number of 2's?
- Population model: Infinite Sites Model (at most *one* mutation can happen at any site on the chromosome)

The Clark Method of Phasing

- How can we estimate the number of 2's?
- Population model: Infinite Sites Model (at most *one* mutation can happen at any site on the chromosome)
- Neutral Model of Evolution [

The Clark Method of Phasing

- How can we estimate the number of 2's?
- Population model: Infinite Sites Model (at most *one* mutation can happen at any site on the chromosome)
- Neutral Model of Evolution [
- expected number of mismatches of a DNA sequence:

$$\Theta = L\Theta_{nt}$$

where

- L = length of the sequence
- $\Theta_{nt} = 4N\mu$
- N = the effective population size
- μ is the mutation rate per nucleotide per generation

The Clark Method of Phasing

- For example, in *Drosophila*, $\Theta = .005L$.

$$Pr(2 \text{ sequences have } m \text{ mismatches}) = \frac{1}{\theta + 1} \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta + 1} \right)^m$$

- In the infinite sites model,

$$Prob(2 \text{ genes identical}) = \frac{1}{1 + \theta} (m = 0)$$

- Probability of two different genes is

$$1 - Prob(2 \text{ genes identical}) = \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta}$$

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Now, if we have N diploid individuals,
 $\Pr(\text{No homozygotes})$ [i.e., $\Pr(\text{algorithm won't start})$]
is obtained using *Ewing's Sampling Lemma*

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Now, if we have N diploid individuals,

$\Pr(\text{No homozygotes})$ [i.e., $\Pr(\text{algorithm won't start})$]
is obtained using *Ewing's Sampling Lemma*

$$\Pr(\text{No Homozygotes}) = \frac{\theta^3 + 4\theta^2 + 2\theta}{(1 + \theta)(2 + \theta)(3 + \theta)}$$

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Now, if we have N diploid individuals,

$\Pr(\text{No homozygotes})$ [i.e., $\Pr(\text{algorithm won't start})$]
is obtained using *Ewing's Sampling Lemma*

$$\Pr(\text{No Homozygotes}) = \frac{\theta^3 + 4\theta^2 + 2\theta}{(1 + \theta)(2 + \theta)(3 + \theta)}$$

$$\Pr(\text{Single site Heterozygote}) = \frac{\theta}{(1 + \theta)^2}$$

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Now, if we have N diploid individuals,

$\Pr(\text{No homozygotes})$ [i.e., $\Pr(\text{algorithm won't start})$]
is obtained using *Ewing's Sampling Lemma*

$$\Pr(\text{No Homozygotes}) = \frac{\theta^3 + 4\theta^2 + 2\theta}{(1 + \theta)(2 + \theta)(3 + \theta)}$$

$$\Pr(\text{Single site Heterozygote}) = \frac{\theta}{(1 + \theta)^2}$$

$$\Pr(\text{Algorithm won't start}) = \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + \theta} - \frac{\theta}{(1 + \theta)^2} \right]^N$$

The Clark Method of Phasing

- Now, if we have N diploid individuals,

$\Pr(\text{No homozygotes})$ [i.e., $\Pr(\text{algorithm won't start})$]
is obtained using *Ewing's Sampling Lemma*

$$\Pr(\text{No Homozygotes}) = \frac{\theta^3 + 4\theta^2 + 2\theta}{(1 + \theta)(2 + \theta)(3 + \theta)}$$

$$\Pr(\text{Single site Heterozygote}) = \frac{\theta}{(1 + \theta)^2}$$

$$\Pr(\text{Algorithm won't start}) = \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + \theta} - \frac{\theta}{(1 + \theta)^2} \right]^N$$

Thus, if $\Theta > 0.5$, Clark's algorithm will work well.

The Clark Method of Phasing

- How can we deal with the 'orphan' genotypes? What is the probability of not finishing?
- The answer depends on the algorithm implementation and the order in which you resolve the haplotypes.

The Clark Method of Phasing

- How can we deal with the 'orphan' genotypes? What is the probability of not finishing?
- The answer depends on the algorithm implementation and the order in which you resolve the haplotypes.
- **Maximum Resolution Problem:**
 - Input:** A set of vectors, ambiguous (0,1,2) and resolved (0,1) [genotypes]
 - Output:** Maximum number of ambiguous vectors that can be resolved by successive applications of Clark's Rule.
- Equivalent to minimize the number of orphan genotypes

The Clark Method of Phasing

Theorem

The Maximum Resolution Problem is NP-complete.

The Clark Method of Phasing

Theorem

The Maximum Resolution Problem is NP-complete.

The proof for this theorem is based on a reduction to the satisfiability problem (SAT).

The Clark Method of Phasing

Proof.

- Let X_1, X_2, X_3 , and X_4 be variables, and let $(x_1, \bar{x}_1, x_2, \bar{x}_2, x_3, \bar{x}_3, x_4, \bar{x}_4)$ be literals. The satisfiability problem is
 - **Input:** Boolean function F
 - **Output:** Is there a truth assignment that makes F true? If so, find such an assignment.

The Clark Method of Phasing

Proof.

- Let X_1, X_2, X_3 , and X_4 be variables, and let $(x_1, \bar{x}_1, x_2, \bar{x}_2, x_3, \bar{x}_3, x_4, \bar{x}_4)$ be literals. The satisfiability problem is
 - **Input:** Boolean function F
 - **Output:** Is there a truth assignment that makes F true? If so, find such an assignment.
- We are going to attempt to create a 1-1 correspondence between the boolean logic and haplotypes using the above function F .

The Clark Method of Phasing

- To the function F , we associate a set of genotypes (corresponding to columns in the matrix). The number of rows in the matrix will be $\# \text{ variables} + 2 \times \# \text{ variables} + \# \text{ clauses} + 1 = 3V + C + 1$.

The Clark Method of Phasing

- To the function F , we associate a set of genotypes (corresponding to columns in the matrix). The number of rows in the matrix will be $\# \text{ variables} + 2 \times \# \text{variables} + \# \text{clauses} + 1 = 3V + C + 1$.
- We fill in rows C_1, C_2, C_3 in the following manner: if X_i is absent from a clause, put '1' in both T_i and F_i . If X_i is present in a clause, place '1' in T_i and '0' in F_i . If $\overline{X_i}$ is present in a clause, then place a '0' in T_i and a '1' in F_i .

The Clark Method of Phasing

- To the function F , we associate a set of genotypes (corresponding to columns in the matrix). The number of rows in the matrix will be $\# \text{ variables} + 2 \times \# \text{variables} + \# \text{clauses} + 1 = 3V + C + 1$.
- We fill in rows C_1, C_2, C_3 in the following manner: if X_i is absent from a clause, put '1' in both T_i and F_i . If X_i is present in a clause, place '1' in T_i and '0' in F_i . If $\overline{X_i}$ is present in a clause, then place a '0' in T_i and a '1' in F_i .
- Fill in columns $S_1 \rightarrow S_4$ by:

$$\begin{cases} \text{'0'} & \text{if } 00 \\ \text{'1'} & \text{if } 11 \\ \text{'2'} & \text{if } 01 \text{ or } 10 \end{cases}$$

The Clark Method of Phasing

- To fill in the $C_1 \rightarrow C_3$ columns, we look at our function F .

The Clark Method of Phasing

- To fill in the $C_1 \rightarrow C_3$ columns, we look at our function F .
- We see that x_1 appears in both clause 2 and clause 3. So we place a '2' in both C_2 and C_3 .

The Clark Method of Phasing

- To fill in the $C_1 \rightarrow C_3$ columns, we look at our function F .
- We see that x_1 appears in both clause 2 and clause 3. So we place a '2' in both C_2 and C_3 .
- For the rows containing the literals $(x_1\bar{x}_1, \text{ etc.})$ x_1 appears in C_2 but no other clause.

The Clark Method of Phasing

- To fill in the $C_1 \rightarrow C_3$ columns, we look at our function F .
- We see that x_1 appears in both clause 2 and clause 3. So we place a '2' in both C_2 and C_3 .
- For the rows containing the literals $(x_1 \bar{x}_1, \text{etc.})$ x_1 appears in C_2 but no other clause.
- Place a '2' in C_2 . \bar{x}_1 appears in C_3 , but no other clause. Place a '2' in C_3 . etc. (Note: the rows x_1 and \bar{x}_1 should sum to the X_1 row in the C columns.)

The Clark Method of Phasing

How do we fill in the bottom right corner of the table?

- We have a clause set $C_1 \dots C_c$, one for each clause. All will be ambiguous vectors
- For each $K=1,2,\dots,c$ the first v positions of the vector C_k are zero except for position i such that either x_i or \bar{x}_i appears in C_k . We are blind to the actual truth value
- For the next $2v$ positions [the literals], place a zero except for any position $v+2i-1$ where x_i appears in clause C_k or position $v+2i$ where \bar{x}_i appears in clause C_k . These positions are set to 2
- For each r from 1 to c , position $3v+r$ of $C_k = 0$ if and only if $r=k$ [the diagonal].
- For $r \neq k$ position $3v+r = 2$ if and only if clause k and r contain a variable in common [not necessarily a literal in common].
- Otherwise, position $3v+r = 1$. [This assignment captures the ambiguity related to the literals that are contained in multiple clauses. You may have both x_i and \bar{x}_i present in F]

The Clark Method of Phasing

We reduce SAT to MR. Start with a generic boolean formula F with C clauses and V variables $X_1 \dots X_V$. F takes a set of vectors $V(F)$ that are ambiguous and resolved as input to the MR problem [the columns of our table drawn above]. We want to show that F has a satisfying truth assignment *iff* $V(F)$ has the maximum number of ambiguous vectors explained by a series of Clark Rules. Recall that $F = (x_2 \vee x_3 \vee \bar{x}_4) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_4) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{x}_3)$.

The Clark Method of Phasing

How can we interpret resolution by the Clark Method?

- Suppose that you pick column T_1 to resolve one of the other columns; this is interpreted as setting the literal X_1 to true.

The Clark Method of Phasing

How can we interpret resolution by the Clark Method?

- Suppose that you pick column T_1 to resolve one of the other columns; this is interpreted as setting the literal X_1 to true.
- Suppose that we try to resolve column [haplotype] S_1 . S_1 can be resolved by using either the T_1 or F_1 columns. By picking one of the columns, we are fixing X_1 as true or false.
- Using the haplotypes obtained in the resolution of the S columns along with the T and F columns, can we resolve the columns $C_1 \rightarrow C_3$? (NO)

The Clark Method of Phasing

	T_1	F_1	T_2	F_2	T_3	F_3	T_4	F_4	S_1	S_2	S_3	S_4	C_1	C_2	C_3
X_1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2
X_2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
X_3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	2
X_4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	0
x_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
\bar{x}_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
x_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
\bar{x}_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
x_3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
\bar{x}_3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
x_4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
\bar{x}_4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
C_1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	0	2	2
C_2	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	2	2	0	2
C_3	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	0
C_B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	1	1	1

Maximum Resolution Problem

Input: A set of unresolved genotypes

Output: Maximum number of ambiguous vectors that can be resolved by successive applications of Clark's Rule.

Maximum Resolution Problem

Input: A set of unresolved genotypes

Output: Maximum number of ambiguous vectors that can be resolved by successive applications of Clark's Rule.

- Recall the notation $R \rightarrow^C A$ where R = resolved haplotype and A = ambiguous haplotype.

Maximum Resolution Problem

Input: A set of unresolved genotypes

Output: Maximum number of ambiguous vectors that can be resolved by successive applications of Clark's Rule.

- Recall the notation $R \rightarrow^C A$ where R = resolved haplotype and A = ambiguous haplotype.
- If we call $A[i]$ the i -th site of A and $R[j]$ the j -th site in R then the notation means that if $A[i] = 0$ or 1 then $R[i] = 0$ or 1 and $R[i] = A[i]$.

Maximum Resolution Problem

Further explanation of the NP-completeness proof: For a more full presentation, see Dan Gusfield's paper

Inference of Haplotypes from Samples of Diploid Populations: Complexity and Algorithms, J. Computational Biology August 2001.

The Clark Method of Phasing

Remarks (Table Setup)

- For every variable, there are two columns T and F
- A column of selectors exists for each random variable
- A column exists for each clause
- The first V rows are associated with the random variables
- The next set of rows are associated with the literals
- The final set of rows corresponds to clauses, including the mysterious (for a little while longer anyways) C_b
- $T_1, F_1, \dots, T_4, F_4$ are all *resolved* columns while the rest are *unresolved*

The Clark Method of Phasing

Remarks (General Properties)

- $T_i \rightarrow^C S_i$ or $F_i \rightarrow^C S_i$ but $T_i \not\rightarrow^C S_j$ and $F_i \not\rightarrow^C S_j$. i.e. Column T_i can be applied by Clark Rule to column S_i but to no other selector column.
- At most one T or F can be applied to any S. i.e. set X_1 to either T or F, but not both!
- We interpret as follows: if $T_i \rightarrow^C S_i$ as ' $X_i = \text{true}$ '; if $F_i \rightarrow^C S_i$ as ' $X_i = \text{false}$ '. Suppose $T_1 \rightarrow^C S_1$ $F_2 \rightarrow^C S_2$ $F_3 \rightarrow^C S_3$ $T_4 \rightarrow^C S_4$, so the *inferred* vectors are $R_1 = S_1 \ominus T_1$, $R_2 = S_2 \ominus F_2$, $R_3 = S_3 \ominus F_3$, $R_4 = S_4 \ominus T_4$
- R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 can be applied only to C_1, C_2 and C_3 . [Consider the Blocking Clause C_b] The last entry of R_1 to R_4 will be a 1
- No T or F can be applied to the C vectors [Because of the Blocking Clause, C_b]
- $T_i \rightarrow^C S_i$, then $R_k \rightarrow^C C_k$ iff the literal x_i appears in C_k . Similarly, $F_i \rightarrow^C S_i$ then $R_k \rightarrow^C C_k$ iff the literal \bar{x}_i appears in C_k .