Sravan Kumar Borra

Lab-10

1. Rahman, M., Islam, A. S., Nadvi, S. Y. M., & Rahman, R. M. (2013, May). Comparative study of ANFIS and ARIMA model for weather forecasting in Dhaka. In *Informatics*, *Electronics & Vision (ICIEV)*, 2013 International Conference on (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

2.

Competitor Article	My Algorithm
In competitor article they performed ARIMA	We are using SVM and ANN. We out
and ANFIS statistical methods.	performed them as these statistical models
and That is statistical methods.	gives better results.
They predicted only Maximum and minimum	We are predicting Max and Min temperature,
temperature.	wind speed on a particular day, dew point at a
	particular time.
Tools used : SPSS Software	Tools: Zeppelin
	We again outperformed in the selection of
	tools as Zeppelin if far more efficient than SPSS.
MAE:	Pearson Correlation:
ARIMA: 4.1040	For temperature and dew point- more than
ANFIS: 1.2008	70%
For excluding null values their code is lengthy	In our article using the Lab-9 exercise, it took
and not accurate. It consumes more time.	almost less than 10 lines of code to exclude
	null values as we are using spark.
Their data has more outliers.	Our data has less out liers as we excluded the
	null values before performing the statistical
COE	analysis.
SSE: ARIMA: 0.2133	Regression value for temperature and
ANFIS: 2.0151	humidity grouped by pressure7.500000
ANTIS. 2.0131	Intercept- 123.500000
RMSE:	Mean value : 246.5
ANFIS: 5.0808	On an average we got a mean value of 246.5
ARIMA: 1.6594	for all of our data.
R^2:	We still do not have the R^2 value for our data
ANFIS: -1.0151	as we did not performed SVM on our data.
ARIMA: 0.7867	The work is still going on.
These people have not performed correlation	We performed correlation on our data as we
on their data. So they don't know the relation	practiced in Lab-9. We have a 70% relation
between their data variables.	between temperature and dew point. With this

result we can predict the temperature based on
dew point variable.