Complete source code and instructions are included in the submission ZIP.   
They may also be found on GitHub here: <https://github.com/bss8/tcp-nagle-and-threads>

1. (25 pts) Please write a short program that will disable Nagle’s algorithm. The program can be adapted from the client server code in the textbook (such as from Chapter 5, but be sure not to use the fgets and fputs functions as they belong to C standard library and buffer input and output). Describe the behaviours of the program after Nagle’s algorithm is disabled and after Nagle’s algorithm is enabled.

Enabling the TCP\_NODELAY option turns Nagle's algorithm off: “If set, this option disables TCP's Nagle algorithm (Section 19.4 of TCPv1 and pp. 858 859 of TCPv2). By default, this algorithm is enabled.” (p. 268).

Not much of a difference is observed because of the small payload sent and the communication taking place on LAN. I tested locally on my machine and on TXST Linux servers but those are also technically LAN because Eros and Zeus are very close to each other and there is no delay/lag.

Theoretically, what disabling Nagle’s algorithm does is allow us to stream data like video or play multi-player video games with less lag.

Disabling Nagle is not very effective when communicating in one direction. In two directional communication, disabling this algorithm improves throughput. Why? Because it removes delays, which can accumulate as each node can send its response slightly quicker. This allows the other side to respond even earlier than if the algorithm was enabled and we were stuck waiting for ACK to be received before sending the next packet.

1. (5 + 7 + 8 = 20 pts) This problem is pertaining to pthreads. You need to write and submit a program to test and support your answer.
   1. (1) Can a thread still exist if the thread that creates it terminates by calling pthread exit()?

Yes, that is one of the intents of the pthread\_exit() function. The main() thread is a thread on its own. If, for example, we want our main thread to launch a number of other threads to do some processing and then terminate the main thread, which we only use to launch others, pthread\_exit() is what we would use.

Here is what it looks like:

Text

Description automatically generated

Figure : pthread\_exit on main()

The other threads continue while main terminates (we do not see the for-loop in main() execute here).

* 1. (2) Can a detached thread still exist if the main thread of the whole process terminates by calling exit function?

Detaching a thread does not permit it to continue existing past process termination of the main thread. Calling exit() will destroy the detached thread along with all other threads spawned. Thus a call to pthread\_detach() on a created thread will not ensure it continues after exit() is called from main().

Graphical user interface, text, application

Description automatically generated

Figure : calling exit() in main()

We do not see any printouts here, from either main or the threads it launched.

* 1. (3) Will the process still continue if one of the normal (not detached) and non-main threads within it calls exit function?

Yes; I tested this and if thread\_function\_one(void \*arg) calls exit(0), the main function still continues and prints out the following (which occurs after the non-detached, non-main thread exits). The thread launched by main terminates while main continues.

Text

Description automatically generated

Figure : Call exit() from non-main non-detached function

* 1. (4) Can the original process still continue (hence all other threads within the process) if a detached thread calls exit function to terminate?

Yes, it appears so. Detaching a thread and then calling exit() in that thread allows main to continue execution. We do not get any output/processing from the detached thread as it terminates but main continues to process and we see the output.

Text

Description automatically generated

Figure : detached thread calls exit()

We see output from main and from the thread before it was detached but no output from the thread once it’s detached and exit() is called.

* 1. (5) Can the process still continue if the main thread terminates normally (ie the control of the main thread falls off the last statement of the main function)? Can the main thread detach itself?

No, the main thread will die when its process returns from the main function, regardless of whether it is detached or not.

thread\_test.cpp:

|  |
| --- |
| #include <iostream>  #include <string>  #include <thread>  #include <chrono>  void some\_thread() {  std::this\_thread::sleep\_for (std::chrono::seconds(2));  std::cout << "Do you see me?" << std::endl;  }  int main()  {  std::thread t1(some\_thread);  t1.detach();  return 0;  } |

Text

Description automatically generated

Figure : Test if thread continues once main terminates normally

The message will never print because the operating system will clean up some\_thread() once the main() function exits.

1. (15 + 15 = 30 pts) This problem is pertaining the thread-specific data technique discussed in class.
   1. (1) Compile and run the thread version of TCP client-server program that uses the thread-safe readline function in Fig.26.11 and 26.12 (p.692 & p.693). Verify (print if possible) the value returned in the variable rl\_key. Does each thread have the same rl\_key value?
   2. (2) Can a thread use more than one thread-specific data item? Modify the program in (1) to demonstrate your answer. Please provide detailed comments explaining the purpose of each thread-related function call.

We can create as many thread-specific data items as we want (within reason): “Each system supports a limited number of thread-specific data items. POSIX requires this limit be no less than 128 (per process)” (p. 795).

How to create a thread specific data item? “A thread calls pthread\_key\_create to create a new thread-specific data item, the system searches through its array of Key structures and finds the first one not in use.” (p. 796).

1. (15 + 10 = 25 pts)
   1. (1) *The readline function in Fig.26.12, p.693 calls* ***pthread\_once*** *at line 42. What is the purpose of this function call?*


We first call ***pthread\_once*** so that the first thread that calls **readline** in this process calls ***readline*** once to create the thread-specific data key. We will use the pthread\_once function to guarantee that pthread\_key\_create is called only by the first thread to call readline. readline calls pthread\_once to initialize the key for this thread-specific data item, but since it has already been called, it is not called again. The first two functions that are normally called when dealing with thread-specific data are pthread\_once and pthread\_key\_create. pthread\_once is normally called every time a function that uses thread-specific data is called, but pthread\_once uses the value in the variable pointed to by onceptr to guarantee that the init function is called only one time per process.

“Every time readline is called, it calls pthread\_once. This function uses the value pointed to by its onceptr argument (the contents of the variable rl\_once) to make certain that its init function is called only one time. This initialization function, readline\_once, creates the thread-specific data key that is stored in rl\_key, and which readline then uses in calls to pthread\_getspecific and pthread\_setspecific.”

*What will happen if that function is not called? Does the* ***readline*** *function still work correctly without making the function call?*

* 1. *(2) Why is the return value of the* ***my\_read*** *function (called by* ***readline****) of static type? What is the consequence of changing it to no-static?*

The internal function my\_read reads up to MAXLINE characters at a time and then returns them, one at a time. By using static variables in readline.c to maintain the state information across successive calls, the functions are not re-entrant or thread-safe. Why is this not thread safe? A function that keeps state in a private buffer, or one that returns a result in the form of a pointer to a static buffer, is not thread-safe because multiple threads cannot use the buffer to hold different things at the same time.



**References**

1. <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/37240869/get-the-content-of-a-const-void>